P3HT–graphene bilayer electrode for Schottky junction photodetectors
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Abstract
We have investigated the effect of a poly (3-hexylthiophene-2,5-diyl)(P3HT)–graphene bilayer electrode on the photoresponsivity characteristics of Si-based Schottky photodetectors. P3HT, which is known to be an electron donor and absorb light in the visible spectrum, was placed on CVD grown graphene by dip-coating method. The results of the UV–vis and Raman spectroscopy measurements have been evaluated to confirm the optical and electronic modification of graphene by the P3HT thin film. Current–voltage measurements of graphene/Si and P3HT–graphene/Si revealed rectification behavior confirming a Schottky junction formation at the graphene/Si interface. Time-resolved photocurrent spectroscopy measurements showed the devices had excellent durability and a fast response speed. We found that the maximum spectral photoresponsivity of the P3HT–graphene/Si photodetector increased more than three orders of magnitude compared to that of the bare graphene/Si photodetector. The observed increment in the photoresponsivity of the P3HT–graphene/Si samples was attributed to the charge transfer doping from P3HT to graphene within the spectral range between near-ultraviolet and near-infrared. Furthermore, the P3HT–graphene electrode was found to improve the specific detectivity and noise equivalent power of graphene/Si photodetectors. The obtained results showed that the P3HT–graphene bilayer electrodes significantly improved the photoresponsivity characteristics of our samples and thus can be used as a functional component in Si-based optoelectronic device applications.
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1. Introduction

Graphene, as a one atom thick sheet of sp² bonded carbon atoms arranged in a honeycomb lattice [1], forms a Schottky junction with rectification character when it is transferred onto most conventional semiconducting materials like Si [2], GaAs [3] and SiC [4]. In addition, owing to its high charge carrier mobility [5], superior optical transparency [6] and modulation of the Fermi level [7], graphene is considered as a transparent conductive electrode alternative to indium-thin-oxide (ITO) in microelectronic and optoelectronic devices operating with low leakage currents. Contrary to conventional metal/semiconductor photodetectors, where the Fermi level of the metal remains constant due to a high density of states, even small variations in the charge carrier density can significantly change the Fermi level of graphene, resulting in the modification of the Schottky barrier height and rectification behavior of the junction. Therefore, graphene/Si Schottky junction photodetectors have gained an increasing amount of attention over the past few years due to their photodetection capability at a broad wavelength range between 400–1100 nm [8–14]. However, the maximum value for the photoresponsivity of these devices is restricted to only about 0.3 mA W⁻¹ as a consequence of their substantially low light absorption/gain ratio [8, 15]. For improving device photoresponsivity, the Fermi level of graphene should be lowered to increase both the magnitude of the built-in potential and junction electric field, which promote effective charge separation at the depletion region of the semiconducting material underneath [8, 16]. Recently, a hybrid structure that
combin[es] graphene–Si quantum dots (Si-QDs) has been reported to enhance device photoresponsivity [16]. However, the photoresponsivity of this device is still limited to only about 0.5 A W\(^{-1}\) and besides it exhibits narrow band optical photodetection, greatly hindering the photodetector’s wavelength detection capability especially between the near-ultraviolet and near-infrared spectral range.

Recently, graphene-conductive polymer bilayer structures have attracted great attention since the conductive polymers provide a broad range of flexibility in their chemical and physical properties [17–19]. Among these conductive polymers, poly (3-hexylthiophene-2.5-diyl) P3HT as an electron donor source is the most widely used material in optoelectronic devices [20–25], owing to its high absorption coefficient and electrical conductivity [26, 27]. Additionally, the (P3HT)–graphene bilayer structure shows effective charge transfer at the interface facilitating the movement of photo-generated holes from P3HT to graphene, whereas the photo-generated electrons still remain in P3HT [28]. A recent study has shown that P3HT could be used to modify the Si surface as an electron blocking layer in a graphene/Si Schottky junction solar cell [29]. The power conversion efficiency of the device reaches to such a value that exceeds 10%, suggesting a great potential for large scale photovoltaic applications. A P3HT–graphene hybrid composite has also been utilized as an active channel in photodetectors exhibiting great photocurrent and gain [28, 30].

In this work, we fabricated P3HT–graphene bilayer electrodes for use in Si-based Schottky junction photodetectors operating at a wide spectral wavelength range between 400–1100 nm. UV–vis absorbance and Raman spectroscopy measurements were conducted in order to determine the optical characteristics of the P3HT-coated graphene monolayer. The electronic and optoelectronic characterizations of the graphene/Si samples were done before and after coating the graphene electrodes with P3HT molecules. Time-resolved photocurrent spectroscopy measurements showed that the P3HT–graphene/Si samples exhibited enhanced photodetector performance compared to uncoated graphene/Si devices in terms of photoswitching characteristics, spectral responsivity, specific detectivity and noise equivalent power. The enhancement in the device performance is attributed to the effective charge transfer doping from P3HT to graphene under light illumination, which increases the magnitude of the built-in potential and widens the depletion region in the Si substrate underneath.

2. Methods

Cu foil (25 \(\mu\)m thick, 99.8 purity, Alfa Aesar) as the catalyst-substrate material was placed on a quartz plate and inserted into the tube furnace at atmospheric pressure in the chemical vapor deposition system. As for the first step, the Cu foil was heated up to 1073 °C under a \(\text{H}_2\) (20 sccm) + \(\text{Ar}\) (1000 sccm) gas mixture with a temperature ramp rate of 30 °C min\(^{-1}\). Then the foil was annealed under the same temperature and flow rates for 1 h. After the annealing process, \(\text{CH}_4\) (10 sccm) was introduced into the tube furnace for 1 min in order to facilitate the graphene growth. Finally, the sample was left for rapid cooling from growth temperature to room temperature under gas flows of \(\text{H}_2\) (20 sccm) and \(\text{Ar}\) (1000 sccm).

Microposit S1318 photore sist (PR) was utilized as the supporting layer during the graphene transfer process. The PR on the graphene–Cu template was drop casted and annealed at 70 °C overnight. Iron chloride (FeCl\(_3\)) solution was used to etch the Cu foil and to suspend the graphene-PR. After the Cu foil was fully etched away, graphene-PR was rinsed with deionized water in order to remove any FeCl\(_3\) residue. After the \(\text{N}_2\) drying process, graphene-PR was transferred onto the surface of the clean SiO\(_2\)/n–Si substrate. The substrate was baked at a temperature of 110 °C in order to provide better adhesion of the graphene layer to the surface of the substrate. As for the last step, the PR was removed by hot acetone to leave the graphene layer on its own on the SiO\(_2\)/n–Si substrate.

For the experiments, we used commercial SiO\(_2\) (300 nm)/n–Si wafers with a resistivity of \(\rho = 1–10\ \Omega \cdot \text{cm}\). The wafer was diced into 10 mm \(\times\) 10 mm substrates and was ultrasonically cleaned for 10 min in deionized water, acetone, ethanol and 2-propanol, respectively. Thereafter, a part of the SiO\(_2\) using a mixture of \(\text{H}_2\text{O}:\text{HNO}_3:\text{HF}\) (60:1:1.5) was etched in order to prevent electrical shortening along the graphene layer. Then, the graphene was transferred onto the surface of the partially etched SiO\(_2\)/n–Si substrate. The interconnecting electrodes comprising of 5 nm Cr and 85 nm Au layers were deposited on the SiO\(_2\) and n–Si to get ohmic contact using the thermal evaporation technique. A set of regioregular P3HT solutions with 2.5, 5 and 10 mg ml\(^{-1}\) concentrations were prepared at room temperature in toluene. Then, the coating of the P3HT molecules was completed using the dip-coating technique and extracted at a constant speed of 5 mm s\(^{-1}\). Finally, the P3HT–graphene/Si samples were dried at 110 °C for 10 min in air.

The UV–vis absorbance spectrum of the P3HT–graphene on the quartz substrate was measured for a wavelength range of 400–990 nm. Raman spectrum of the graphene transferred onto the SiO\(_2\)/n–Si was acquired using a 532 nm laser excitation with 600 groove mm\(^{-1}\) grating under 100X microscope objective (XploRA Horiba). In order to perform optoelectronic characterization of the graphene/Si photodetector and P3HT–graphene/Si, a tungsten–halogen lamp (Osram, 275 W) was used to generate light and specific wavelengths were separated with the help of a monochromator (Newport, Oriel Cornerstone), which included an internal shutter. A spectrophotometer (Oceans Optics) was used to calibrate the full width of half maximum of light by changing the slit of the spectrophotometer and the power output of the commercial Si photodetector (FDS10X10, Thorlabs) was obtained to define the incoming power of light on the device area. Then time-resolved dark current and photocurrent, and responsivity versus wavelength (resolution 15 nm) measurements were employed by using a Keithley 2400 source-meter and Keithley 6485 picoammeter.
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Figure 1. (a) Optical absorption spectra of P3HT films prepared from different concentrations on quartz. Inset: thickness of P3HT as a function of concentration. (b) Raman spectra of graphene and P3HT on graphene transferred onto SiO2 coated Si.

3. Results and discussion

Figure 1(a) shows the optical spectrum of the P3HT–graphene film on quartz substrate. The P3HT film exhibits a main absorption peak at a wavelength of around 535 nm. This is attributed to the $\pi - \pi^*$ electronic transition and lattice vibration in crystalline P3HT domains. Concentration dependent thickness variations of dip-coated P3HT films are presented in the inset of figure 1(a). The measured thicknesses of the P3HT films were about 10, 20 and 30 nm corresponding to solution concentrations of 2.5, 5 and 10 mg ml$^{-1}$, respectively.

Figure 1(b) shows the Raman spectra of graphene and P3HT film on graphene. In general, the Raman spectrum of graphene exhibits typical band-peaks at the wavenumbers of 1345 cm$^{-1}$ for C=C skeletal stretching and 1208 cm$^{-1}$ for inter-ring C=C stretch mode [32]. The main Raman peak of the P3HT-coated graphene film at 1596 cm$^{-1}$ and 2D peak at 2785 cm$^{-1}$ are also present (figure 1(b)). However, the D peak is suppressed by C–C stretching of P3HT and the G peak is shifted from 1593–1596 cm$^{-1}$. This manifests that charge transfer occurs between P3HT and graphene [32].

Figure 2(a) shows a scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image of the P3HT–graphene/Si photodetector retaining P3HT–graphene film and Cr/Au electrode both on the n–Si and SiO2 sides of the device structure. Figure 2(b) depicts the three-dimensional view of the biased P3HT–graphene/Si device. The device with P3HT–graphene of a P3HT–graphene electrode and an underlying n-type Si substrate, which was in contact with 3 mm × 5 mm of the device area. Cr/Au was used to get ohmic contacts both on the graphene and on the n–Si. Upon light illumination in the visible wavelength range, electron-hole pairs were generated in the P3HT layer and in the depletion region of the n-type Si substrate as well. When a bias voltage was applied between the two electrodes, the electric field in the depletion region swept the photogenerated electrons through to the n-type Si which was forward biased ($V_b < 0$ V), whereas the holes moved towards the region underneath, which was reverse biased ($V_b > 0$ V) P3HT/graphene. Because of the fact that the photogenerated charge carriers were collected separately at the corresponding
electrodes, this yielded a measurable photocurrent in the device. Figure 2(c) demonstrates the schematic illustration for the energy-band diagram of the P3HT–graphene/Si photodetector under reverse bias conditions. When the P3HT–graphene and n–Si came into contact, a depletion region and Schottky barrier ($\varphi_b$) were formed at the graphene/n–Si interface due to the difference between their corresponding Fermi levels [2, 33, 34]. Hence, the electrons in n–Si tended to flow into the graphene until the Fermi levels were aligned across the junction. Under illumination, the incident light went through the P3HT–graphene bilayer electrode and penetrated into the n–Si to generate electron-hole pairs. The holes formed in the P3HT layer were transferred to the graphene via charge transfer doping, leading to p-type doping which increases built-in potential and junction field [16, 28]. This gave rise to the efficient separation of the photogenerated charge carriers in the depletion region and the holes moved to the graphene, whereas the electrons were transferred to the Si, enhancing the photocurrent of the device.

As will be discussed further, the device with 20 nm thick P3HT film displays the best performance in terms of current density ($J$)–voltage (V) characteristics and time-dependent photocurrent behavior, this is presented in figures 3(a) and (b). Both the graphene/Si and P3HT–graphene/Si photodetectors exhibited rectification behavior confirming the Schottky junction formation at the graphene/Si interface. Additionally, while the dark current of the graphene/Si device at zero bias was about 6 nA, the P3HT-based device was measured as 40 nA (table 1). Such a small increment of dark current is attributed to the presence of surface states with high density. Time-dependent photocurrent measurements of graphene/Si and P3HT–graphene/Si photodetectors were conducted over several switching on/off cycles under 540 nm light illumination at a bias voltage of −0.1 V. The measurements were done during a total time of 210 s at 30 s intervals. As shown in figure 3(b), the measured current of the devices displays two different states at relatively low incident light power (20 $\mu$W); a low-current state at around 32 $\mu$A in dark and average photocurrents of about 46 and 50 $\mu$A for the graphene/Si and P3HT–graphene/Si photodetectors, respectively. Additionally, for the P3HT–graphene/Si photodetector, upon light irradiation, a sharp increase in the current was followed by an exponential decay. As the light was turned off, a rapid downward trend in the current can be seen, following an exponential growth. The time constants for the growth and decay of the photocurrents were determined as 4.7 and 8.1 s, respectively. Furthermore, photoswitching characteristics of both devices show a reversible photocurrent behavior and good stability. Our device with P3HT molecules was stable against air-exposure up to a period of about five days. However, after three months, the device was affected due to the adsorption of atmospheric molecules on the P3HT film, resulting in a degradation of device performance and stability [35, 36]. Therefore, the device needs to be passivated with a proper encapsulation layer for long-term device stability.

### Table 1. Summary of the performances of the graphene based Si photodetectors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Device Structure</th>
<th>$I_{dark}$ (nA)</th>
<th>$R$ (A W$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$D^*$ ($10^6$) (Jones)</th>
<th>NEP (pW Hz$^{-1/2}$)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Graphene/Si</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3HT–graphene/Si</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphene/Si</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>[8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphene/Si</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>[13]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag–Nps/graphene/Si</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>[10]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphene/Si–SiO$_2$/Si</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>[15]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si-QDs/graphene/Si</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>[16]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 3.** (a) The $J$–V curve of the graphene/Si and P3HT–graphene/Si in dark. (b) Photoswitching behavior of the graphene/Si and P3HT–graphene/Si photodetectors under 540 nm light with an intensity of 20 $\mu$W at bias voltage of −0.1 V.
Photoresponsivity is one of the most important parameters for the light sensing capability of photodetector devices and can be written as [37]

$$ R = \frac{I_{\text{photo}} - I_{\text{dark}}}{P} $$  \hspace{1cm} (1)$$

where $I_{\text{photo}}$ is the photocurrent, $I_{\text{dark}}$ is the dark current and $P$ is the optical power of incident light. Figure 4(a) displays spectral responsivity of the graphene/Si, P3HT–graphene/Si and commercial (Thorlabs) Si photodetectors under an applied reverse bias of 1 V. The graphene/Si photodetector exhibited typical Si-based responsivity behavior similar to that reported in previous literature [13, 16]. The obtained maximum responsivity reached 0.24 A W$^{-1}$ at a wavelength of 850 nm, in correlation with typical optical absorption spectrum of n-type Si. However, the respective photoresponsivity exhibited a downward trend below the cutoff wavelength of 1100 nm that corresponded to an energy level, which was below the band gap energy of Si. For the commercial photodetector, the photoresponsivity with a linear character was present up to a wavelength of 950 nm and then decayed due to the Si absorption edge.

The P3HT–graphene bilayer electrode based Si photodetector presents not only higher responsivity but also wider wavelength coverage with respect to graphene/Si and commercial Si photodetectors. The obtained maximum responsivity at a wavelength of 850 nm is about 0.78 A W$^{-1}$. It is clear that the maximum responsivity of our P3HT–graphene/Si device was more than three orders and one order of magnitude higher than the maximum responsivities of the graphene/Si and commercial Si photodetectors, respectively. The responsivity started to increase at the absorption onset wavelength of P3HT (figure 1(a)) and reached a maximum value around 850 nm, benefitting from the Si absorption. However, the slope of the decay observed for the wavelengths above 990 nm was much lower than that of the one observed in the commercial Si photodetector. This can be attributed to the P3HT-induced increment of responsivity at the higher wavelengths. Upon light illumination, the holes in P3HT were transferred to the graphene layer, which is unintentionally p-doped [38, 39], resulting in lowering the Fermi level of graphene which led to a larger built-in potential between n–Si and graphene. A larger built-in potential gives rise to a wider depletion region providing efficient dissociation of photogenerated carriers [16, 40]. A similar manner of the responsivity curve at a higher wavelength (>990 nm) can be seen in the literature [16]. Furthermore, the photodetection seen at the wavelengths >990 nm can also be related to the localized polaron absorption of P3HT exceeding up to 1100 nm [41]. As displayed in figure 4(b), changing the thickness of P3HT caused an alteration in the responsivity enhancement factors. When the thickness of P3HT was increased from 10–20 nm, the responsivity enhancement factor also increased and displayed two distinct peaks at around 540 and 850 nm originating from the absorption of P3HT and Si, respectively. However, the 30 nm thick P3HT film exhibited a red-shift due to the agglomeration of P3HT and decreased the responsivity enhancement factor. Increasing the thickness of P3HT may increase the density of electrons in the excited states upon irradiation and consequently facilitate the hole doping of graphene. However, when the exciton diffusion length of regioregular P3HT is considered [42], in such a thick film, the photogenerated excitons may also recombine before reaching the P3HT–graphene interface and cause a decrease in the responsivity enhancement factor.

The specific detectivity ($D^*$) is one of figures of merit for a photodetector and can be given as [14]

$$ D^* = \frac{A^{1/2}R}{\sqrt{2eI_d}} $$  \hspace{1cm} (2)$$

where $A$ is the active area of the photodetector, $R$ is the responsivity, $e$ is the elementary charge and $I_d$ is the dark current. As shown in figure 5(a), the calculated $D^*$ of graphene/Si device is about $19 \times 10^7$ Jones, whereas the $D^*$ of the P3HT–graphene/Si photodetector exceeded $26 \times 10^7$ Jones at 850 nm, which is higher than the values reported in similar work [8, 16]. Apparently, the P3HT–graphene bilayer electrode improved the detection limit of the graphene/Si photodetector. There is reversal $D^*$ between the graphene/Si...
and P3HT–graphene/Si photodetectors at approximately 450 nm. Considering the dark currents of both devices, the responsivity of the P3HT–graphene/Si photodetector was not high enough compared to the graphene/Si photodetector at lower wavelengths due to the absorption of the P3HT and Si. This is because of the fact that the D* of the graphene/Si device increased with respect to the D* of the P3HT modified graphene/Si photodetector at wavelengths below 450 nm.

Noise equivalent power (NEP) describes the incident power required to obtain a signal to noise ratio of 1 at a bandwidth of 1 Hz. The NEP can be obtained by using the Flicker noise (1/f), shot noise and thermal noise of a device and this gives information about the minimum detectable signal of the photodetector [37, 43–45] and can be written as

\[ \text{NEP} = \frac{A}{D^*}. \]  

Figure 5(b) shows the spectral NEP of the graphene/Si and P3HT–graphene/Si photodetectors. The obtained minimum NEP is about 0.20 pW Hz\(^{-1/2}\) for graphene/Si at 850 nm. However, the device with the P3HT–graphene bilayer electrode exhibited low NEP down to 0.14 pW Hz\(^{-1/2}\) at a wavelength of 850 nm. This value is higher than that reported in a similar work [8]. Additionally, the NEP values of the P3HT–graphene/Si photodetector changed from 0.15–0.14 pW Hz\(^{-1/2}\) for a wavelength range between 550–885 nm. Such a small variation in the NEP value enables the device to operate at a broader bandwidth ranging from the visible to the near-infrared spectrum.

The performance characteristics of the Si photodetectors with graphene electrodes are compared in table 1 with respect to their reference samples. It is clear that the P3HT–graphene bilayer electrode improved the performance of the respective photodetector in terms of the device parameters of R, D* and NEP. As compared to previously reported graphene-based Si photodetectors, the photoresponsivity of the graphene/Si device was restricted to about 0.40 A W\(^{-1}\) due to low light absorption/ gain ratio. Additionally, plasmonic silver nanoparticles (Ag–Nps) on the graphene electrode were used to enhance the light interaction and provide an efficient Schottky junction formation [10]. However, the use of these Ag–Nps hindered and limited the photoresponsivity of the device at around 0.1 A W\(^{-1}\). Furthermore, the Si-QD–graphene coupled electrode was used to increase the built-in potential. The device was shown to exhibit relatively high R, D* and NEP values [16].

4. Conclusion

In this work, the optoelectronic characteristics of graphene/Si and P3HT–graphene/Si Schottky photodetectors were studied. The obtained results reveal that: (i) P3HT–graphene can be used as a bilayer electrode for the graphene/Si photodetector; (ii) UV–vis and Raman spectroscopy verified the modification of graphene by the P3HT thin film; (iii) the J–V characteristics of the bare and P3HT-coated photodetectors indicate rectification behavior, confirming Schottky junction formation at the graphene–Si interface; (iv) the photoswitching characteristic of both devices displays reversible behavior, affirming good stability; (v) P3HT—graphene/Si shows better photodetector performance than bare devices in terms of photoswitching characteristics, spectral responsivity, specific detectivity and noise equivalent power; (vi) enhancing the photoresponsivity of the P3HT–graphene/Si device is directly related to Fermi level tuning of graphene via charge transfer doping from P3HT to graphene. The experimental results clearly show that the robust characteristics, structural stability and higher photocresponse of the P3HT–graphene-based bilayer electrode holds promise for Si-based optoelectronic devices.
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