

RINGS OVER WHICH FLAT COVERS OF SIMPLE MODULES ARE PROJECTIVE

ENGIN BÜYÜKAŞIK

*Izmir Institute of Technology
Department of Mathematics
35430, Urla, Izmir, Turkey
enginbuyukasik@iyte.edu.tr*

Received 27 May 2010

Accepted 28 June 2011

Communicated by J. Trlifaj

Let R be a ring with identity. We prove that, the flat cover of any simple right R -module is projective if and only if R is semilocal and $J(R)$ is cotorsion if and only if R is semilocal and any indecomposable flat right R -module with unique maximal submodule is projective.

Keywords: Flat cover; projective module; perfect ring.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 16E30, 16N80

1. Introduction

Throughout, R is a ring with an identity element and all modules are unital right R -modules. Let \mathcal{F} be the class of all flat right R -modules. Following [9], an \mathcal{F} -precover of an R -module M is a homomorphism $\varphi: F \rightarrow M$ with $F \in \mathcal{F}$ such that for any $\varphi': F' \rightarrow M$ with $F' \in \mathcal{F}$, there exists a homomorphism $f: F' \rightarrow F$ such that $\varphi' = \varphi f$. An \mathcal{F} -precover $\varphi: F \rightarrow M$ is said to be an \mathcal{F} -cover if every endomorphism f of F with $\varphi f = \varphi$ is an isomorphism. For an R -module M , an epimorphism $f: P \rightarrow M$ is said to be a *projective cover* of M if P is projective and $\text{Ker } f \ll P$. In [7] Bican *et al.* proved that all modules have flat covers. In contrast, an arbitrary module may not have a projective cover, in general. The rings over which all right modules have projective covers are exactly the right perfect rings (see, [8]). In this case, it is of interest to know when the flat cover of a given module is projective. It is well known that, a ring R is right perfect if and only if flat cover of any right R -module is projective. The rings with the property that flat covers of finitely generated right R -modules are projective are characterized in [1, 2]. In [1, 2], a ring R is called *almost-perfect* (A -perfect) if every flat R -module is R -projective.

They proved that the right A -perfect rings are exactly those rings R over which flat covers of finitely generated modules are projective.

Let R be a ring and \mathcal{S} be the class of all representatives of simple right R -modules (i.e. each element of \mathcal{S} is isomorphic to R/I for some maximal right ideal I of R). We call R *right B -perfect* if for every flat module F and $S \in \mathcal{S}$, and homomorphisms $f : R \rightarrow S, h : F \rightarrow S$ there exists a homomorphism $g : F \rightarrow R$ such that $h = fg$. It is clear that any right A -perfect ring is right B -perfect.

The main objective of this paper is to introduce and give several characterizations of the rings over which flat covers of simple modules are projective. We prove that, R is right B -perfect if and only if flat covers of simple right R -modules are projective if and only if R is semiperfect and flat covers of simple modules are finitely generated (Theorem 2.4). We also prove that, R is right B -perfect if and only if R is semilocal and $J(R)$ is cotorsion if and only if R and the maximal right ideals of R are cotorsion (Theorem 2.11).

For a ring R and a right R -module M , $J(R)$ and $J(M)$ will stand for the Jacobson radical of R and the Jacobson radical of M , respectively.

2. Characterizations of Right B -Perfect Rings

The following lemma characterizes when the flat cover of a given module is projective. The proof is easy and standard, we include it for completeness.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\varphi : F \rightarrow M$ be a flat cover of M . Then, the following are equivalent.*

- (1) F is projective.
- (2) There exists an epimorphism $f : P \rightarrow M$ with P projective such that the induced map $\text{Hom}(F, P) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(F, M)$ is surjective.
- (3) There exists a flat precover $f : P \rightarrow M$ with P projective.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Take $P = F$, then the proof is clear.

(2) \Rightarrow (3): Let G be a flat module and $h \in \text{Hom}(G, M)$. By (2) there exist $\alpha \in \text{Hom}(F, P)$ such that $\varphi = f\alpha$. On the other hand, since $\varphi : F \rightarrow M$ is a flat cover, $h = \varphi\beta$ for some $\beta \in \text{Hom}(G, F)$. Hence we obtain the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 F & \xleftarrow{\beta} & G \\
 \alpha \downarrow & \searrow \varphi & \downarrow h \\
 P & \xrightarrow{f} & M
 \end{array}$$

In this case, from $h = \varphi\beta$ and $\varphi = f\alpha$, we get $h = f(\alpha\beta)$ with $\alpha\beta \in \text{Hom}(G, P)$. This proves (3).

(3) \Rightarrow (1): By [9, Theorem 1.2.7], M has a flat cover that is a direct summand of P . Therefore F is projective, because flat covers (of M) are isomorphic (see, [9, Theorem 1.2.6]). □

In [1, Theorem 3.7], it is shown that a ring R is right A -perfect if and only if the flat cover of any finitely generated right R -module is projective. From Lemma 2.1 we see that, an arbitrary module has a projective flat cover if and only if it has a projective flat precover. Hence the following corollary is clear.

Corollary 2.2. *A ring R is right A -perfect if and only if any finitely generated right R -module has a projective flat precover.*

Recall that a ring R is right (or left) semiperfect if R is semilocal (i.e. $R/J(R)$ is semisimple artinian) and the idempotents of $R/J(R)$ lift to R . A ring R is semiperfect if and only if every simple right (left) R -module has a projective cover [8, 42.6]. Over a semiperfect ring any finitely generated flat right R -module is projective. As we have mentioned, a flat cover $\varphi: F \rightarrow M$ of a module M need not be a projective cover. But, in case, F is projective then $\text{Ker } \varphi \ll F$ and so $\varphi: F \rightarrow M$ is a projective cover (see, [9, Theorem 1.2.12]). We shall use these facts in the sequel. Recall that, if R is a semilocal ring then $J(M) = M.J(R)$ for any right R -module M (see, [3, Corollary 15.18]).

Lemma 2.3. *Let R be a semilocal ring and X_R be a simple right R -module. If $\phi: F \rightarrow X$ is the flat cover of X , then the kernel $\text{Ker } \phi = F.J(R)$. Moreover, F is indecomposable.*

Proof. See the proof of [6, Corollary 23]. □

Theorem 2.4. *For a ring R the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) R is right B -perfect.
- (2) Flat covers of simple modules are projective.
- (3) R is semiperfect and flat covers of simple modules are cyclic.
- (4) R is semiperfect and flat covers of simple modules are local.
- (5) Flat covers of finitely generated semisimple modules are projective.
- (6) R is semiperfect and flat covers of finitely generated semisimple modules are finitely generated.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) This is clear from the definition of B -perfect rings.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Let $f: F \rightarrow X$ be the flat cover of the simple right R -module X . By (2) F is projective and so $\text{Ker } f \ll F$. Now we have $F/\text{Ker } f \simeq X$ is cyclic and $\text{Ker } f \ll F$. Hence F is cyclic. On the other hand $f: F \rightarrow X$ is a projective cover of the simple R -module X . Therefore R is semiperfect.

(3) \Rightarrow (4) Let $f: F \rightarrow X$ be the flat cover of the simple right R -module X . We only need to prove that F is local. By Lemma 2.3 $\text{Ker } f = F.J$ where $J = J(R)$. Since $F/F.J \simeq X$ is simple and $F.J \subseteq J(F)$, we get $F.J$ is the unique maximal submodule of F . On the other hand F is finitely generated. Therefore $F.J$ is the largest submodule of F , and so F is a local module by [8, p. 351].

(4) \Rightarrow (5) Finitely generated flat modules are projective over semiperfect rings. Let $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n S_i$ be a semisimple module and $f_i : F_i \rightarrow S_i$ be the flat cover of S_i for each $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n f_i : \bigoplus_{i=1}^n F_i \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n S_i$ is a flat cover of $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n S_i$ by [9, Theorem 1.2.10]. By hypothesis F_i is projective for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, hence $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n F_i$ is projective.

(5) \Rightarrow (6) Flat cover of any simple module is projective by (5), hence R is semiperfect. Now, if M is a finitely generated semisimple module and $\phi : F \rightarrow M$ is a flat cover, then F is projective by (5). Then $\text{Ker } \phi \ll F$ and this implies that F is finitely generated as $F/\text{Ker } \phi \simeq M$ is finitely generated.

(6) \Rightarrow (1) Let X be a simple module and $f : R \rightarrow X$ be an epimorphism. Let G be a flat module and $g : G \rightarrow X$ be a homomorphism. If $\phi : F \rightarrow X$ is a flat cover, by (6) F is finitely generated. Then F is projective as R is semiperfect. Therefore there is a homomorphism $h : F \rightarrow R$ such that $f = \phi h$. Then by Lemma 2.1 there is a homomorphism $t : G \rightarrow R$ such that $g = ft$. Hence R is B -perfect. \square

As we have mentioned, the class of A -perfect rings is contained in the class of B -perfect rings. An example of a B -perfect ring which is not A -perfect is constructed in [1] as follows.

Example 2.5 ([1, Examples 2.17, 2.22]). Let K be a field and $S = K[y_1, y_2, \dots]$ be the polynomial ring in indeterminates y_1, y_2, \dots over K . Let L be the ideal of S generated by $\{y_i y_j \mid i, j = 1, 2, \dots\}$. Consider the ring $R = S/L$. Then the ring $R[[x]]$ is not A -perfect by [1, Example 2.17]. On the other hand, flat cover of any simple $R[[x]]$ -module is projective by [1, Example 2.22]. Hence $R[[x]]$ is a B -perfect ring by Theorem 2.4(1) \Leftrightarrow (2).

Theorem 2.6. *Let R be any ring. Then R is right B -perfect if and only if R is semilocal and any indecomposable flat right R -module with a unique maximal submodule is projective.*

Proof. Necessity: Suppose R is right B -perfect. Then R is semiperfect by Theorem 2.4. Let G be an indecomposable flat module with a unique maximal submodule K . Let $h : F \rightarrow G/K$ be the flat cover of the simple module G/K . Then F is projective by Theorem 2.4, and so $\text{Ker } h \ll F$. If $\pi : G \rightarrow G/K$ is an epimorphism, then, by definition of flat cover, there exists $g : G \rightarrow F$ such that the following diagram is commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & G & & \\
 & \swarrow g & \downarrow \pi & & \\
 F & \xrightarrow{h} & G/K & \longrightarrow & 0.
 \end{array}$$

From the proof of [9, Theorem 1.2.12], g is an epimorphism, and so the map $g : G \rightarrow F$ splits. But G is indecomposable, so that g must be an isomorphism. Hence G is projective.

Sufficiency: Let X_R be a simple module and $f: F \rightarrow X$ be the flat cover of X . Then $\text{Ker } f = F.J$ is the unique maximal submodule of F . Moreover F is indecomposable by [5, Theorem 15]. So that F is projective by the hypothesis. Hence R is right B -perfect by Theorem 2.4. \square

Definition 2.7. A right R -module C is said to be *cotorsion* if $\text{Ext}_R^1(F, K) = 0$ for any flat right R -module F .

Lemma 2.8 ([9, Lemma 2.1.1]). Let $f: F \rightarrow M$ be a flat cover of the R -module M and $K = \text{Ker}(f)$. Then for any flat R -module G , $\text{Ext}_R^1(G, K) = 0$, i.e. K is cotorsion.

Lemma 2.9 ([9, Proposition 3.3.3]). Let I be an ideal of R with $I \neq R$. If C is cotorsion as an R/I -module, then it is cotorsion as an R -module.

The following lemma is an easy consequence of Lemma 2.9.

Lemma 2.10. Let R be a semilocal ring. Then any semisimple R -module is cotorsion.

Proof. Suppose M is a semisimple module. Then M is an $R/J(R)$ -module as $M.J(R) = 0$. Since R is semilocal, $R/J(R)$ is a semisimple ring. So that every $R/J(R)$ -module is cotorsion. In particular M is a cotorsion $R/J(R)$ -module. Therefore M is a cotorsion R -module by Lemma 2.9. \square

It is well known that, the right perfect rings are exactly those rings R over which every flat right R -module is projective. This implies that, R is right perfect if and only if every right R -module is cotorsion. In [1, Theorem 2.13], the authors prove that a ring R is right A -perfect if and only if any right ideal of R is cotorsion.

We have the following corresponding result for right B -perfect rings.

Theorem 2.11. For a ring R the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) R is right B -perfect.
- (2) every right ideal of R containing $J(R)$ is cotorsion.
- (3) R and the maximal right ideals of R are cotorsion.
- (4) R is semilocal and $J(R)$ is cotorsion.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) By Theorem 2.4, R is semiperfect and flat covers of finitely generated semisimple modules are projective. Therefore flat covers and projective covers of finitely generated semisimple modules coincide. Since $J(R) \ll R$, the usual map $\pi: R \rightarrow R/J(R)$ is a projective (flat) cover. Then $\text{Ker } \pi = J(R)$ is cotorsion by Lemma 2.8. Let I be a right ideal containing $J(R)$. Since R is semilocal and $I/J(R)$ is semisimple and finitely generated, $I/J(R)$ is a cotorsion R -module by Lemma 2.10. Since cotorsion modules are closed under extension and $J(R), I/J(R)$ are cotorsion, I is cotorsion.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) clear.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Let F be a flat module and I_R be a maximal right ideal of R . By hypothesis $\text{Ext}_R^1(F, I) = 0$. Therefore by applying the Hom functor to the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/I \rightarrow 0$$

we get the epimorphism

$$\text{Hom}(F, R) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(F, R/I) \rightarrow 0.$$

This implies that R is B -perfect.

(4) \Rightarrow (2) Let I be a right ideal containing $J(R)$. Then Since R is semilocal, $R/J(R)$ is semisimple, and so $I/J(R)$ is finitely generated and semisimple. Then $I/J(R)$ is a cotorsion R -module by Lemma 2.10. By hypothesis $J(R)$ is also cotorsion. Hence I is cotorsion, because cotorsion modules are closed under extension.

(1) \Rightarrow (4) By Theorem 2.4, R is semiperfect, and so it is semilocal. By repeating the arguments in the proof of (1) \Rightarrow (2) we obtain that $J(R)$ is cotorsion. \square

Example 2.12. Let \mathbb{Z} be the ring of integers and p be a prime integer. Consider the ring $R = \{\frac{a}{b} \mid ab \in \mathbb{Z}, (b, p) = 1\}$. Then R is a local ring with the unique maximal ideal pR . The flat cover F of R/pR is isomorphic to the set of p -adic integers (see, [9, Theorem 1.3.8] and the example after [9, Theorem 1.3.8]). It is known that F is not a projective R -module. Hence R is not B -perfect. On the other hand, since R is a local ring it is semiperfect.

Actually, we have the following for local rings.

Proposition 2.13. *Let R be a local ring. Then R is B -perfect if and only if the usual epimorphism $\pi : R \rightarrow R/J(R)$ is a flat cover of $R/J(R)$.*

Proof. Suppose R is B -perfect. Then $J(R)$ is cotorsion by Theorem 2.11. So that $\pi : R \rightarrow R/J(R)$ is a flat precover by [9, Proposition 2.1.3]. Let $F \rightarrow R/J(R)$ be a flat cover of $R/J(R)$. Then F is a direct summand of R by [9, Theorem 1.2.7]. But R is indecomposable, so that $R \simeq F$. Hence $\pi : R \rightarrow R/J(R)$ is a flat cover of $R/J(R)$.

Conversely, suppose $\pi : R \rightarrow R/J(R)$ is flat cover. Then $\text{Ker } \pi = J(R)$ is cotorsion, hence R is B -perfect by Theorem 2.11. \square

Acknowledgment

The author is grateful to the referee for the valuable suggestions and comments which improved the presentation of the paper.

References

- [1] B. Amini, A. Amini and M. Ershad, Almost-perfect rings and modules, *Commun. Algebra* **37** (2009) 4227–4240.
- [2] A. Amini, M. Ershad and H. Sharif, Rings over which flat covers of finitely generated modules are projective, *Commun. Algebra* **36** (2008) 2862–2871.
- [3] F. W. Anderson and K. R. Fuller, *Rings and Categories of Modules* (Springer, New York, 1992).
- [4] P. A. G. Asensio and I. Herzog, Left cotorsion rings, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **36** (2004) 303–309.
- [5] P. A. G. Asensio and I. Herzog, Sigma-cotorsion rings, *Adv. Math.* **191** (2005) 11–28.
- [6] P. A. G. Asensio and I. Herzog, Indecomposable flat cotorsion modules, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **76**(2) (2007) 797–811.
- [7] L. Bican, R. El Bashir and E. Enochs, All modules have flat covers, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **33** (2001) 385–390.
- [8] R. Wisbauer, *Foundations of Modules and Rings* (Gordon and Breach, 1991).
- [9] J. Xu, *Flat Covers of Modules* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996).