

In the above-mentioned article, which appeared in Microwave and Optical Technology Letters, Volume 59#3, DOI 30367, incorrect affiliation information was published. The correction is shown below:

Department of Electrical Engineering, Urmia University, Urmia, Iran

Corresponding author: b.mohammadi@urmia.ac.ir

The authors regret any confusion caused by this error.

© 2017 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

ERRATUM FOR: MULTILAYER CYLINDRICAL INVISIBILITY CLOAK AT MICROWAVE FREQUENCIES BUILT FROM POLYMER AND CARBON NANOTUBES

Yann Danlée,^{1,2} Isabelle Huynen,¹ and Christian Bailly²

¹Information and Communications Technologies, Electronics and Applied Mathematics (ICTEAM), Université catholique de Louvain, 1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

²Institute of Condensed Matter and Nanosciences (IMCN), Université catholique de Louvain, 1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

Received 15 June 2016

© 2017 Wiley Periodicals, Inc. Microwave Opt Technol Lett 59:1227, 2017; View this article online at wileyonlinelibrary.com. DOI 10.1002/mop.30507

In the above-mentioned article, which appeared in Microwave and Optical Technology Letters, Volume 59#01, DOI 30226, a few typing errors were introduced by journal. The corrections are shown below:

The correct caption of Figure 2 is the following:

Simulation of effective permittivity at 6GHz of a bilayer as function of thickness of Rohacell[®] layer and conductivity of conductive layer. The conductive composite is 175 μm thick and $\epsilon_{\text{Rohacell}} = 1.106$. Effective permittivity must at least cross the 0 to 1 gap for a chosen conductivity of composite (e.g., $\sigma = 24 \text{ S/m}$).

The correct caption of Figure 3 is the following:

Illustration of Rohacell[®]-CNT film bilayers and their effective permittivity characterized by PNAAX.

In subsection 2.3. *EM Characterization*, the word Rohacell should be written Rohacell[®].

In Table 1, the third column name is t_{Rohacell} instead of t_{Rohaceii} .

In the section 3. *Results and discussion*, the end of the first paragraph should be corrected as:

Our implementation process provides a low thickness film of 175 μm , while simulations give the lower bound of the composite conductivity to reach $\epsilon_{\text{eff}} = 0$ depending on the dielectric layer. The conductivity of the AquaCylTM CNT sandwich is fixed at $\sigma_{\text{composite}} = 24 \text{ S/m}$ so that a realistic variation of the Rohacell[®] allows sweeping the $0 < \epsilon_{\text{eff}} < 1$ range.

In the same section 3, *Results and discussion*, the word Rohacell(g) should be Rohacell[®].

The correct caption of Figure 6 is the following:

Near field characterization of a metallic cylinder in (a) which is cloaked by a stack of 5 Rohacell[®]-CNT composite bilayers in (b). The free space is characterized in (c) for comparison with cloaked system.

In the *acknowledgments*, all the accents are missing. The correction is:

[...] This work is also supported by the MINATIS project co-funded by the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) and the Walloon region, and by the Communauté Française de Belgique, through the project “Nano4waves” funded by its research program “Actions de Recherche Concertées.” [...] We thank R. Berben, P. van Velthem, M. Sclavon, P. Danlée and P. Lipnik for assistance during processing and microscopic observations.

In addition, incorrect reference writing were included. The correction is shown below:

16. Y. Danlée, C. Bailly, and I. Huynen, *Compos Sci Technol* 100 (2014), 182–188.

21. B. Kanté, D. Germain, and A. de Lustrac, *Phys Rev B* 80 (2009), 201104.

The authors regret any confusion caused by these errors.

© 2017 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

ERRATUM FOR: MULTI-BAND CPW FED MIMO ANTENNA FOR BLUETOOTH, WLAN, AND WIMAX APPLICATIONS

Irem Desde, Goksenin Bozdog, and Alp Kustepeli

The Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, Izmir Institute of Technology, Izmir 35430, Turkey; Corresponding author: gokseninbozdog@iyte.edu.tr

Received 9 February 2016

© 2017 Wiley Periodicals, Inc. Microwave Opt Technol Lett 59:1227–1228, 2017; View this article online at wileyonlinelibrary.com. DOI 10.1002/mop.30508



In the above-mentioned article, which appeared in Microwave and Optical Technology Letters, Volume 58#9, DOI 30001, the captions for Figure 1 and Figure 4 were published incorrectly. The corrections are shown below:

Figure 1 Element antenna (a) Geometry (b) Fabricated ($a = 12.5 \text{ mm}$, $b = m = v = o = 0.5 \text{ mm}$, $c = 4 \text{ mm}$, $d = n = 15 \text{ mm}$, $e = h = 3 \text{ mm}$, $f = 14 \text{ mm}$, $g = 16 \text{ mm}$, $i = 7 \text{ mm}$, $k = 1.5 \text{ mm}$, $l = 12 \text{ mm}$, $p = 1.25 \text{ mm}$, $r = 1.64 \text{ mm}$, $s = 1.7 \text{ mm}$, $t = 1.94 \text{ mm}$, $u = 11.1 \text{ mm}$, $w = 0.3 \text{ mm}$). [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]


Figure 4 Fabricated MIMO antenna ($d1 = d3 = 7 \text{ mm}$, $d2 = 10 \text{ mm}$, $d4 = 12 \text{ mm}$). [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

In addition, Figure 6 was published with an error. The corrected figure is shown below:



The publisher regrets any confusion caused by these errors.




(a)



(b)



(c)



(d)