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#### Abstract

We present a low complexity approximate method for semi-blind best linear unbiased estimation (BLUE) of a channel impulse response vector (CIR) for a communication system, which utilizes a periodically transmitted training sequence, within a continuous stream of information symbols. The algorithm achieves slightly degraded results at a much lower complexity than directly computing the BLUE CIR estimate. In addition, the inverse matrix required to invert the weighted normal equations to solve the general least squares problem may be pre-computed and stored at the receiver. The BLUE estimate is obtained by solving the general linear model, $y=A h+w+n$, for $h$, where $w$ is correlated noise and the vector $n$ is an AWGN process, which is uncorrelated with w. The Gauss Markoff theorem gives the solution $h=\left(A^{T} C(h)^{-1} A\right)^{-1} A^{T} C(h)^{-1} y$. In the present work we propose a Taylor series approximation for the function $F(h)=\left(A^{T} C(h)^{-1} A\right)^{-1} A^{T} C(h)^{-1} y$ where, $F: R^{L} \rightarrow R^{L}$ for each fixed vector of received symbols, $y$, and each fixed convolution matrix of known transmitted training symbols, $A$. We describe the full Taylor formula for this function, $\quad F(h)=F\left(h_{i d}\right)+\sum_{\langle\alpha \geq 1}\left(h_{i d}-h_{i d}\right)^{a}(\partial / \partial h)^{a} F\left(h_{i d}\right)$


 and describe algorithms using, respectively, first, second and third order approximations. The algorithms give better performance than correlation channel estimates and previous approximations used, [15], at only a slight increase in complexity. The linearization procedure used is similar to that used in the linearization to obtain the extended Kalman filter, and the higher order approximations are similar to those used in obtaining higher order Kalman filter approximations, [7]Index Terms - Channel estimation, BLUE, best linear unbiased estimation, general linear model, Taylor series approximation, linearization, Gauss Markoff Theorem.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Reliable communication often requires accurate estimation of the channel impulse response (CIR) to facilitate channel equalization. Semi-blind algorithms exploit information used by blind methods (for example,
the statistics of the unknown data symbols) as well as information from known training symbols. For general references on blind and semi-blind channel estimation, see [8]. Several recent papers consider different aspects of semi-blind channel estimation. Notably [6], [13], [12], and [4]. In [17] and [18] we devised a semi-blind iterative algorithm to construct the best linear unbiased estimate (BLUE) of the channel, which is given by the Gauss-Markoff Theorem ([10] or [14]) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\text {BLUE }}=\left(A^{T} C(h)^{-1} A\right)^{-1} A^{T} C(h)^{-1} y \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the case where we have the general linear model for the received data, i.e. where the noise is not white, since we consider correlated noise due to unknown data, where, in our case, the noise is correlated due to convolution with the CIR. For each fixed matrix of training data, A, and each vector of received values, $y$, we define the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(h)=F_{y, A}(h)=\left(A^{T} C(h)^{-1} A\right)^{-1} A^{T} C(h)^{-1} y \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [15] and [16] an approximate version of the iterative algorithm of [17] and [18] is described. In the present work we propose a more general framework, within which the approximation given in [15] and [16], would be the zeroeth order Taylor series approximation of the function $F(h)$, i.e. a constant approximation, $F\left(h_{i d}\right)$, to the function $F(h)$. We consider the real part of the received data, denoted as vector $y$, and the real part of the CIR vector, denoted as $h$. We use the vector-valued function of a real vector variable version of the Taylor series, [3], to develop a series approximation to the function $F(h)$ which gives the BLUE estimate for $h$, as given in (1). Note that although our proposed algorithm does not fit within a Kalman filtering framework the process of linearization used in deriving the extended Kalman filter (EKF) is similar to that which we propose here, and the higher order approximations which we use are similar to those used in obtaining higher order Kalman filter approximations, [7]. In fact, the use of the Taylor series is a standard tool of approximation, see, for example, [5], [9], and [19] to see instances of its use. We describe the full Taylor formula for this function, where $h=\left[h_{1}, \ldots, h_{1}\right]^{\mathrm{T}}, \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{id}}=\left[\mathrm{h}_{1}^{\mathrm{id}}, \ldots, \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\text {id }}\right]^{\mathrm{T}}$ and $\alpha$ is a multi-index, where for $\alpha=[0, \cdots, 0,1,0, \ldots, 0]$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(h-h_{i d}\right)^{\alpha} & =\left(h_{i}-h_{i}^{i d}\right) \\
\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{~h}) & =\mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{id}}\right)+\sum_{|\mathrm{a}| \geq 1}\left(\mathrm{~h}-\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{id}}\right)^{\mathrm{a}}(\partial / \partial \mathrm{h})^{a} \mathrm{~F}\left(\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{id}}\right) \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

and use either a first (denoted $\nabla^{\prime} F\left(h_{i d}\right)$ ) or second (denoted $\nabla^{2} F\left(h_{\text {id }}\right)$ ) order approximation,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{~h}) & \approx \nabla^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~F}\left(\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{id}}\right)  \tag{4}\\
& \equiv \mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{id}}\right)+\left(\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\text {id }}\right)\left(\partial / \partial \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{id}}\right) \\
\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{~h}) & \approx \nabla^{2} \mathrm{~F}\left(\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{id}}\right) \\
& \equiv \mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{id}}\right)+\left(\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{id}}^{\mathrm{id}}\right)\left(\partial / \partial \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{id}}\right)  \tag{5}\\
& +\left(\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\text {id }}\right)^{2}\left(\partial^{2} / \partial \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}\right) \mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{id}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

## II. BASEBAND DATA TRANSMISSIONMODEL

The baseband symbol rate sampled receiver pulsematched filter output is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{y}[\mathrm{n}] & \equiv \mathrm{y}(\mathrm{t})_{t=\pi \mathrm{T}}=\sum_{k} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~h}[\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k}]+v[\mathrm{n}]  \tag{6}\\
& =\sum_{k} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~h}[\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k}]+\sum_{k} \eta[\mathrm{k}] \mathrm{q}^{*}[-\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}] \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $I_{k} \in\left\{\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{M}\right\} \subset \mathrm{C}$ is the M-ary complex valued training sequence; $\left\{\alpha_{k}\right\}$ denotes the first $N$ known (training) symbols within a frame of length $N^{\prime}$ and $\left\{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{k}}\right\}$ denotes the remaining $\mathrm{N}^{\prime}-\mathrm{N}$ random data within the frame; $v(t)=\eta(t) * \mathrm{q}^{*}(-t)$ denotes the complex (colored) noise process at the output of the receiver (pulse) matched filter, with $\eta(t)$ being a zeromean white Gaussian noise process with spectral density $\sigma_{\eta}^{2}$ per real and imaginary part; $\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{t})$ is the complex valued impulse response of the composite dynamic channel, including the pulse shaping transmit filter $q(t)$, the physical channel impulse responsec $(t)$, and the receive filter $\mathrm{q}^{*}(-t)$ and is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{t}) * \mathrm{c}(\mathrm{t})=\sum_{k=-K}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{t}-\tau_{\mathrm{k}}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $p(t)=q(t) * q^{*}(-t)$ is the convolution of the transmit and receive filters, where $q(t)$ has a finite support of $\left[-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{q}} / 2, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{q}} / 2\right]$, and the span of the transmit and receive filters, $T_{q}$, is an integer multiple of the symbol period, T ; that is $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{q}}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{T}=2 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{q}}, \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{q}} \in \mathrm{Z}^{+} .\left\{\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{k}}\right\} \in \mathrm{C}$ denote the complex valued physical channel gains, and $\left\{\tau_{\mathrm{k}}\right\}$ denote the multi-path delays, or the Time-Of-Arrivals (TOA). We also note that for the 8 -VSB system, the
transmitter pulse shape is the Hermitian symmetric root raised cosine pulse, which implies that $q(t)=q^{*}(-t)$. In the sequel, we will denote both the transmit and receive filters by $\left.\mathrm{q}[\mathrm{n}] \equiv \mathrm{q}(\mathrm{t})\right|_{:=\mathrm{aT}}$. Also the sampled matched filter output signal, $\mathrm{y}[\mathrm{n}]$, will be used extensively in vector form, and so we introduce the notation
$y_{\left[n_{1}: n_{2}\right]}=\left[y\left[n_{1}\right], \cdots, y\left[n_{2}\right]\right]^{T} \in R^{n_{2}-n_{1}+1}$.
Similarly $\quad \eta_{\left[\mathrm{n}_{1}: \mathrm{n}_{2}\right]}=\left[\eta\left[\mathrm{n}_{1}\right], \cdots, \eta\left[\mathrm{n}_{2}\right]\right] \in \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{n}_{2}-\mathrm{n}_{4}+1} \quad$ and $v_{\left[n_{1}: \mathrm{n}_{2}\right]}=\left[\nu\left[\mathrm{n}_{1}\right], \cdots, v\left[\mathrm{n}_{2}\right]\right] \in \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{n}_{2}-\mathrm{n}_{1}+1}$.

Without loss of generality, the symbol rate sampled, complex valued composite CIR, h [n], can be written as a finite dimensional vector

$$
\mathrm{h}=\left[\mathrm{h}\left[-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{a}}\right], \ldots, \mathrm{h}[-1], \mathrm{h}[0], \mathrm{h}[1], \ldots, \mathrm{h}\left[\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{c}}\right]\right]^{\mathrm{T}}
$$

where $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$ denote the number of anticausal and causal taps respectively. From this point on, we revert to writing $y_{\left[n_{1}: n_{2}\right]} \in R^{n_{2}-n_{1}+1}$ and $h \in R^{N_{a}+N_{c}+1}$ for the real parts of the received data vector and CIR vector respectively. Based on (6), and assuming that $\mathrm{N} \geq\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}+1\right)$, we can write the pulse matched filter output corresponding only to the known training symbols compactly, in vector notation, as,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{y}_{\left[\mathbb{N}_{\mathrm{e}}: \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{a}}-1\right]} & =\widetilde{A} \mathrm{~h}+v_{\left[\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}: \mathbb{N}-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{a}}-1\right]} \\
& =\tilde{A} \mathrm{~h}+\widetilde{\mathrm{Q}} \eta_{\left[\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}} \cdot \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{q}}: \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{a}}-1+\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{q}}\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\quad \widetilde{A}=T\left\{\left[a_{N_{n}+N_{n}}, \cdots, a_{N-t}\right]^{\top},\left[a_{N_{n}+N_{a}}, \cdots, a_{0}\right]\right\}$ is the $\quad\left(N-N_{a}-N_{c}\right) \times\left(N_{a}+N_{c}+1\right) \quad$ Toeplitz convolution matrix with first column $\left[a_{N_{\mathrm{g}}+\mathrm{N}_{2}}, \cdots, \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{N}-1}\right]^{\mathrm{T}}$ and first row $\left[\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{a}}}, \cdots, \mathrm{a}_{0}\right.$ ] and $v_{\left[N_{e}: N-N_{a}-1\right]}=\widetilde{Q} \eta_{\left.\mathbb{N}_{e}-L_{q} \cdot N-N_{a}-1+L_{q}\right]}$ is the colored noise at the receiver matched filter output, where $\widetilde{\mathrm{Q}}=\mathrm{T}\left\{\left[\mathrm{q}_{0}, 0, \cdots, 0\right]^{\mathrm{T}},\left[\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{T}}, 0, \cdots, 0\right]\right\} \in \mathrm{R}^{\left(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{a}}-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}\right) \times\left(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{a}}-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}+\right.}$ and $\quad q^{T}=\left[q\left[+L_{q}\right], \cdots, q[0], \cdots, q\left[-L_{q}\right]\right] \in R^{2 L_{q}+1}$ where q denotes the symbol rate sampled receiver puise matched filter.

Similarly, we can write the pulse matched filter output which includes all the contributions from the known training symbols (including output which includes contributions from adjacent unknown random data) as

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{y}_{\left[-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{a}}: N+N_{\mathrm{z}}-1\right]} & =(\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{D}) \mathrm{h}+v_{\left[-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{a}}: N+N_{\mathrm{c}}-1\right]}  \tag{9}\\
& =\mathrm{Ah}+\mathrm{Dh}+\mathrm{Q} \eta_{\left[-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{a}}-\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{q}}: N+N_{\mathrm{e}}-1+\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{q}}\right]}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A=T\{[a_{0}, \ldots, a_{N-1}, \underbrace{0, \cdots, 0}_{N_{2}+N_{c}}]^{T},[a_{0}, \underbrace{0, \cdots, 0}_{N_{a}+N_{c}}]\}$
is the $\left(N+N_{a}+N_{c}\right) \times\left(N_{a}+N_{c}+1\right)$ Toeplitz matrix with first column $\left[a_{0}, \cdots, a_{N-l}, 0, \cdots, 0\right]^{\mathbf{T}}$ and first row [ $\left.a_{0}, 0, \cdots, 0\right]$ and
$D=T\{[\underbrace{0, \cdots, 0}_{N}, d_{N}, \cdots, d_{N_{A}+N_{c}+N_{-1}}]^{T},[0, \underbrace{d_{-1}, \cdots, d_{-N_{a}-N_{c}}}_{\text {previous fratre data }}]\}$ is
a Toeplitz matrix which includes adjacent random information symbols only, both prior to the training sequence and after the training sequence. We shall only use the statistics of this randorn data, (since the actual values are unknown) and use these statistics to compute the covariance matrix of the correlated noise, to be used in the solution for the BLUE estimate of $h$. The data symbols $\mathrm{d}_{-1}, \cdots, \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{a}}-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}}$ denote the unknown information symbols transmitted at the end of the previous frame. $\left.v_{\left[-N_{a}\right.}: N+N_{c}-1\right]=Q \eta_{\left[\cdot N_{a}-L_{q}: N+N_{s}-1+L_{q}\right]}$ is the colored noise at the receiver-matched filter output, where $\mathrm{Q} \in \mathrm{R}^{\left(\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{N}_{2}+\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}\right) \times\left(\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{N}_{2}+\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}+\mathrm{N}_{4}\right)}$ is defined similarly to $\widetilde{\mathrm{Q}}$. To compute the covariance matrix for the noise contribution, $\mathrm{Dh}+\mathrm{Q} \eta_{\left[-\mathrm{N}_{2}-\mathrm{L}_{q}: \mathrm{N}+\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}-1+\mathrm{L}_{q}\right]}$, to the received vector $\mathrm{y}_{\left[-\mathrm{N}_{a}: \mathrm{N}+\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}-1\right]}$, it is advantageous to rewrite the term Dh.

$$
\text { We define } d \in R^{N+2\left(N_{c}+N_{z}\right)} \quad \text { by }
$$ $\mathrm{d}=\left[\mathrm{d}_{-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}} \cdot \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{a}}}, \cdots, \mathrm{d}_{-1}, 0_{1 \times \mathrm{N}}, \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{N}}, \cdots, \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}+\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{a}}-\mathrm{I}}\right]^{\mathrm{T}} \quad$ and $H=H(h)=T\left\{\left[h\left[-N_{a}\right], 0, \cdots, 0\right],\left[\bar{h}^{T}, 0, \cdots, 0\right]^{T}\right\} \in R^{\left(N+N_{3}+N_{c}\right.}$ is the Toeplitz channel convolution matrix where $\overline{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{T}}=\left[\mathrm{h}\left[\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}\right], \cdots, \mathrm{h}[1], \mathrm{h}[0], \mathrm{h}[-1], \cdots, \mathrm{h}\left[-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{a}}\right]\right] \in \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}+\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{l}}$

is the time reversal of the channel vector, $h^{\top}$. Then, we note that $\mathrm{Dh}=\mathrm{Hd}$ and $\mathrm{E}\left[\mathrm{Hd}(\mathrm{Hd})^{\mathrm{T}}\right]=\sigma_{\mathrm{d}}{ }^{2} \mathrm{HSH}^{\mathrm{T}}$ where $\mathrm{E}\left[\mathrm{dd}^{\mathrm{T}}\right]=\sigma_{d}^{2} \mathrm{~S}$ and $\mathrm{S} \in \mathrm{R}^{\left.\left(\mathrm{N}+2 \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{c}}+\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)\right) \times\left(\mathrm{N}+2\left(\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{c}}+\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)\right)}$ is given
by $S=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}\mathbf{I}_{\left(N_{c}+N_{z}\right)} & 0_{\left(N_{c}+N_{z}\right)} \times N & 0_{\left(N_{t}+N_{a}\right)} \\ 0_{N \times\left(N_{\varepsilon}+N_{a}\right)} & 0_{N} & 0_{N \times\left(N_{z}+N_{a}\right)} \\ 0_{\left(N_{c}+N_{a}\right)} & 0_{\left(N_{c}+N_{a}\right) \times N} & I_{\left(N_{c}+N_{1}\right)}\end{array}\right]$
Since $\mathrm{Dh}=\mathrm{Hd}$, then we may rewrite (9) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{y}_{\left[-\mathrm{Na}_{\mathrm{a}}: \mathrm{N}+\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}-1\right]}=\mathrm{Ah}+\mathrm{Hd}+\mathrm{Q} \eta_{\left[-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{a}}-\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{q}}: \mathrm{N}+\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}-1+\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{q}}\right]} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{C} & =\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{~h}) \\
& =\operatorname{Var}\left(\mathrm{Hd}+\mathrm{Q} \eta_{\left[-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{L}_{q}: \mathrm{N}+\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}-1+\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{q}}\right]}\right)  \tag{12}\\
& =\sigma_{d}^{2} \mathrm{HSH}^{\mathrm{T}}+\sigma_{\eta}^{2} \mathrm{QQ}^{\mathrm{T}}
\end{align*}
$$

## HII. PROBLEM DESCRIPTION AND PREVIOUS WORK

The solution of the general linear model, (11), is given by the Gauss-Markoff Theorem as

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=\left(A^{T} C(h)^{-1} A\right)^{-1} A^{\top} C(h)^{-1} y \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{b})$ is given by (12). We note that in solving (13) we are looking for a fixed point, denoted by $h_{0}$, of the mapping $h \mapsto F(h)$ where, for each fixed vector of received symbols, $y$, and each fixed convolution matrix of known transmitted training symbols, $A$, then $\mathrm{F}: \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{L}} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{L}}$ is given by (2).

Our own previous approaches to finding the semiblind BLUE channel estimate have encompassed:

Case (l): In [17] and [18] an initial thresholded approximation, denoted $h^{(0)}$, to $h$ was obtained via correlation of the training sequence with a stored copy of the training sequence at the receiver. Then the iteration $h^{(k+1)}=\left(A^{T} C\left(h^{(k)}\right)^{-1} A\right)^{-1} A^{\top} C\left(h^{(k)}\right)^{-1} y, k=0,1,2, \cdots$ was used to generate a sequence of approximations to the fixed point, $h_{\text {blue }}$. Numerical simulations indicated that two or three iterations were sufficient for an error of $\left\|h^{(k)}-h_{\text {BLUE }}\right\| \sim 10^{-6}$ (here $h \in R^{512}$ ). Theoretically convergence of this iteration to the unique fixed point, $h_{\text {BLUE }}$, of the function $F(h)=F_{y, A}(h)$ is guaranteed if $\left\|J_{F}(h)\right\|<1$ where $J_{F}(h)$ denotes the Jacobian matrix of F , [18].

Case (2): In [15] and [16], an approximate linear system was derived to give an approximate solution to (13). This approximate linear system was derived by replacing $C(h)$ on the right-hand side of (13) by $C\left(h_{i d}\right)$ where $h_{\text {id }}=[0, \ldots, 0,1,0, \ldots, 0]^{T} \in R^{L}$ where the 1 appears in the $64^{\text {th }}$ position in our case, to correspond to the position of the cursor in the decision feedback equalizer (DFE), which we use. This approach has the added advantage that the matrix $\left(\mathrm{A}^{T} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{h}_{\text {id }}\right)^{-1} \mathrm{~A}\right)^{-1} \mathrm{~A}^{T} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{h}_{\text {id }}\right)^{-1}$ may be computed offline and stored at the receiver.

In the present work, we take the point of view that we may expand the vector-valued function $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{h})=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{A}}(\mathrm{h})=\left(\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{h})^{-1} \mathrm{~A}\right)^{-1} \mathrm{~A}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{h})^{-1} \mathrm{y}$ of the vector variable, h, using Taylor's Formula, [3], [11] about a fixed "ideal". vector, $h_{i d}$. The approximation to $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{A}}(\mathrm{h})$ given by $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{A}}\left(\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{id}}\right)$ in Case (2), above, is the zeroeth order Taylor approximation. We then derive explicitly first, second, and third order Taylor
approximations to the BLUE CIR estimate. The methods are attractive, since computation of the matrices involved may be done offline and stored at the receiver. The only processing which will be needed at the receiver is that of computing ( $h_{i}-h_{i}^{\text {id }}$ ), where $h_{i}$ is the $i^{\text {th }}$ entry of the approximate channel vector obtained by correlation, and $h_{i}^{\text {id }}=1$, if we use $h_{i d}=[0, \cdots 0, \underbrace{1}_{\mathrm{i}^{\text {un }}}, 0, \cdots, 0]^{\mathrm{T}}$, and then to form the matrices used in obtaining the vectors given in (4) and (5).

## IV. DERIVATION OF THE APPROXIMATIONS

$$
\nabla^{1} \mathrm{~F}\left(\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{id}}\right) \text { and } \nabla^{2} \mathrm{~F}\left(\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{id}}\right)
$$

We define the matrix
$A_{C}(h)=\left(A^{T} C(h)^{-1} A\right)^{-1} A^{T} C(h)^{-1} \in R^{L \times(N+L-1)}$
Then $F: R^{L} \rightarrow R^{L}$ defined by $F: h \mapsto F(h)$ in (2) is given by $F(h)=A_{C}(h) y \in R^{L}$. We state the following Propositions, and give brief proofs.

Proposition 1: For any matrix $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{h}) \in \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{n} \times \mathrm{n}}$ which depends on a vector parameter $h=\left[h_{1}, \cdots h_{K}\right] \in R^{K}$ such that there exists an open set $\mathrm{U} \subset \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{K}}$, such that B is nonsingular and differentiable on U , then, we have that for any $\mathrm{i}, 1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{K}$, and for any $h \in U$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{~h})^{-1}}{\partial \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{i}}}=-\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{~h})^{-1} \frac{\partial \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{~h})}{\partial \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}} \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{~h})^{-1} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: Differentiate with respect to $\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{i}}$ both sides of the identity $B(h)^{-1} B(h)=I$, using the product rule

Proposition 2: For $1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{L}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial F(h)}{\partial h_{i}}=A_{C}(h) \frac{\partial C(h)}{\partial h_{i}} C(h)^{-i}\left\{A A_{C}(h)-I\right\} y \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: Differentiate, using the product rule, with respect to $h_{i}$, the expression for $A_{C}(h) y$ and use Proposition 1 three times. That is, we have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial \mathrm{F}(\mathrm{~h})}{\partial \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}}\left(\left(\mathrm{~A}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{~h})^{-1} \mathrm{~A}\right)^{-1}\right) \mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{~h})^{-1} \mathrm{y} \\
& +\left(\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{~h})^{-1} \mathrm{~A}\right)^{-1} \mathrm{~A}^{\mathrm{T}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{i}}}\left(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{~h})^{-1}\right) \mathrm{y} \\
& =\left(A^{T} C(h)^{-1} A\right)^{-1} A^{T} C(h)^{-1} \times \frac{\partial C(h)}{\partial h_{i}} C(h)^{-1} A\left(A^{T} C(h)^{-1} A\right)^{-1} \\
& \times\left(A^{T} C(h)^{-1} y-\left(A^{T} C(h)^{-1} A\right)^{-1} A^{T} C(h)^{-1}\right) \times \frac{\partial C(h)}{\partial h_{i}} C(h)^{-1} y
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives the result [
Proposition 3: For $1 \leq \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j} \leq \mathrm{L}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{~h})}{\partial \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}} \partial \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{j}}}= & \left\{\frac{\partial \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{~h})}{\partial \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{j}}} \frac{\partial \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{~h})}{\partial \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}}+\right. \\
& \left.\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{~h})\left[\frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{~h})}{\partial \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}} \partial \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{j}}}-\frac{\partial \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{~h})}{\partial \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{~h})^{-1} \frac{\partial \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{~h})}{\partial \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{j}}}\right]\right\} \times \\
& \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{~h})^{-1}\left\{\mathrm{AA}_{\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{~h})-\mathrm{I}\right\} \mathrm{y}+ \\
& \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{~h}) \frac{\partial \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{~h})}{\partial \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{~h})^{-1}\left\{\mathrm{~A} \frac{\partial \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{~h})}{\partial \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{j}}}\right\} \mathrm{y} \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof: Differentiate (16) with respect to $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{j}}$, using the product rule and employing Proposition 1. We omit the details.

We omit the expression for the third derivatives, but it is straightforward to compute from (17) above.

Proposition 4: For $1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{L}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{~h})}{\partial \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}}= & \sigma_{d}^{2} \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{~h}) \mathrm{S}\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{~h})^{\mathrm{T}}}{\partial \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{i}}}\right)+ \\
& \sigma_{\mathrm{d}}^{2}\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{~h})}{\partial \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}}\right) \mathrm{SH}(\mathrm{~h})^{\mathrm{T}} \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof: Differentiating (12) with respect to $h_{i}$ gives the required result.

Proposition 5: For $1 \leq i, j \leq L$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{~h})}{\partial \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}} \partial \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{j}}}= & \sigma_{d}^{2} \frac{\partial \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{~h})}{\partial \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{j}}} \mathrm{~S} \frac{\partial \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{~h})^{\mathrm{T}}}{\partial \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{i}}}+ \\
& \sigma_{\mathrm{d}}^{2} \frac{\partial \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{~h})}{\partial \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}} \mathrm{~S} \frac{\partial \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{~h})^{\mathrm{T}}}{\partial \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{j}}} \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof: Differentiating (18) with respect to $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{j}}$, and noting that $\frac{\partial^{2} H(h)^{T}}{\partial h_{i} \partial h_{j}}=0$ for any $i, j$, gives the required result.

Proposition 6:For all derivatives of order 3 and
higher, we have $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathrm{h}}\right)^{\alpha} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{h}) \equiv 0_{(\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{L}-1) \times(\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{L}-1)} \forall \alpha,|\alpha| \geq 3$
Proof: Differentiating (19) with respect to $\mathrm{h}_{\mathbf{k}}$, and noting that $\frac{\partial^{2} H(h)^{T}}{\partial h_{i} \partial h_{j}}=0$ for any $i, j$, gives the required result.

We use approximations (4) and (5) where we do not use a full linear approximation, including all terms in the linear Taylor series approximation. We only use a linear
approximation using the dominant term, which for us is a linear approximation where we have differentiated with respect to the main tap weight. This approach is supported by the simulation results where the best results are obtained in this case. This has the added advantage of reducing the complexity since only a single term is used in the approximation. A similar comment holds for both the quadratic and cubic approximations, where we do not use the full second and third order Taylor series approximations, but only use a single second order term and a single third order term in the second and third order approximations.

## V. Algorithm

A. Input:

Received vector $y$;
Training sequence to form data matrix A
B. Stored at Receiver:

Zeroeth order approximation matrix (see (14))
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{id}}\right)=\left(\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{id}}\right)^{-1} \mathrm{~A}\right)^{-1} \mathrm{~A}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{id}}\right)^{-\mathrm{t}} \in \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{L} \times(\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{t})}(20)$
First order approximation matrix $\in \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{L} \times(\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{l})}$ for first order algorithm (see (16) and note that $\left.\frac{\partial F(\mathrm{~h})}{\partial \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}}=\frac{\partial \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{id}}\right)}{\partial \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}} \mathrm{y}\right)$
$\frac{\partial \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{id}}\right)}{\partial \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}}=\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{id}}\right) \frac{\partial \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{id}}\right)}{\partial \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{id}}\right)^{-1}\left\{\mathrm{AA}_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{id}}\right)-\mathrm{I}\right\}(21)$
Second Order approximation matrix $\in R^{\mathrm{Lx}(\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{L}-1)}$, if second order algorithm is used (see (17) and note that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{~h})}{\partial \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}} \partial \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{j}}}=\frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{~h})}{\partial \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}} \partial \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{j}}} \mathrm{y}\right) \\
& \frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{id}}\right)}{\partial \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}}=\left\{\frac{\partial \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{id}}\right)}{\partial \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}} \frac{\partial \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{id}}\right)}{\partial \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}}+\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{id}}\right)\left[\frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{id}}\right)}{\partial \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\frac{\partial \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{id}}\right)}{\partial \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{id}}\right)^{-1} \frac{\partial \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{id}}\right)}{\partial \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}}\right]\right\} \times \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{id}}\right)^{-1}\left\{\mathrm{AA}_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{id}}\right)-\mathrm{I}\right\} \\
& +\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{id}}\right) \frac{\partial \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{id}}\right)}{\partial \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{id}}\right)^{-1} \times\left\{\mathrm{A} \frac{\partial \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{id}}\right)}{\partial \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}}\right\} \\
& \quad \in \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{L} \times(\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{L}-1)} \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

Third order approximation matrix if third order algorithm is used (not given here.)
C. Real-Time Processing

Let $\mathrm{h}_{\text {corr }}=\left[\mathrm{h}_{1}^{\text {corr }}, \mathrm{h}_{2}^{\text {corr }}, \cdots, \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\text {corr }}\right]^{\mathrm{T}} \in \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{L}}$ denote the correlation approximation to the channel, which is available from timing acquisition. Then compute the scalar value $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\text {cort }} \cdot \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\text {id }}=\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\text {corr }}-1$ when the ideal
channel used is $h_{i d}=[0, \cdots, 0, \underbrace{1}_{\text {i }_{\text {position }}}, 0, \cdots, 0]^{\top} \in R^{L}$
Use this, together with (20) and (21), to form the matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla^{1} A_{C}\left(h_{i d}\right)\right)=A_{C}\left(h_{i d}\right)+\left(h_{i}^{\text {corr }}-h_{i}^{\text {id }}\right) \frac{\partial A_{C}\left(h_{i d}\right)}{\partial h_{i}} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

to be used in the first order algorithm. Complexity is $\mathrm{L} \times(\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{L}-1)$ multiplications and $\mathrm{L} \times(\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{L}-1)$ additions. Similarly, using (20), (21) and (22), form the matrix

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\nabla^{2} A_{C}\right)\left(h_{i d}\right)= & A_{C}\left(h_{i d}\right)+\left(h_{i}^{\text {cor }}-h_{i}^{\text {id }}\right) \frac{\partial A_{C}\left(h_{\text {id }}\right)}{\partial h_{i}}+ \\
& \left(h_{i}^{\text {corr }}-h_{i}^{\text {id }}\right)^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} A_{c}\left(h_{i d}\right)}{\partial h_{i}^{2}} \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

Complexity is $2 \times \mathrm{L} \times(\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{L}-1)$ multiplications and $2 \times \mathrm{L} \times(\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{L}-1)$ additions. We omit the third order approximation matrix in the interests of space.


Figure 1

1) Compute the approximations to $\mathrm{h}_{\text {BLUE }}$ : $\nabla^{0} \mathrm{~F}\left(\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{id}}\right)=\left(\nabla^{0} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{h}_{\text {id }}\right)\right) y$ zeroeth order approximation identical to that in [15] and [16]
$\nabla^{\prime} F\left(h_{i d}\right)=\left(\nabla^{\prime} \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{id}}\right)\right) y$ first order approximation using (23)
$\nabla^{2} F\left(h_{i d}\right)=\left(\nabla^{2} A_{C}\left(h_{i d}\right)\right) y$ second order approximation
using (24)
$\nabla^{3} \mathrm{~F}\left(\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{id}}\right)=\left(\nabla^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{id}}\right)\right) \mathrm{y}$ third order approximation not explicitly given here

## VI. SIMULATION RESULTS

Simulation results are shown in Figure 1 (where Normalized Least Squares Error $=\frac{\|\mathrm{h}-\hat{\mathrm{h}}\|^{2}}{\left(\left(\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}+1\right)\|\mathrm{h}\|^{2}\right)}$ where $\hat{\mathrm{h}}$ is the channel estimate) for Brazilian channel D which appears in the HDTV literature, [1], at $18,20,22,24,26,28 \mathrm{~dB}$ input SNR for the zeroeth, first, second and third order approximations.
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