pH-labile sheddable block copolymers by RAFT polymerization: Synthesis and potential use as siRNA conjugates
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A B S T R A C T
Well-defined amphiphilic block copolymers composed of hydrophilic and hydrophobic blocks linked through an acid-labile acetal bond were synthesized directly by RAFT polymerization using a new poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) macroRAFT agent modified with an acid-labile group at its R-terminal. The new macroRAFT agent was used for polymerization of poly(t-butyl methacrylate) (PtBMA) or poly(cholesterol-methacrylate) (PCMA) to synthesize well-defined block copolymers with a PEG block sheddable under acidic conditions. The chain extension polymerization kinetics showed known traits of RAFT polymerization. The molecular weight distributions of the copolymers prepared using the new macroRAFT agent remained below 1.2 during the polymerizations and the molecular weight of the copolymers was linearly proportional to monomer conversions. The acid-catalyzed hydrolysis behavior of the PEG-macroRAFT agent and the PEG-b-PtBMA (Mn = 13,600 by GPC, PDI = 1.10) was studied by GPC, 'H NMR and UV–vis spectroscopy. The half-life of acid-hydrolysis was 70 min at pH 2.2 and 92 h at pH 4.0. The potential use of the pH-labile shedding behavior of the copolymers was demonstrated by conjugating a thiol-modified siRNA to o-pyridyldisulfide modified PEG-b-PCMA. The resultant PEG-b-PCMA-b-siRNA triblock modular polymer released PCMA-b-siRNA segment in acidic and siRNA segment in reductive conditions, as confirmed by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis.

1. Introduction
pH-responsive soluble carriers have been extensively investigated for drug and gene delivery because of the pH gradients that exist in both normal and pathological conditions [1–22]. For example, some tumors [23] and inflammatory tissues [24] have are more acidic than the blood and normal tissue. In addition, although the endocytic pathway of cells begins near the physiological pH of 7.4, it drops to a lower pH of 5.5–6.0 in endosomes and approaches to pH 4.5–5.0 in lysosomes [25]. Based on this physiological trigger, the acid-labile polymers are potentially applicable for constructing drug/gene delivery systems that are triggered to function at acidic sites.

Recently, virus-mimetic polymeric carriers responding to the pH gradients at intracellular sites have been developed for intracellular drug delivery [4,15,26–28]. Hoffman, Stayton et al. were first to report “bioinspired encrypted polymers” [15]. These polymers synthesized by free radical copolymerization of hydrophobic monomers and subsequent PEG grafting, had an acid-labile PEG mask on the hydrophobic polymer backbone and were efficient in delivering peptides and antisense oligonucleotides into the cytoplasm of cells upon dePEGylation of the hydrophobic backbone [15]. In recent years, a number of pH-labile dynamic carriers adopting similar “dePEGylation” strategies
have been developed, in general by modification of pre-ready polymers, for intracellular delivery of genes and siRNA [7,8,29–35]. More recently, Lin et al. reported the in situ synthesis of a block copolymer that employed the pH-dependent dePEGylation strategy, by atom transfer radical polymerization [36]. This block copolymer consisted of poly(ethylene glycol) and poly(2-[(dimethylamino)ethyl methacrylate) segments connected through an acid-labile cyclic ortho ester linkage was prepared directly from an ortho ester group–modified PEG-based ATRP initiator synthesized in five-steps.

Considering the value of pH-dependent dePEGylation strategy for drug delivery applications, we have developed a reversible-addition fragmentation chain transfer (RAFT) polymerization-based method for in situ synthesis of well-defined pH-labile sheddable block copolymers to improve the applicability of the dePEGylation strategy in drug delivery. The RAFT technique is a powerful tool for synthesis of controlled architectures of a wide-variety of polymers with defined end- and pendant-functionality, controlled molecular weights and narrow polydispersities using mild conditions (such as aqueous solutions and room temperatures) [37–41].

Herein, a new PEG-macroRAFT agent having an R-group containing acid-labile acetal bond was first synthesized. The pH-dependent hydrolysis of the PEG-macroRAFT agent was characterized by NMR. The block copolymers of PEG with methylmethacrylate, t-butylmethacrylate (tBMA) or cholesterol methacrylate (CMA) were synthesized via chain-extension copolymerization using the new PEG-macroRAFT agent. The potential utility of the pH-labile macroRAFT agent strategy in drug/gene delivery was demonstrated by preparing reversible micelles of amphiphilic PEG–b–PtBMA diblock copolymers as potential drug carrier systems [42–45] and also modular PEG–b–PCMA–b–siRNA triblock copolymers as potential gene delivery systems [46,47]. The micelles formed from pH-labile PEG–b–P(PEG) copolymers were dePEGylated in response to acidic environment, as determined by UV–vis spectrophotometry. The modular triblock copolymers of siRNA released PCMA–b–siRNA and siRNA segments under acidic and reductive conditions, respectively, as determined by gel electrophoresis.

2. Experimental section

2.1. Materials

The initiator, 2,2–azobisisobutyronitrile (AIBN), was recrystallized twice from methanol prior to use. Poly(ethylene glycol) methyl ether (PEG5000, number-average molecular weight Mn 5000 g/mol), 4-formylbenzoic acid, 1,1,1-tris(hydroxymethyl)ethane acetal (tHME), 3 Å molecular sieve and p-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate (PTSA) were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich (Australia) and dried in the vacuum oven prior to use. Methyl methacrylate (MMA) (Aldrich, 99%), tert-butyl methacrylate (tBMA) (Aldrich, 98%), poly(ethylene glycol) methyl ether acrylate (PEG–A) (Aldrich, Mn 480 g/mol) were purified via basic alumina gel column chromatography before use. N,N–Dicyclohexyl carbodiimide (DCC), 4-(dimethylamino)pyridine (DMAP), triethyl amine (TEA) and methacryloyl chloride were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich and used without further purification. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was dried by refluxing with sodium metal. Acetonitrile, diethyl ether, and dichloromethane (Univar, analytical grade reagent) were used as received. 4-(Cyanopentanoic acid)–4-dithiobenzoate (CPADB) was synthesized according to the procedure described in the literature [37]. Cholesterol was purchased from Sigma–Aldrich. Cholesterol methacrylate monomer (CMA) was synthesized according to the procedure described elsewhere [48,49].

2.1.1. Synthesis of PEG formyl benzoic acid ester (1)

PEG5000 (5 g, 1 mmol) and 4-formylbenzoic acid (0.75 g, 5 mmol) were dissolved in a mixture of dichloromethane/THF (30 mL/30 mL), and the resulting solution was stirred in an ice bath. DCC (206 mg, 1 mmol) and DMAP (12 mg, 0.1 mmol) were then added to the reaction solution. The solution was kept stirred overnight. Sedimentation was removed by filtration, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The mixture was purified by precipitating in cold diethyl ether three times. After drying in vacuum oven, a white solid was obtained (3.82 g, yield 72.7%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3, δ ppm): 10.05 (1H, s, –CHO), 8.12–7.95 (4H, m, phenyl group), 4.5 (2H, t, –CH2OOC), 3.8 (H, m, –OCH2CH2OOC), 3.2 (H, s, –OCH2). The spectrum is shown in Fig. S1 (Supporting Information).

2.1.2. Synthesis of PEG formyl benzoic acid ester 1,1,1-tris(hydroxymethyl)ethane acetal (2)

All the glasswares and chemicals were dried prior to use. A mixture of 1 (0.522 g, 0.1 mmol), 3 Å molecular sieve (1 g), 1,1,1-tris(hydroxymethyl)ethane (tHME) (120 mg, 1 mmol), anhydrous PTSA (6.9 mg, 0.038 mmol), and anhydrous THF (10 mL) were heated to reflux for 8 h, and cooled to ambient temperature. TEA was then added to quench the reaction. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and crude product was precipitated in cold ether twice to give white powder (2), yield 80%. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3, δ ppm): 8.12–7.6 (4H, m, phenyl group), 5.42 (1H, s, -phenyl CHO(O)), 4.5 (2H, t, –CH2CH2OOC), 3.8 (H, m, –OCH2CH2OOC), 3.2 (H, s, -OCH2). The spectrum is shown in Fig. S2 (Supporting Information).

2.1.3. Synthesis of acid labile PEG-macroRAFT agent (3)

Compound 2 (523 mg, 0.1 mmol) was dissolved in 30 mL of dichloromethane, and the solution was stirred in an ice bath. DCC (103 mg, 0.5 mmol), DMAP (12 mg, 0.1 mmol) and CPADB (140 mg, 0.5 mmol) were then added, and the solution was kept stirred overnight. Sedimentation was removed by filtering, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by precipitation in cold diethyl ether three times. After drying in a vacuum oven, a pink solid was obtained (yield 52.7%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3, δ ppm): 8.12–7.25 (9H, m, phenyl group), 5.42 (1H, s, -phenyl...
CH(O)O), 4.5 (2H, t, -CH2CH2OOC), 4.39 (2H, s, -CH3O), 3.8 (H, m, -OCH2CH2O), 3.2 (3H, s, -OCH3), 2.7–2.35 (4H, m, OCCH2CH2C(3H)CN), 1.98 (3H, s, CH3CCN).

2.1.4. RAFT polymerizations

A number of polymerizations with methyl methacrylate (MMA), tert-butyl methacrylate (tBMA), poly(ethylene glycol acrylate) (PEG-A) and cholesterol methacrylate (CMA) were performed using the acid labile PEG-macroRAFT agent 3. The monomer concentration and the ratio of the monomer, RAFT, and initiator concentrations were varied as tabulated in Table 1. A typical procedure is given below for polymerization of tert-butyl methacrylate: 55.0 mg (0.01 mmol) of the RAFT agent 3, 284 mg (2 mmol) of tert-butyl methacrylate, 0.33 mg (0.002 mmol) of AIBN were dissolved in 2.1 mL of acetonitrile. Following the sealing of the vial with rubber septum, the polymerization solution was purged with nitrogen for 30 min in an ice bath. The solution was placed in an oil bath at 65 °C. Aliquots (0.1 mL) were taken at predetermined time intervals and quenched via rapid cooling and exposure to oxygen. For each sample, the number-average molecular weight (Mn) and polydispersity index (PDI) were determined by GPC without purification. The conversions were determined from the 1H NMR spectra by comparison of the integrations of the vinyl proton peaks of the monomer and methyl protons in the polymer. The polymer was concentrated by partial evaporation of acetonitrile, and dialyzed against acetone for 2 days to remove unreacted monomer and the RAFT agent. After removing the solvent and drying in vacuum oven for 24 h, the samples were further analyzed by GPC and 1H NMR.

2.1.5. Synthesis of ω-pyridyl-disulfide functional polymer by aminolysis in the presence of 2,2'-dithiodipyridine

50 mg of PEG-b-Poly(cholesterol methacrylate) (PEG-b-PCMA) (7.76 × 10⁻⁶ mol, Mn = 6442 g/mol by NMR, 7230 g/mol and PDI = 1.12 by GPC) and 33 mg of 2,2'-dithiodipyridine (DT) (1.51 × 10⁻⁴ mol) were dissolved in acetonitrile (2 mL). The solution was purged for 30 min with nitrogen to remove the oxygen. Hexylamine (5 μL, 3.77 × 10⁻⁵ mol) was then added under nitrogen. The solution was shaken for 5 h, and the color was observed to change from pink to yellow. The polymer was dialyzed (MWCO = 3500 Da) against an acetone/water mixture (50/50 V%) for 2 days to remove the excess of DT, and dialyzed against water for 1 day to remove acetone. The final polymer was freeze-dried and analyzed by 1H NMR.

2.1.6. Conjugation of siRNA to ω-pyridyl-disulfide functional (PEG-b-PCMA)

5'-Sense strand thiol-modified siRNA (2 μL, 0.5 mM in RNase free water) was mixed with DT (5 μL, 200 mM) solution and kept for 3 h at room temperature. To remove unreacted DT, siRNA was precipitated using a large quantity of ethanol. The siRNA pellet was completely resuspended in 30 μL of ω-pyridyl-disulfide-(PEG-b-PCMA) solution (50 mg/mL, in 100 mM sodium bicarbonate buffer at pH 8.5) and left at room temperature for 20 h before assessing the conjugation by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE).

2.2. Instrumental analyses

2.2.1. Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy

1H NMR and 13C NMR analyses were performed using a Bruker 300-MHz spectrometer. Deuterated solvents (CDCl3, D2O) were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich. Sample preparation was performed by dissolving 10 mg (for 1H NMR) and 100 mg (for 13C NMR) of sample in 600 μL of deuterated solvent.

The hydrolysis kinetic analyses were performed at pH 2.2, 3.0 and 4.0 buffer solutions prepared in D2O. Citrate-phosphate buffer solution (200 mM, pH 3.0 and 4.0) and acetic acid buffer solution pH 2.2 were first prepared, and 600 μL of each buffer was freeze-dried. The dried salts were dissolved in 600 μL of D2O to obtain buffer solutions in D2O. After mixing the polymer precursor 2 in the NMR tube, the 1H NMR detection was performed immediately. The proton signals were collected at every 15 min (ns = 16 times).

2.2.2. Gel permeation chromatography (GPC)

GPC analyses were performed using tetrahydrofuran (THF)/0.05 mol% lithium bromide (LiBr) or N,N-dimethylacetamide (DMAC)/0.05 mol% LiBr as the mobile phase.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Monomer</th>
<th>[M]/[RAFT]/[I]</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>Conv (%)</th>
<th>Mn (g/mol)</th>
<th>PDI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>MMA</td>
<td>200/1/0.2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>13,496</td>
<td>14,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>tBMA</td>
<td>200/1/0.2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>14,016</td>
<td>13,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>tBMA</td>
<td>200/1/0.2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>26,796</td>
<td>28,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>CMA</td>
<td>30/1/0.2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7953</td>
<td>9100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>CMA</td>
<td>17/1/0.2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6656</td>
<td>7200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>PEG-A</td>
<td>50/1/0.2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>13,800</td>
<td>14,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* [M], [RAFT] and [I] are the feed concentration of monomer, PEG-macroRAFT agent, and initiator AIBN, respectively.

b Conversion is monomer conversion that was determined from the 1H NMR spectra by comparison of the integrations of the vinyl proton peaks of the monomer and methyl protons in the polymer.

c Theoretical molecular weight was calculated by Mn = (conversion (%) × [M]/[RAFT] × MW(monomer)) + MWRAFT.

d GPC molecular weights were determined by GPC (mobile phases, THF).

PDI is polydispersity index.
The GPC Shimadzu modular system comprised of an LC-10ATVP Shimadzu solvent delivery system, a SIL-10ADVP Shimadzu autoinjector, a column set that consisted of a Phenomenex 5.0 µm bead size guard column and four 5.0 µm Phenomenex Phenogel columns (500, 10^3, 10^4, and 10^5 Å for the GPC using THF as mobile phase, and 500, 10^3, 10^4, and 10^5 Å for the GPC using DMAC as mobile phase), and a differential refractive-index detector. Temperature was kept constant at 40 °C inside a CTO-10AC VP Shimadzu column oven. The columns were calibrated with commercial linear polystyrene standards ranging from 500 to 10^6 g/mol.

2.2.3. Dynamic light scattering (DLS)

The hydrodynamic size of micelles was determined using a Malvern Zetasizer NaNo ZS Instrument (Malvern, USA) equipped with a 4 mV He–Ne laser operating at λ = 633 nm, an avalanche photodiode detector with high quantum efficiency, and an ALV/LSE-5003 multiple tau digital correlator electronics system. Samples were filtered prior to measurement and measured by scanning five times of 1.5 min duration without employing the dust filter function. The samples were prepared at 5 mg/mL concentration.

To make the polymer micelles for DLS measurements, PEG-b-pPtBMA (Mn = 13,600 by GPC, PDI = 1.10) (10 mg) was dissolved in 400 µL of acetone, and dialyzed against water for three days. Milli-Q water was added to make the final solution volume to be 2 mL.

2.2.4. UV–vis spectrophotometry

A double beam spectrophotometer (HITACHI U-2800) with a detection range from 190 to 800 nm and a photometric range of five absorbance units was used. Transmittance of PEG-b-p(MMA) (Mn = 13,600 by GPC, PDI = 1.10) in various pH buffer solutions (5 mg/mL) was monitored at 650 nm.

2.2.5. Gel electrophoresis

Gel electrophoresis of PEG-b-PCMA-b-siRNA conjugate was performed using 15% TBE precast polyacrylamide gels (Bio-Rad). Samples (containing 0.025 nmol siRNA) were loaded with 5X TBE urea loading buffer, and run at a constant voltage of 200 V for 30 min using 1X TBE buffer (tris-borate EDTA, pH 8.0). The gel was stained with 1X gel red in 100 mM NaCl solution for 30 min. To demonstrate the release of PCMA-b-siRNA and siRNA segments from the modular conjugate under acidic and reductive conditions, the conjugate samples were incubated with 2 µL of acetic acid buffer solution (pH 2.2) or 2 µL of 10 mM DTT for 4 h respectively, and then analyzed by gel electrophoresis.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Synthesis of acid-labile PEG-macroRAFT agent 3

A new macromolecular RAFT agent with an acid-labile group was synthesized as shown in Scheme 1. The cyclic acetal was chosen as an acid-labile group in the macroRAFT agent. Because acetics can easily be formed using a variety of hydroxyl groups including primary, secondary, tertiary, and syn-1,2- and -1,3-diols, and more importantly the rate of acid-catalyzed hydrolysis can be tailored diversely by varying the structure of the acetal [50–54].

First, precursor 1 was synthesized by an esterification reaction between PEG and formyl benzoic acid in the presence of DCC and DMAP. The integration ratio of phenyl group (7.92, 8.25 ppm), methylene group adjacent to ester (4.5 ppm), and methylene group in PEG (3.62–3.85 ppm, 450H) of 2:2:2:450 (Fig. S1 in the Supporting Information), well-matched with the targeted structure, confirmed the successful synthesis of pure precursor 1. The following acetal reaction that was performed with tHEM under anhydrous conditions yielded precursor 2 bearing an acid-labile bond. From the 1H NMR (Fig. S2 in the Supporting Information), the appearance of the acetal signal at 5.5 ppm and the complete disappearance of the benzaldehyde signal at 10.2 ppm, indicated that the precursor 2 was obtained successfully. Precursor 2, could then be reacted readily with CPADB by an esterification reaction without any degradation of the thiocarbonylthio moiety and acetal group using a carefully optimized molar ratio of DCC and CPADB, 0.95:1. In 1H NMR spectrum (Fig. 1A), the benzaldehyde signal at 10.05 ppm could not have been observed indicating that no hydrolysis of acetal group took place. Analysis by 13C NMR also confirmed the successful synthesis of the expected PEG-macroRAFT agent structure (Fig. 1B). However, from the integration ratio of the phenyl group in CPADB and phenyl group adjacent to PEG chain, there was still a trace amount of unreacted precursor 2 left, although three times precipitation in cold diethyl ether was performed. Considering that the presence of the precursor 2 had no effect on the RAFT polymerization, and it could be easily removed by dialysis after polymerization (vide infra), no further purification was performed for the PEG-macroRAFT agent 3.

3.2. Synthesis of block copolymers via RAFT polymerization

The new PEG-macroRAFT agent 3, containing an acid-labile acetal group, was employed to mediate the chain-extension copolymerizations. Various monomers (methyl methacrylate (MMA), tert-butyl methacrylate (tBMA), poly(ethylene glycol) methyl ether acrylate (PEG-A) and cholesterol methacrylate (CMA)), were employed to test the new RAFT agent utility in controlling the polymerizations. A number of polymerizations were performed varying the ratio of the monomer, RAFT agent, and initiator concentration as summarized in Table 1. The direct proportionality of [monomer]/[RAFT agent] ratio with the Mn of the polymers and the low PDI values indicated that the polymerizations were controlled by PEG-macroRAFT agent 3. The GPC analyses traces of the MMA, CMA, and PEG-A copolymerizations before purification are shown in Fig. S3 in Supporting Information.

To further assess the control ability of the PEG-macroRAFT agent, a kinetic study was undertaken for polymerization of t-BMA. An initial [tBMA]/[RAFT]/[AIBN] ratio of 300:1:0.2 was used. The GPC chromatograms (Fig. 2A) of the polymerization mixtures showed clear shifts with
increasing polymerization times, indicating the successful synthesis of the block copolymers. The low molecular weight trace observed consistently in each GPC trace was due to the existence of the unreacted precursor 2 in the initial PEG-macroRAFT agent. This was completely removed after purification by dialyzing against basic water containing 0.01% (V%) TEA (Fig. S4 in the Supporting Information). In addition, linearity of the pseudo-first order kinetic plot (Fig. 2B) was indicative of a constant radical concentration. The molecular weight and PDI versus conversion plot

Scheme 1. Synthesis of the acid-labile PEG-macroRAFT agent and block copolymers (A), and the conjugation of siRNA (B).
(Fig. 2C) showed that the molecular weight increased linearly with conversion. As the reaction proceeded, the molecular weight distributions remained narrow (PDI ≤ 1.20). Overall the polymerization results demonstrated that the new RAFT agent was able to control the chain extension polymerization.

3.3. Determination of the polymer hydrolysis

The acid-labile dePEGylation behavior of PEG-b-PtBMA (Mn = 13,600 by GPC, PDI = 1.10) was investigated first by GPC. The GPC traces before and after hydrolysis are shown in Fig. 3. Chromatogram A shows the PEG-b-PtBMA before hydrolysis, and chromatogram B shows the same polymer after incubating in acetic acid solution (pH 2.2) for 24 h at 37 °C. While the Mn of the polymer before hydrolysis was 13600 g/mol, two traces (Mn 7700 and 6100 g/mol) were obtained after incubation in acidic solution. The Mn of the traces after hydrolysis was in good agreement with the Mn of the copolymer before the hydrolysis. The retention time of the modified PEG (precursor 2) is the same with that of the longer elution time trace in chromatogram b, which indicated that the hydrolysis of the copolymer took place at the acetal position, and the two traces in the chromatogram could be ascribed to PtBMA and the modified PEG (precursor 2).

The pH-dependent hydrolysis behavior of the copolymer was further confirmed by UV–vis spectroscopy. Since the block copolymers synthesized with tBMA, MMA and CMA have an amphiphilic character, they should be able...
to form micelles in aqueous solutions at optimized conditions. Amphiphilic block copolymer micelles are useful tools for various drug delivery strategies. They are usually designed to be triggered to disassemble into their unimers or undergo complete degradation after delivering their therapeutic cargo. Micelles to be formed from acid-cleavable modular amphiphilic block copolymers would enable the disassembly of the structures at acidic environments. PEG-b-PtBMA ($M_n = 13,600$ by GPC, PDI = 1.10) in water (5 mg/mL) formed micelles as confirmed by DLS (data not shown). The hydrodynamic diameter of the micelles in water was approximately 30 nm (PDI = 0.143). The micelle solutions at different pHs were investigated by measuring the transmittance of the solutions using a UV–vis spectrometer (Fig. 4). The obvious decrease of the transmittance at pH 2 with time, was attributed to the

![Fig. 2. RAFT polymerization of tert-butyl methacrylate (tBMA) using PEG-macroRAFT agent 3 in acetonitrile at 65 °C. $[tBMA]_0/[RAFT]_0/[AIBN]_0 = 300/1/0.2$, $[tBMA]_0 = 1.0$ mol/L; (A) GPC traces; (B) kinetics plot; (C) evolution of the number average molecular weight ($M_n$) and polydispersity index (PDI) versus conversion. The experimental molecular weight was measured by GPC calibrated with polystyrene standards using an RI detector.](image1)

![Fig. 3. GPC traces of PEG-b-PtBMA before (a) and after (b) hydrolysis, and the precursor 2 (c).](image2)

![Fig. 4. UV–vis spectrometric measurements of PEG-b-PtBMA solution, at pH 2.2 (■), pH 3.0 (○) and pH 4.0 (▲).](image3)
disappearance of micelles due to the hydrolysis of the acetal bond in the copolymer, leading to dePEGylation of the copolymers and subsequent separation of water-insoluble PtBMA block from aqueous solution.

After initial verification of the hydrolysis behavior of the modular block copolymers by GPC and UV–vis spectrometry, a more detailed study of the hydrolysis rate was undertaken by $^1$H NMR. The precursor 2 which has better water solubility than the block copolymers was used in these experiments. $^1$H NMR signals of precursor 2 in acidic solutions were recorded at predetermined time intervals in various pH D$_2$O solutions at 37 °C. As seen in Fig. 5A, with increasing reaction times, the hydrolysis can be clearly monitored by the appearance of the aldehyde proton signal at 10.05 ppm with increasing intensity. Also, the signals of phenyl group after hydrolysis could be observed at 7.9 and 8.2 ppm. The hydrolysis percentage was calculated based on the integration ratio between the phenyl group at 7.6 ppm and total phenyl group peaks at 7.5–8.3 ppm. By plotting hydrolysis percentage versus time (Fig. 5B), the half-life of the acetal group in the polymer were deduced about 70 min at pH 2.2 and 92 h at pH 4.0.

3.4. Modular PEG-b-PCMA-b-siRNA conjugates

To further demonstrate the potential use of acid-reversible modular copolymer structure, a thiol-modified siRNA was conjugated to PEG-b-PCMA block copolymer synthesized using the new macroRAFT agent. A low molecular weight copolymer PEG-b-PCMA (Mn = 6442 by $^1$H NMR, Mn = 7200 by GPC, PDI 1.12) was used in these experiments to minimize the steric hindrance effects during the end-group conjugation of the copolymer with siRNA.

The $^1$H NMR spectrum of the polymer PEG-b-PCMA is given in Fig. 6A. The proton signals of the phenyl group adjacent to PEG ($\delta = 8.05$ and 7.55 ppm), the phenyl group adjacent to thiocarbonylthio ($\delta = 7.8$, 7.5 and 7.35 ppm), and vinyl group in cholesterol ($\delta = 5.35$ ppm) are all clearly observed. Moreover, the integration ratio of the proton signal of the phenyl group adjacent to PEG ($\delta = 8.05$ ppm) and the acetal group ($\delta = 5.5$ ppm) of 2:1, and the absence of benzaldehyde signal observed at 10.5 ppm indicated that the acetal group was maintained during the polymerization.

The thiocarbonylthio end group of the polymers synthesized by RAFT polymerization can be easily functionalized with pyridyl disulfide group by aminolysis using an amine compound and 2,2'-dithiodipyridine (DTP) together to yield stable pyridyl disulfide (PDS)-functionalized polymers [38–40,55,56]. Following this strategy, $\alpha$-pyridyl disulfide-functional PEG-b-PCMA was obtained by aminolyzing the copolymer in the presence of hexylamine and DTP. $^1$H NMR spectrum of the purified polymer (Fig. 6B) confirmed the presence of the pyridyl disulfide group on the polymer chains, as evidenced by the existence of new signals at 8.4, 7.65 and 7.02 ppm which were ascribed to

![Fig. 5](https://example.com/image.png)

Fig. 5. The hydrolysis kinetics of acetal group in precursor 2, determined at pH 2.2, 3.0 and 4.0, at 37 °C. (A) $^1$H NMR spectrum at pH 2.2, (for the spectra at pH 3.0 and 4.0, see Fig. S5 in the Supporting Information) and (B) the hydrolysis percentages at various pHs based on the $^1$H NMR results.
the pyridyl protons. Subsequently, a 3'-antisense-thiol modified siRNA [57,58] was conjugated to ω-pyridyl disulfide-functional PEG-b-PCMA. The final modular conjugate, PEG-b-PCMA-b-siRNA contained an acid-cleavable acetal bond between the PEG and PCMA segments and a reducible disulfide bond between the PCMA and siRNA segments. The modular conjugate was expected to release PCMA-b-siRNA segment under acidic conditions and siRNA under reductive conditions. Here it should be noted that under acidic conditions the release of siRNA together with a cellular membrane-destabilizing block such as PCMA [48] would be desirable for trafficking siRNA to cytosol from endocytic compartments such as endosomes or lysosomes. Subsequent to the release of the
membrane-destabilizing block-siRNA conjugate, siRNA is expected to be released from the membrane destabilizing block in the reducing environment of cytosol. The slow hydrolysis kinetic of the copolymers prepared by the new macroRAFT agent, presented in this study, clearly does not offer the desired release profile for cytoplasmic delivery siRNA. However, following the RAFT polymerization-based strategy reported in this study, it is possible to generate a variety of macroRAFT agents containing acetal or ketal bonds with faster hydrolysis kinetics suitable for endocytic pathway as the hydrolysis kinetics of acetals and ketals can be well-controlled by the substituent groups [50,51].

The acid and thiol labile reversibility of PEG-b-PCMA-b-siRNA was investigated using polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) (Fig. 7). After conjugation of siRNA with the diblock copolymer, an obvious shift to higher molecular weight (lane 4) was observed compared with the free siRNA (lane 1). The release of PCMA-b-siRNA and siRNA in acidic and reductive conditions, respectively, was confirmed by the disappearance of the higher molecular weight band in the gel. It should be noted that after acid-catalyzed hydrolysis, the PEG chain (Mn = 5000 g/mol) of the diblock conjugate, PCMA-b-siRNA, was removed from the conjugate, leaving the PCMA (Mn 1440 g/mol) and PCMA-b-siRNA (Mn 18,925 g/mol), which has a molecular weight very close to the free siRNA (17,485 g/mol), as observed in lane 1.

4. Conclusions

A new strategy which is based on the use of an acid-hydrolyzable PEG macroRAFT agent to prepare block copolymers and their bioconjugates has been outlined. This new strategy provides a versatile, RAFT polymerization-based route to pH-labile dynamic drug/gene carriers adopting “dePEGylation” strategy.
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