

Use of MicroRNAs in Personalized Medicine

Çiğir Biray Avcı and Yusuf Baran

Abstract

Personalized medicine comprises the genetic information together with the phenotypic and environmental factors to yield healthcare tailored to an individual and removes the limitations of the “one-size-fits-all” therapy approach. This provides the opportunity to translate therapies from bench to clinic, to diagnose and predict disease, and to improve patient-tailored treatments based on the unique signatures of a patient’s disease and further to identify novel treatment schedules.

Nowadays, tiny noncoding RNAs, called microRNAs, have captured the spotlight in molecular biology with highlights like their involvement in DNA translational control, their impression on mRNA and protein expression levels, and their ability to reprogram molecular signaling pathways in cancer. Realizing their pivotal roles in drug resistance, they emerged as diagnostic targets orchestrating drug response in individualized therapy examples.

It is not premature to think that researchers could have the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved kit-based assays for miRNA analysis in the near future. We think that miRNAs are ready for prime time.

Key words miRNAs, Personalized medicine, Pharmacogenomics

1 Introduction

Pharmacogenomics investigations have emphasized genes that contribute to an individual patient’s drug sensitivity, resistance, and toxicity. It has also designated the causes of interindividual variations in the expression and function of many of the genes, including the roles of microRNAs, DNA methylation, copy number variations, and single-nucleotide polymorphisms.

In this chapter, we focus on miRNAs, 19–24-nucleotide non-coding RNAs that function as gene regulators and have roles in countless cellular processes. We discuss how miRNAs, arranging the expression of pharmacogenomic-relevant genes, play a considerable role in drug efficacy and toxicity and have potential clinical reflections for personalized medicine.

2 MicroRNA Pharmacogenomics

The National Cancer Institute of the National Institutes of Health, USA, defined “personalized medicine” in 2011 as a form of healthcare that considers information about a person’s genes, proteins, and environment to prevent, diagnose, and treat disease [1]. Developments in the field of pharmacogenomics are not only due to better sequencing technology but also stem from gene expression alterations on the account of regulatory elements and epigenetic variations that occur in response to the environment. In the realm of RNA, these modulations usually result from two comprehensive components: first, the direct regulatory impact of miscellaneous forms of noncoding RNA (hnRNA, microRNA, etc.) that have the capacity to modulate expression by interacting with DNA regulatory sequences in promoters or in different regions of target genes and, second, the environmentally stimulated chemical modulation of DNA nucleotides, principally by cytosine methylation.

The application of genomics in personalized medicine includes its potential to improve risk assessment, diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment. Classical examples of current genomic investigation in personalized medicine are (1) BRCA1/2 testing for risk assessment of breast cancer, (2) gene expression profiles to diagnose breast cancer subtypes [2], (3) number of trinucleotide repeats predicting the seriousness of Fragile X syndrome [3], and (4) Herceptin® (trastuzumab) management that is restricted using a companion diagnostics to women protecting from HER2-positive breast cancer [4, 5] and CYP2C19 variants associated with minimized clopidogrel response [6].

Metabolism of xenobiotics (drugs, environmental chemicals, carcinogens) and endobiotics (steroids, bile acids, and fatty acids) are catalyzed by essential enzymes called P450s that are transcriptionally regulated by nuclear receptors.

Cytochrome P450s and nuclear receptors, such as CYP1B1, CYP2A3 (rat), CYP2E1, CYP3A4, CYP24A1, pregnane X receptor (PXR), vitamin D receptor (VDR), peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ (PPAR γ), RXR α (rat), hepatocyte nuclear factor 4 α (HNF4 α), estrogen receptor α (ER α), and human glucocorticoid receptors (GR) that are regulated by miRNAs, were studied in a wide range of studies [7].

3 Diagnostic and Prognostic Implementations: MicroRNA Signatures in Solid Tumors

Potential links to the etiology of a disease have been obtained from sets of differentially expressed mRNAs and miRNAs (expression signatures) acquired via expression profiling of whole tumor samples (Table 1).

Table 1
Diagnostic and prognostic applications of miRNA signatures in solid tumors

Signature in breast cancer	Clinical application(s)	References
miR-7, -128a, -210, -516-3p	Disease progression (distant metastasis) of estrogen receptor+ lymph node- cases	[8]
miR-30a-3p, -30c, -182	Response to adjuvant tamoxifen in advanced ER+ cases	[9]
miR-128a, -135a, -767-3p, -769-3p	Recurrence-free survival in ER+ cases	[10]
miR-27b, -30c, -144, -150, -210, -342	Recurrence-free survival in ER- cases	[10]
miR-21, -181a	Recurrence-free and overall survival in all-comers	[11]
miR-21, -210, -221, -222	Recurrence-free and overall survival in ER-, progesterone receptor-, human EGF receptor 2- cases	[11]
mir-21	Response to neoadjuvant trastuzumab treatment, recurrence-free survival in stage I/II all-comers, overall survival in all-participants	[12–14]
miR-205	Disease recurrence and overall survival in ER-, progesterone receptor-, HER2- cases	[15]
miR-210	Disease progression (distant metastasis) in ER- lymph node- cases, disease progression (distant metastasis) in ER- PR- HER2- LN- cases, recurrence-free and overall survival in all attendants, response to tamoxifen in ER+ cases	[8, 16, 17]

In colorectal cancer, 18 miRNA signatures (*see Note 1*) are responsible for subtype classification like microsatellite stable (MSS) vs. microsatellite instable (MSI-high) [18, 19]. Additionally, miR-320 and -498 signatures are accountable for recurrence-free survival in stage II MSS cases [18].

The prevailing oncomir in colorectal cancer is miR-21 involved in diagnostic and prognostic applications. This worthy miRNA plays a key role in response to neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy in advanced rectal cancer [20], recurrence-free survival in stage II colon cases [21], recurrence-free survival in all-comers [22], recurrence-free and overall in all-contributors [23], and overall survival in all-contributors [24].

In lung cancer, miRNA signatures are miscellaneous. There are 34 miRNA signatures (*see Note 2*) responsible for prediction of subtype classification into adenocarcinoma (AdCa) vs. squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) in male smokers [25]. Signatures of the miRNAs let-7a and miR-221, 137, -182, and -372 are essential for

recurrence-free and overall survival in non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) cases [26].

In the literature, it was shown that nineteen miRNA signature (*see Note 3*) can play a major role in prediction of overall survival in SCC cases [27], and let-7e and miR-34a, -34c-5p, -25, and -191 signatures have a role in estimation of overall survival in male smoker SCC cases [25].

According to the studies concerning lung cancer let-7a has prognostic factors alone like overall survival in NSCLC [28] and AdCa cases [29], miR-21 has prognostic value in overall survival in NSCLC [30] and SCC cases [31], and miR-34a is an important marker for recurrence-free and overall survival in NSCLC cases [32]. The miRNA miR-155 has crucial role in overall survival in AdCa cases [29], and miR-205 distinguishes AdCa from SCC [33–35].

These abovementioned issues contain important data for applications of miRNA signatures especially in solid tumors, but they are just preclinical data, and further validation and understanding of what these signatures reflect are required for converting them to approved approaches.

4 Correlation of Drug Resistance with Deregulation of MicroRNA Expression

Aberration of miRNA expression in malignant tumor cells is considerably observed and can be triggered by three distinct mechanisms:

1. Location of miRNAs in cancer-associated tissue.
2. Genomic region or at fragile sites, epigenetic regulation of miRNA genes.
3. Abnormalities in miRNA processing genes and proteins [36].

Changes in expression levels of miRNAs affect numerous target mRNAs and for this reason multiple proteins pioneering the diversity in the chemosensitivity of cancer cells through miscellaneous cellular processes. Cellular response to anticancer agents shifted by most of the miRNAs by means of survival signaling pathways and programmed cell death response modulation [37]. Additionally, there are reports about miRNAs affecting mechanisms such as drug targets and DNA repair systems [38, 39]. Ultimately, miRNAs also have duties in the regulation of drug metabolism by regulating the expression of drug-metabolizing enzymes and drug transporters [40].

Numerous studies have shown the effect of specific microRNAs on factors encompassed in apoptosis and survival pathways impressing anticancer drug sensitivity and resistance (Table 2).

Table 2
Some important miRNAs and drug resistance/effect

Expression change	miRNA	Resistance/sensitivity	Drug	Target gene	Cancer or cell line	Reference
Upregulation of expression	Let-7a	Resistance	IFN γ	Caspase-3	Hepatocellular carcinoma	[41]
			Doxorubicin Paclitaxel		Human squamous	
Overexpression	Let-7a/b	Radio-sensitization	-	RAS	Lung cancer	[42]
Overexpression	Let-7g	Resistance	-	RAS	Lung cancer	[42]
Increased expression	miR-1	Sensitivity	Doxorubicin	Mcl-1	NSCLC cells	[43]
Overexpression	miR-15b/16	Sensitivity	Several drugs	Bcl-2	Gastric cancer	[44]
Increased expression	miR-27a	Resistance	Paclitaxel	HIPK2	Ovarian cancer	[45]
Overexpression	miR-27a/451	Resistance	Vinblastine Doxorubicin	MDR1	Ovarian cancer Cervical cancer	[46]

Examples could be multiplied according to recent studies in literature due to the loss of, increased, or decreased expressions of 22 specific miRNAs (*see Note 4*) targeting genes (*see Note 4*) involved in apoptosis and survival pathways affecting anticancer drug sensitivity in various cancer types including breast, cervical, prostate, colorectal, colon, cholangiocarcinoma, T-cell leukemia, and osteosarcoma [7].

The mRNA, miR-21, is important in chemoresistance. Increased expression of miR-21 prevents gemcitabine-induced apoptosis targeting PTEN in cholangiocarcinoma [47] and unknown molecules in pancreatic cancer [48]. Overexpression of miR-21 triggers increased resistance to VM-26 in glioblastoma [49] and topotecan in breast cancer [50] by targeting LRRFIP1 and Bcl-2, respectively. Contrariwise, the expression inhibition of miR-21 increased the sensitivity to TRAIL-induced apoptosis in glioma [51]. Interestingly, it increased the expression protected against temozolomide-induced apoptosis via targeting Bax in glioblastoma [52] and arsenic trioxide-induced apoptosis by targeting PDCD4 in chronic myeloid leukemia [53] and also prevented apoptosis induced by arabinosylcytosine by targeting PDCD4 in acute myeloid leukemia [54].

Table 3
MicroRNAs and drug relationships

miRNA	Change	Target gene	Cancer or cell line	Result	Reference
miR-24	C to T	DHFR 3'UTR	DG44 cells	Resistance to methotrexate	[39]
miR-206b	C to T	ER-alpha	Breast cancer	Sensitivity to endocrine therapy	[58]
miR-519c	Deletion	ABCG2 3'UTR	Colon cancer	Inhibition of repression/ multidrug resistance	[59]
pri-miR-26a1/ pri-miR-100	Several SNPs	–	Colon cancer	Longer time to progression after 5-fluorouracil or irinotecan treatment	[60]

5 Drug Resistance miRNA Pharmacogenetics Association

The expression levels of mature miRNAs may be affected by two pathways: sequence variations in miRNA regions and miRNA-processing pathways [55, 56]. This issue is discussed in more detail in Chapter 18. The pharmacogenetic analysis of miRNAs may represent a revolutionary area of investigation for predicting treatment response or chemoresistance [57]. These alterations are owing to single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs [56]). SNPs can occur in three different ways: (1) polymorphisms affecting miRNA biogenesis, by modulating the transcription of pri-miRNA or pre-miRNA processing and maturation; (2) polymorphisms in miRNA target sites; and (3) polymorphisms altering epigenetic regulation of miRNA genes [56] (Table 3).

6 MicroRNAs in Cancer Stem Cells: Association with Drug Resistance

Recently, it has become clear that miRNAs can play a pivotal role in the drug resistance of cancer cells and cancer stem cells. Drug resistance in cancer stem cells inserts another viewpoint to the challenge of drug resistance in cancer. Cancer stem cell hypothesis states that when not all cancer stem cells from a tumor are extirpated, the tumor will always reoccur [61] (Table 4).

The fact that cancer stem cells are often found to be resistant to one or multiplexed drugs increases the complexity for successful cancer cures. For this reason, it is extremely important to explore occasions to selectively target the cancer stem cell population of a tumor. Selective killing of cancer stem cells would properly enhance patient outcome by preventing metastasis and recurrence of the

Table 4
miRNAs in cancer stem cells: Association with drug resistance

miRNA	Characteristics	Targets	Tumor model	Reference
miR-34	Regulated by p53, downregulated in most tumors/drug resistance	Notch, HMGA2, Bcl-2	Cancer stem cells lacking p53 gene expression	[62]
miR-125b	Decreased sensitivity of ATRA-induced apoptosis via upregulation	Unknown	Glioma	[63, 64]
miR-140	Methotrexate and 5-FU resistance increased via upregulation	HDAC4	Colon and osteosarcoma stem cells	[65]
miR-215	Methotrexate and tomudex resistance increased by downregulation of DHFR and TS	DHFR, TS	Osteosarcoma and colon cancer stem cells	[66]

primary tumor. In the last years, some encouraging studies have been succeeded in this research field, with distinct molecules developed to specifically kill cancer stem cells, such as monoclonal antibodies targeting leukemic stem cell marker CD44 [67] or drugs like nigericin and abamectin that inhibit cancer stem cell growth [68] or gene therapy [69, 70].

There are a lot of studies concerning with this issue. The miRNA, miR-34, is directly regulated by p53 and downregulated in most tumors, which suggests a common drug resistance via targeting Notch, HMGA2, and Bcl-2 in cancer stem cells that lack p53 expression [62].

Upregulation of miR-125b increases the rate of proliferation and decreases sensitivity to ATRA-induced apoptosis by targeting Bmf in glioma stem cells [102].

Overexpression of miR-140 elevates the resistance against methotrexate and 5-FU HDAC4 osteosarcoma and colon cancer stem cells [65].

Upregulation of miR-215 downregulates dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR) and thymidylate synthase (TS) which in turn leads to increased resistance against methotrexate and tomudex in osteosarcoma and colon cancer stem cells, respectively [65, 71].

7 MicroRNAs as Drugs

Rukov et al. reported that attempts are in progress to improve miRNA-based drugs, either in the form of miRNA mimics, amplifying the effect of a miRNA, or miRNA inhibitors, fundamentally suppressing the effect of a miRNA [7]. MicroRNA drugs have the

benefit that one miRNA may target and modify the expression of several genes with different roles in the same pathway. The most developed miRNA drug to date is a miRNA inhibitor targeting miR-122 in liver to treat hepatitis C virus (HCV [72]). One issue as such drugs approach clinical use is testing for interactions between the novel miRNA drugs and traditional drugs already in the market. The connection from miRNAs to drugs allows PharmacomiR to predict default interactions between novel miRNA drugs and more traditional drugs, which can then be tested experimentally [7]. For example miR-122 is estimated to target estrogen receptor 1 (ESR1), whose gene product is necessary for the important drug families of estrogens (e.g., estradiol) and antiestrogens (e.g., tamoxifen). If this predicted target is functional, treating patients for HCV with miR-122 may cause adverse drug effects if the patient is also undergoing treatment with estrogens or antiestrogens.

In recent years, an increasing number of papers describe a link between miRNAs and drug function through deregulation of pharmacogenomic-relevant genes. These studies are mainly performed in cancer cell lines and mainly describe chemoresistance. Drug toxicity studies and studies on drug metabolizers are remarkably rare. Also, many studies report miRNA deregulation in drug-resistant cells but fail to identify the miRNA target effector genes. The lack of such studies highlights how elusive it can be to link miRNA expression with the connection between genes and drug efficacy/toxicity. PharmacomiR is a web server designed to help in defining such interactions between miRNAs, target genes, and associated drugs by complementary of the pioneering resources on miRNA targeting and pharmacogenomics. The outcome usually comprises a miRNA pharmacogenomic set consisting of a miRNA, a target gene, and a drug commented in the literature as being linked with the target gene. PharmacomiR is thus a useful tool when predicting the effect of miRNAs on drug efficacy and toxicity or when developing hypothesis within miRNA pharmacogenomics. Identification of miRNA pharmacogenomic sets makes it possible to outline potential mechanisms for miRNA–drug interactions when planning experiments and assists in the interpretation of results. As the field of miRNA pharmacogenomics matures, PharmacomiR can be extended to collect relevant information within the field and allow searches specifically for miRNA pharmacogenomic sets, where the full set has been investigated in a pharmacogenomic context [7].

According to the National Center for Toxicological Research's 2011–2012 annual report, new biomarkers of liver damage are needed to improve detection of injury in animals and humans. A genomics approach was used on urine samples of rats treated with drugs and chemicals that cause liver injury. Several urinary miRNAs (also called epigenetic biomarkers) were identified that may serve as predictive biomarkers of hepatotoxicity.

MicroRNAs are differently expressed in diseases, and they have crucial responsibilities in diverse biological pathways. Notwithstanding alteration of DNA methylation or histone modifications, deregulated miRNA expression patterns of tumor cells have been described as colliding with drug response. Approaches to arrange the expression of chosen miRNAs have partly led to intriguing developments of chemotherapy response.

MicroRNAs are potential targets of therapeutics. The arrangement of miRNA levels covers a wide spectrum of technologies from gene therapy to antisense therapy. Targeting miRNAs for therapy could be an emerging field, although there are many obstacles to be overcome: stability, convenient in vivo delivery systems, and selectivity. An individual miRNA could regulate several genes and pathways simultaneously suggesting that miRNA modulation could be powerful. However, attention must be paid to the possibility that miRNA manipulation may cause adverse influences, for the reason that each miRNA target has not been identified. Chiefly there are two strategies to target miRNA expression: by blocking the expression of an oncomiR or by re-expression of a tumor-suppressor miRNA, or by targeting the genes involved in their transcription and processing. Anti-miRNA oligonucleotides (AMOs) [73–76], locked nucleic acids (LNA) [77–81], small-molecule inhibitors (SMIRs), miRNA sponges or decoys [71, 82, 83], nanoparticles [84–86], and miRNA mimics and adenovirus-associated vectors (AAV) [87–90] are the current ways to target miRNAs as drugs.

There is growing evidence that diagnostic and prognostic miRNA signatures are indispensable in tumor classification and treatment protocols. Differences in individual gene expressions stimulated the interest of global miRNA expression studies in human diseases that exposed modest variations between normal and tumor tissues [64, 91–93]. For instance, in tumor tissues, miR-125b and miR-145 are mostly determined at lower levels while miR-21, miR-155, and miR-210 at higher levels [50, 94–96]. But of course, there are slight differences in miRNA expressions, and further studies are needed to detect alterations in the signaling pathways and enlighten treatment response [95, 97]. There are high numbers of studies demonstrating miRNA signatures and their prognostic value correlation [53, 91, 98, 99].

Studies in CRC cell lines declared that mRNA and miRNA signatures could improve prediction of treatment response over K-Ras mutation status alone and thus inform patient eligibility to anti-EGFR-based treatments [100, 101]. Ragusa et al. reported on using cetuximab-sensitive and -resistant CRC cell lines to profile miRNA expression, and they suggested three miRNA signatures for estimating treatment response to cetuximab [101]. Two of these miRNAs, let-7b and let 7e, were found to be negative regulators of K-Ras expression. Several studies showed the importance of let-7's role in a poor outcome of cetuximab-treated metastatic

CRC patients [102, 103]. Also, let-7a-mediated regulation of K-Ras expression was first described in lung cancer [104].

The most important problem in the majority of the cancer cases is a lack of targeted therapy. MicroRNA signature analyses are indispensable in every step of therapy approach like tumor aggressiveness and resistance to treatment in almost every type of cancer affecting different gene expressions like EGFR and K-Ras and in several signaling pathways [105–107]. These studies also identified the organ site of carcinomas of unknown primary origin [108, 109].

Additionally, miRNA signatures are crucial in blood samples of patients to detect diseases earlier and monitor progression of diseases in a noninvasive way after treatment [110–113].

Global miRNA profiling pioneered to improve disease management from bench to clinical applications.

8 Conclusion

MicroRNAs are potential providers of drug response prediction. MicroRNAs are also the headliners of drug resistance and pharmacological drug response dilemma and conducive of drug dosage tailoring and inhibitors of adverse drug reactions that procreate personalized therapy.

Complicated dynamic natured networks between diagnostic, prognostic, and therapeutic miRNAs and their targets are complex, and *in vitro* studies alone may be improper to estimate miRNA significance related with early detection, progression, recurrence, and treatment response *in vivo*.

In the light of these novel findings, more studies should be conducted in order to demonstrate the utilization of these tiny but indispensable molecules in diagnosis and treatment of various diseases: a dream could be realized. Individualizing current anticancer regimens by predicting the potential intrinsic/acquired resistance and future therapeutic strategies to get over resistance, including specific targeting of miRNA (via mimics or antagomirs) is very important. Also these regimens target synergistic interaction with anticancer agents. These regimens use the modulation of expression of key proteins in different molecular mechanisms involved in drug activity.

9 Notes

1. In colorectal cancer, miR-142-3p, -144, -151, -212, -17, -20, -25, -32, -92, -93, -106a, -125a, -155, -191, -192, -203, -215, and -223 are responsible for subtype classification like MSS vs. MSI-high.

2. MicroRNA signatures such as let-7a, -7b, -7c, -7d, -7e, -7f, -7g, and -7i and miR-16, -17, -19b, -20a, -26a, -26b, -29a, -29b, -29c, -30b, -30d, -98, -103, -106a, -106b, 107, -146b-5p, -181a, -191, -195, -453, -491-5p, -498, -509-3p, -654-5p, and -663 are responsible for the subtype classification of AdCa vs. SCC.
3. MicroRNA signatures, such as let-7e and miR-17-5p, -20a, -20b, -21, -93, -106a, -106b, -126, -146b, -155, -182, -183, -191, 200a, -200c, -203, -210, and -224, have a major role in the prediction of the overall survival in SCC cases.
4. Specific miRNAs such as miR-27b, -29a/181a/221, -34a, -98, -122, -125b, -140, -143, -148a, -155, -192/215, -199a-3p, -200c, -204, -205, -212, -214, -221/222, -320, -328, -451, and -512 targeting genes (such as CYP1B1, SIRT1, HMGA2, Cyclin G1, BAK1, HDAC4, ERK5, MSK1, PXR, FOXO3a, TS, mTOR, C-Met, TUBB3, Mcl-1, HER3, PED, PTEN, P27, ER α , Bcl-2, ABCG2, and CSA) are involved in apoptosis and survival pathways affecting anticancer drug sensitivity in various cancer types, including breast, cervical, prostate, colorectal, colon, cholangiocarcinoma, T-cell leukemia, and osteosarcoma.

References

1. The Case for Personalized Medicine, 3rd Edition (2011) Personalized medicine coalition. www.personalizedmedicinecoalition.org/sites/default/files/files/Case_for_PM_3rd_edition.pdf
2. Sorlie T, Perou CM, Tibshirani R et al (2001) Gene expression patterns of breast carcinomas distinguish tumor subclasses with clinical implications. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 98: 10869–10874
3. Sherman S, Pletcher BA, Driscoll DA (2005) Fragile X syndrome: diagnostic and carrier testing. *Genet Med* 7:584–587
4. Piccart-Gebhart MJ, Procter M, Leyland-Jones B et al (2005) Trastuzumab after adjuvant chemotherapy in HER2-positive breast cancer. *N Engl J Med* 353:1659–1672
5. Romond EH, Perez EA, Bryant J et al (2005) Trastuzumab plus adjuvant chemotherapy for operable HER2-positive breast cancer. *N Engl J Med* 353:1673–1684
6. Shuldiner AR, O'Connell JR, Bliden KP et al (2009) Association of cytochrome P450 2C19 genotype with the antiplatelet effect and clinical efficacy of clopidogrel therapy. *JAMA* 302:849–857
7. Rukov JL, Wilentzik R, Jaffe I, et al (2013) PharmacomiR: linking microRNAs and drug effects. *Brief Bioinform* Jan 31. [Epub ahead of print]
8. Foekens JA, Sieuwerts AM, Smid M et al (2008) Four miRNAs associated with aggressiveness of lymph node-negative, estrogen receptor-positive human breast cancer. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 105:13021–13026
9. Rodriguez-Gonzalez FG, Sieuwerts AM, Smid M et al (2011) MicroRNA-30c expression level is an independent predictor of clinical benefit of endocrine therapy in advanced estrogen receptor positive breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 127:43–51
10. Buffa FM, Camps C, Winchester L et al (2011) microRNA associated progression pathways and potential therapeutic targets identified by integrated mRNA and microRNA expression profiling in breast cancer. *Cancer Res* 71(17):5635–5645
11. Radojicic J, Zaravinos A, Vrekoussis T, Kafousi M, Spandidos DA, Stathopoulos EN (2011) MicroRNA expression analysis in triple-negative (ER, PR and Her2/neu) breast cancer. *Cell Cycle* 10:507–517
12. Gong C, Yao Y, Wang Y et al (2011) Up-regulation of miR-21 mediates resistance to trastuzumab therapy for breast cancer. *J Biol Chem* 286:19127–19137

13. Qian B, Katsaros D, Lu L et al (2009) High miR-21 expression in breast cancer associated with poor disease-free survival in early stage disease and high TGF- β 1. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 117:131–140
14. Yan LX, Huang XF, Shao Q et al (2008) MicroRNA miR-21 overexpression in human breast cancer is associated with advanced clinical stage, lymph node metastasis and patient poor prognosis. *RNA* 14:2348–2360
15. Sempere LF, Christensen M, Silahatoglu A et al (2007) Altered microRNA expression confined to specific epithelial cell subpopulations in breast cancer. *Cancer Res* 67:11612–11620
16. Rothe F, Ignatiadis M, Chaboteaux C et al (2011) Global MicroRNA expression profiling identifies miR-210 associated with tumor proliferation, invasion and poor clinical outcome in breast cancer. *PLoS One* 6:e20980
17. Camps C, Buffa FM, Colella S et al (2008) hsa-miR-210 is induced by hypoxia and is an independent prognostic factor in breast cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 14:1340–1348
18. Schepeler T, Reinert JT, Ostenfeld MS et al (2008) Diagnostic and prognostic microRNAs in stage II colon cancer. *Cancer Res* 68:6416–6424
19. Lanza G, Ferracin M, Gafa R et al (2007) mRNA/microRNA gene expression profile in microsatellite unstable colorectal cancer. *Mol Cancer* 6:54
20. Drebber U, Lay M, Wedemeyer I et al (2011) Altered levels of the onco-microRNA 21 and the tumor suppressor microRNAs 143 and 145 in advanced rectal cancer indicate successful neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy. *Int J Oncol* 39:409–415
21. Nielsen BS, Jorgensen S, Fog JU et al (2011) High levels of microRNA-21 in the stroma of colorectal cancers predict short disease-free survival in stage II colon cancer patients. *Clin Exp Metastasis* 28:27–38
22. Kulda V, Pesta M, Topolcan O et al (2010) Relevance of miR-21 and miR-143 expression in tissue samples of colorectal carcinoma and its liver metastases. *Cancer Genet Cytogenet* 200:154–160
23. Shibuya H, Iinuma H, Shimada R, Horiuchi A, Watanabe T (2010) Clinicopathological and prognostic value of microRNA-21 and microRNA-155 in colorectal cancer. *Oncology* 79:313–320
24. Schetter AJ, Leung SY, Sohn JJ et al (2008) MicroRNA expression profiles associated with prognosis and therapeutic outcome in colon adenocarcinoma. *JAMA* 299:425–436
25. Landi MT, Zhao Y, Rotunno M et al (2010) MicroRNA expression differentiates histology and predicts survival of lung cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 16:430–441
26. Yu SL, Chen HY, Chang GC et al (2008) MicroRNA signature predicts survival and relapse in lung cancer. *Cancer Cell* 13:48–57
27. Raponi M, Dossey L, Jatkoe T et al (2009) MicroRNA classifiers for predicting prognosis of squamous cell lung cancer. *Cancer Res* 69:5776–5783
28. Takamizawa J, Konishi H, Yanagisawa K et al (2004) Reduced expression of the let-7 microRNAs in human lung cancers in association with shortened postoperative survival. *Cancer Res* 64:3753–3756
29. Yanaihara N, Caplen N, Bowman E et al (2006) Unique microRNA molecular profiles in lung cancer diagnosis and prognosis. *Cancer Cell* 9:189–198
30. Markou A, Tsaroucha EG, Kaklamanis L, Fotinou M, Georgoulas V, Lianidou ES (2008) Prognostic value of mature microRNA-21 and microRNA-205 overexpression in non-small cell lung cancer by quantitative real-time RT-PCR. *Clin Chem* 54:1696–1704
31. Gao W, Shen H, Liu L, Xu J, Xu J, Shu Y (2011) miR-21 overexpression in human primary squamous cell lung carcinoma is associated with poor patient prognosis. *J. Cancer Res. Clin Oncol* 137:557–566
32. Gallardo E, Navarro A, Vinolas N et al (2009) miR-34a as a prognostic marker of relapse in surgically resected non-small-cell lung cancer. *Carcinogenesis* 30:1903–1909
33. Lebanony D, Benjamin H, Gilad S et al (2009) Diagnostic assay based on hsa-miR-205 expression distinguishes squamous from nonsquamous non-small-cell lung carcinoma. *J Clin Oncol* 27:2030–2037
34. Fassina A, Cappellesso R, Fassan M (2011) Classification of non-small cell lung carcinoma in transthoracic needle specimens using microRNA expression profiling. *Chest* 140:1305–1311. doi:10.1378/chest.11-0708
35. Bishop JA, Benjamin H, Cholak H, Chajut A, Clark DP, Westra WH (2010) Accurate classification of non-small cell lung carcinoma using a novel microRNA-based approach. *Clin Cancer Res* 16:610–619
36. Calin GA, Croce CM (2006) microRNA signatures in human cancers. *Nature* 6(11):857–866
37. Zheng T, Wang J, Chen X, Liu L (2009) Role of microRNA in anticancer drug resistance. *Int J Cancer* 126(1):2–10
38. van Jaarsveld MT, Helleman J, Berns EM, Wiemer EA (2010) MicroRNAs in ovarian cancer biology and therapy resistance. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol* 42(8):1282–1290

39. Mishra PJ, Bertino JR (2009) microRNA polymorphisms: the future of pharmacogenomics, molecular epidemiology and individualized medicine. *Pharmacogenomics* 10(3):399–416
40. Fojo T (2007) Multiple paths to a drug resistance phenotype: mutations, translocations, deletions and amplification of coding genes or promoter regions, epigenetic changes and microRNAs. *Drug Resist Updat* 10(1–2):59–67
41. Tsang WP, Kwok TT (2008) Let-7a microRNA suppresses therapeutics induced cancer cell death by targeting caspase-3. *Apoptosis* 13(10):1215–1222
42. Pothof J, Verkaik NS, van IJcken W et al (2009) MicroRNA-mediated gene silencing modulates the UV-induced DNA-damage response. *EMBO J* 28(14):2090–2099
43. Nasser MW, Datta J, Nuovo G et al (2008) Down-regulation of microRNA-1 (mir-1) in lung cancer: suppression of tumorigenic property of lung cancer cells and their sensitization to doxorubicin-induced apoptosis by mir-1. *J Biol Chem* 283(48):33394–33405
44. Wang F, Sun GP, Zou YF, Hao JQ et al (2012) MicroRNAs as promising biomarkers for gastric cancer. *Cancer Biomark* 11:259–267
45. Li Z, Hu S, Wang J et al (2010) MiR-27a modulates MDR1/P-glycoprotein expression by targeting HIPK2 in ovarian cancer cells. *Gynecol Oncol* 119(1):125–130
46. Zhu H, Wu H, Liu X et al (2008) Role of MicroRNA miR-27a and miR-451 in the regulation of MDR1/P-glycoprotein expression in human cancer cells. *Biochem Pharmacol* 76(5):582–588
47. Meng F, Henson R, Lang M et al (2006) Involvement of human micro-RNA in growth and response to chemotherapy in human cholangiocarcinoma cell lines. *Gastroenterology* 130(7):2113–2129
48. Giovannetti E, Funel N, Peters GJ et al (2010) microRNA-21 in pancreatic cancer: correlation with clinical outcome and pharmacologic aspects underlying its role in the modulation of gemcitabine activity. *Cancer Res* 70(11):4528–4538
49. Li J, Huang H, Sun L et al (2009) MiR-21 indicates poor prognosis in tongue squamous cell carcinomas as an apoptosis inhibitor. *Clin Cancer Res* 15(12):3998–4008
50. Si ML, Zhu S, Wu H et al (2007) miR-21-mediated tumor growth. *Oncogene* 26(9):2799–2803
51. Corsten MF, Miranda R, Kasmieh R et al (2007) microRNA-21 knockdown disrupts glioma in vivo and displays synergistic cytotoxicity with neural precursor cell-delivered S-TRAIL in human gliomas. *Cancer Res* 67(19):8994–9000
52. Shi L, Chen C, Yang J et al (2010) MiR-21 protected human glioblastoma U87MG cells from chemotherapeutic drug temozolomide induced apoptosis by decreasing Bax/Bcl-2 ratio and caspase-3 activity. *Brain Res* 1352:255–264
53. Gu J, Zhu X, Li Y et al (2011) miRNA-21 regulates arsenic-induced anti-leukemia activity in myelogenous cell lines. *Med Oncol* 28(1):211–218
54. Li Y, Zhu X, Gu J et al (2010) Anti-miR-21 oligonucleotide enhances chemosensitivity of leukemic HL60 cells to arabinosylcytosine by inducing apoptosis. *Hematology* 15(4):215–221
55. Ryan BM, Robles AI, Harris CC (2010) Genetic variation in microRNA networks: the implications for cancer research. *Nat Rev Cancer* 10(6):389–402
56. Duan R, Pak C, Jin P (2007) Single nucleotide polymorphism associated with mature miR-125a alters the processing of pri-miRNA. *Hum Mol Genet* 16(9):1124–1131
57. Passetti F, Ferreira CG, Costa FF (2009) The impact of microRNAs and alternative splicing in pharmacogenomics. *Pharmacogenomics J* 9(1):1–13
58. Tchatchou S, Jung A, Hemminki K et al (2009) A variant affecting a putative miRNA target site in estrogen receptor (ESR) 1 is associated with breast cancer risk in premenopausal women. *Carcinogenesis* 30(1):59–64
59. To KK, Zhan Z, Litman T, Bates SE (2008) Regulation of ABCG2 expression at the 3' untranslated region of its mRNA through modulation of transcript stability and protein translation by a putative microRNA in the S1 colon cancer cell line. *Mol Cell Biol* 28(17):5147–5161
60. Boni V, Zarate R, Villa JC et al (2011) Role of primary miRNA polymorphic variants in metastatic colon cancer patients treated with 5-fluorouracil and irinotecan. *Pharmacogenomics J* 11:429–436
61. Reya T, Morrison SJ, Clarke MF et al (2001) Stem cells, cancer, and cancer stem cells. *Nature* 414(6859):105–111
62. Bommer GT, Gerin I, Feng Y et al (2007) p53-mediated activation of miRNA34 candidate tumor-suppressor genes. *Curr Biol* 17(15):1298–1307
63. Xia HF, He TZ, Liu CM et al (2009) MiR-125b expression affects the proliferation and apoptosis of human glioma cells by targeting Bmf. *Cell Physiol Biochem* 23(4–6):347–358

64. Du L, Pertsemlidis A (2010) MicroRNAs and lung cancer: tumors and 22-mers. *Cancer Metastasis Rev* 29:109–122
65. Song B, Wang Y, Xi Y et al (2009) Mechanism of chemoresistance mediated by mir-140 in human osteosarcoma and colon cancer cells. *Oncogene* 28(46):4065–4074
66. Zou GM (2008) Cancer initiating cells or cancer stem cells in the gastrointestinal tract and liver. *J Cell Physiol* 217(3):598–604
67. Jin L, Hope KJ, Zhai Q et al (2006) Targeting of CD44 eradicates human acute myeloid leukemic stem cells. *Nat Med* 12(10):1167–1174
68. Riccioni R, Dupuis ML, Bernabei M et al (2010) The cancer stem cell selective inhibitor salinomycin is a p-glycoprotein inhibitor. *Blood Cells Mol Dis* 45(1):86–92
69. Wang Z, Li Y, Ahmad A et al (2010) Targeting miRNAs involved in cancer stem cell and EMT regulation: an emerging concept in overcoming drug resistance. *Drug Resist Updat* 13(4–5):109–118
70. Aboody KS, Najbauer J, Danks MK (2008) Stem and progenitor cell-mediated tumor selective gene therapy. *Gene Ther* 15(10):739–752
71. Valastyan S, Reinhardt F, Benaich N, Calogrias D, Szasz AM, Wang ZC, Brock JE, Richardson AL, Weinberg RA (2009) A pleiotropically acting microRNA, miR-31, inhibits breast cancer metastasis. *Cell* 137:1032–1046
72. Lanford RE, Hildebrandt-Eriksen ES, Petri A et al (2010) Therapeutic silencing of microRNA-122 in primates with chronic hepatitis C virus infection. *Science* 327:198–201
73. Krutzfeldt J, Rajewsky N, Braich R, Rajeev KG, Tuschl T, Manoharan M, Stoffel M (2005) Silencing of microRNAs in vivo with antagomirs. *Nature* 438:685–689
74. Krutzfeldt J, Kuwajima S, Braich R, Rajeev KG, Pena J, Tuschl T, Manoharan M, Stoffel M (2007) Specificity, duplex degradation and subcellular localization of antagomirs. *Nucleic Acids Res* 35:2885–2892
75. Fabani MM, Gait MJ (2008) miR-122 targeting with LNA/20-O-methyl oligonucleotide mixers, peptide nucleotides (PNA) and PNA-peptide conjugates. *RNA* 14:336–346
76. Fabani MM, Abreu-Goodger C, Williams D, Lyons PA, Torres AG, Smith KGC, Enright AJ, Gait MJ, Vigorito E (2010) Efficient inhibition of miR-155 function in vivo by peptide nucleic acids. *Nucleic Acids Res* 38:4466–4475
77. Koshkin AA, Rajwanshi VK, Wengel J (1998) Novel convenient synthesis of LNA [2.2.1] bicyclic nucleotides. *Tetrahedron Lett* 39:4381–4384
78. Orom UA, Kaupinnen S, Lund AH (2006) LNA-modified oligonucleotides mediate specific inhibition of microRNA function. *Gene* 372:137–141
79. Thomas JR, Hergenrother PJ (2008) Targeting RNA with small molecules. *Chem Rev* 108:1171–1224
80. Gumireddy K, Young DD, Xiong X, Hogenesch JB, Huang Q, Deiters A (2008) Small-molecule inhibitors of microRNA miR-21 function. *Angew Chem Int Ed* 47:7482–7484
81. Zhang S, Chen L, Jung EJ, Calin GA (2010) Targeting microRNAs with small molecules: from dream to reality. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 87:754–758
82. Ebert MS, Sharp PA (2010) MicroRNA sponges: progress and possibilities. *RNA* 16:2043–2050
83. Ma L, Reinhardt F, Pan E, Soutschek J, Bhat B, Marcussen E, Bell GW, Teruya-Feldstein J, Weinberg RA (2010) Therapeutic silencing of miR-10b inhibits metastasis in a mouse mammary tumor model. *Nat Biotechnol* 28:341–347
84. Chen Y, Zhu X, Zhang X, Liu B, Huang L (2010) Nanoparticles modified with tumor targeting scFv deliver siRNA and miRNA for cancer therapy. *Mol Ther* 18:1650–1656
85. Shi SJ, Zhong ZR, Liu J, Zhang ZR, Sun X, Gong T (2011) Solid lipid nanoparticles loaded with anti-microRNA oligonucleotides (AMOs) for suppression of microRNA-21 functions in human lung cancer cells. *Pharm Res* 29:97–109
86. Liu XQ, Song WJ, Sun TM, Zhang PZ, Wang J (2010) Targeted delivery of antisense inhibitor of miRNA for antiangiogenesis therapy using Crgd functionalized nanoparticles. *Mol Pharm* 8:250–259
87. Bader AG, Brown D, Winkler M (2010) The promise of microRNA replacement therapy. *Cancer Res* 70:7027–7030
88. Takeshita F, Patrawala L, Osaki M, Takahashi RU, Yamamoto Y, Kosaka N, Kawamata M, Kelnar K, Bader AG, Brown D, Ochiya T (2010) Systemic delivery of synthetic microRNA-16 inhibits the growth of metastatic prostate tumors via downregulation of multiple cell-cycle genes. *Mol Ther* 18:181–187
89. Wu Z, Asokan A, Samulski RJ (2006) Adeno-associated virus serotypes: vector toolkit for human gene therapy. *Mol Ther* 14:316–327
90. Kota J, Chivukula RR, O'Donnell KA (2009) Therapeutic microRNA delivery suppresses tumorigenesis in a murine liver cancer model. *Cell* 137:1005–1017

91. Volinia S, Calin GA, Liu CG et al (2006) A microRNA expression signature of human solid tumors defines cancer gene targets. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 103:2257–2261
92. Lin PY, Yu SL, Yang PC (2010) MicroRNA in lung cancer. *Br J Cancer* 103:1144–1148
93. Yendamuri S, Kratzke R (2011) MicroRNA biomarkers in lung cancer: MiRacle or quag-MiRe? *Transl Res* 157:209–215
94. Iorio MV, Ferracin M, Liu CG et al (2005) MicroRNA gene expression deregulation in human breast cancer. *Cancer Res* 65:7065–7070
95. Andorfer CA, Necela BM, Thompson EA, Perez EA (2011) MicroRNA signatures: clinical biomarkers for the diagnosis and treatment of breast cancer. *Trends Mol Med* 17:313–319
96. Le QJ, Caldas C (2010) Micro-RNAs and breast cancer. *Mol Oncol* 4:230–241
97. Fu SW, Chen L, Man YG (2011) miRNA biomarkers in breast cancer detection and management. *J Cancer* 2:116–122
98. Luo X, Burwinkel B, Tao S, Brenner H (2011) MicroRNA signatures: novel biomarker for colorectal cancer? *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 20:1272–1286
99. Ma Y, Zhang P, Yang J, Liu Z, Yang Z, Qin H (2012) Candidate microRNA biomarkers in human colorectal cancer: systematic review profiling studies and experimental validation. *Int J Cancer* 130:2077–2087. doi:[10.1002/ijc.26232](https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.26232)
100. Solmi R, Lauriola M, Francesconi M et al (2008) Displayed correlation between gene expression profiles and submicroscopic alterations in response to cetuximab, gefitinib and EGF in human colon cancer cell lines. *BMC Cancer* 8:227
101. Ragusa M, Majorana A, Statello L et al (2010) Specific alterations of microRNA transcriptome and global network structure in colorectal carcinoma after cetuximab treatment. *Mol Cancer Ther* 9:3396–3409
102. Zhang W, Winder T, Ning Y et al (2011) A let-7 microRNA-binding site polymorphism in 3'-untranslated region of KRAS gene predicts response in wild-type KRAS patients with metastatic colorectal cancer treated with cetuximab monotherapy. *Ann Oncol* 22:104–109
103. Graziano F, Canestrari E, Loupakis F et al (2010) Genetic modulation of the let-7 microRNA binding to KRAS 3'-untranslated region and survival of metastatic colorectal cancer patients treated with salvage cetuximab-irinotecan. *Pharmacogenomics J* 10:458–464
104. Read ML, Spice R, Parker AL, Mir S, Logan A (2005) 12th annual congress of the European society of gene therapy. *Expert Opin Biol Ther* 5:137–141
105. Weiss GJ, Bemis LT, Nakajima E et al (2008) EGFR regulation by microRNA in lung cancer: correlation with clinical response and survival to gefitinib and EGFR expression in cell lines. *Ann Oncol* 19:1053–1059
106. Rai K, Takigawa N, Ito S et al (2011) Liposomal delivery of microRNA-7-expressing plasmid overcomes epidermal growth factor receptor-tyrosine kinase inhibitor-resistance in lung cancer cells. *Mol Cancer Ther* 10(9):1720–1727
107. Webster RJ, Giles KM, Price KJ, Zhang PM, Mattick JS, Leedman PJ (2009) Regulation of epidermal growth factor receptor signaling in human cancer cells by microRNA-7. *J Biol Chem* 284:5731–5741
108. Ferracin M, Pedriali M, Veronese A et al (2011) MicroRNA profiling for the identification of cancers with unknown primary tissue-of-origin. *J Pathol* 225(1):43–45
109. Varadhachary GR, Spector Y, Abbruzzese JL et al (2011) Prospective gene signature study using microRNA to identify the tissue of origin in patients with carcinoma of unknown primary. *Clin Cancer Res* 17:4063–4070
110. Schwarzenbach H, Hoon DS, Pantel K (2011) Cell-free nucleic acids as biomarkers in cancer patients. *Nat Rev Cancer* 11:426–437
111. Mostert B, Sieuwerts AM, Martens JW, Sleijfer S (2011) Diagnostic applications of cell-free and circulating tumor cell-associated miRNAs in cancer patients. *Expert Rev Mol Diagn* 11:259–275
112. Brase JC, Wuttig D, Kuner R, Sultmann H (2010) Serum microRNAs as non-invasive biomarkers for cancer. *Mol Cancer* 9:306
113. Scholer N, Langer C, Dohner H, Buske C, Kuchenbauer F (2010) Serum microRNAs as a novel class of biomarkers: a comprehensive review of the literature. *Exp Hematol* 38:1126–1130