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## ABSTRACT

## FREQUENCY SPLITTING WITH TWO DIMENSIONAL TRIANGULAR PHOTONIC CRYSTAL

Photonic crystals are periodically arranged dielectric materials. If the periodicity is broken along a line, i.e. a line defect is formed, then the line defect can behave like a waveguide. In this thesis, a frequency splitting device for electromagnetic waves is designed and tested theoretically using line defect waveguides. The theoretical design of the waveguides is accomplished using the plane wave expansion and the supercell method. The testing is done by the finite difference time domain method.

Frequency mixing and splitting, or multiplexers and demultiplexers as they are known in industry, for electromagnetic waves are important since they lead to a multiplication in capacity for optical communications. Multiplexers and demultiplexers have been in use for a long time. However, designing photonic crystal multiplexers has a history of about ten years. In this thesis, a new photonic crystal demultiplexer design is suggested using photonic crystal line defect waveguides.

## ÖZET

## İKİ BOYUTLU ÜÇGEN ÖRGÜLÜ FOTONİK KRİSTAL İLE FREKANS AYIRMA

Fotonik kristaller periyodik olarak dizilmiş dielektrik malzemelerdir. Bu periyodiklik bir doğru boyunca bozulunca, yani çizgisel bir kusur meydana getirilince, bu çizgisel kusur dalga kılavuzu gibi davranabilir. Bu tezde, çizgisel kusurlu dalga kılavuzları kullanılarak, elektromagnetik dalgalar için frekans ayırma aygıtı teorik olarak tasarlandı ve sınandı. Bu dalga kılavuzlarını teorik olarak tasarlama düzlem dalga açılım ve süperhücre yöntemleriyle yapıldı. Teorik sınamada ise sonlu farklar zaman alanı yöntemi kullanıldı.

Elektromagnetik dalgalar için frekansları birleştirme ve ayırma aygıtları önemliler çünkü bunlar optik haberleşmede bilgi aktarım kapasitesini katlamayı sağlarlar. Bu aygıtlar uzun zamandır kullanılıyorlar. Ama fotonik kristallerle tasarımları on senelik bir geçmişe sahip. Bu tezde, fotonik kristal çizgisel kusurlu dalga kılavuzlarıyla yapılan yeni bir fotonik kristal frekans ayırma aygıtı öneriliyor.
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## CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

The title of the thesis immediately brings up two questions to the mind of the reader unfamiliar with the subject matter. The first question: What is a photonic crystal? The question will be answered in the first chapter. We will also briefly mention some applications of photonic crystals in general.

The second question is, What does "frequency splitting" mean? We will attempt to answer this question in Section 1.3 and in Chapter 3 The heart of the thesis is Chapter 3, where our device for frequency splitting is discussed in detail.

How do we study photonic crystals? We used two methods for studying photonic crystals. One of them is the finite difference time domain (FDTD) method widely used in a variety of problems in computational electrodynamics. The other one is the plane wave expansion method and supercell method for theoretical prediction, before computational modeling. These methods will be explained in Chapter 2.

To understand the material in this thesis, the reader needs to be familiar with basic electromagnetic theory (Griffiths 1999), basic solid state physics (Kittel 1996) and a little mathematics, especially Fourier analysis and eigenvalue problems (Arfken and Weber 2005). What about quantum mechanics? As we will see in Section 3.6, the scale of the problem we are studying is $\sim 1.5 \mu m$, so we remain in the classical region. So we don't take into account any quantum mechanical effect in this thesis. All quantum mechanical effects are implicitly taken into account through the dielectric constant of the material of which the photonic crystal is made.

### 1.1. Photonic Crystals

What are photonic crystals? As is well-known, a crystal is a material whose components (atoms, molecules, or ions) are arranged in an orderly repeating pattern extending in one, two, or three dimensions. Similarly, a photonic crystal ( PhC ) is a structure which


Figure 1.1. (a) A 1D photonic crystal, (b) a 2D photonic crystal, (c) a 3D photonic crystal.
is formed by periodically arranging materials with different dielectric constants, $\epsilon$. A onedimensional (1D) PhC is shown in Figure 1.1a. It is actually a multilayer film. The white and blue layers represent materials with different dielectric constants. Its periodicity is only along the $z$-axis, which is why this kind of PhCs is called one-dimensional PhCs .

An example of a second kind of PhC is shown in Figure 1.1b. This is a twodimensional (2D) PhC, because it is periodic in the $x y$-plane only, with no variation of the dielectric constant along the $z$-axis. The rods and space represent materials with different dielectric constants. We will be concerned with only 2D PhCs in this thesis.

Finally, if the periodicity of the PhC extends in all three dimensions, this kind of PhCs are called three-dimensional (3D) PhCs. An example of a 3D PhC is shown in Figure 1.1c. The spheres and space stand for materials with different dielectric constant again.

Which properties of PhCs make them desirable for optical device applications? The most important property of PhCs is the electromagnetic, or photonic band gap. A
photonic band gap is a region in the frequency spectrum, in which the propagation of EM waves with frequencies within the gap is strictly forbidden. The possibility of creating a photonic band gap with 3D periodic dielectric structures was suggested by (Yablonovitch 1987).

Another most important property is strong localization of EM waves in certain disordered dielectric superlattices. A disordered dielectric superlattice is a lattice with weak disordering of a periodic dielectric structure. Strong localization of EM waves may be achieved in defect regions. This idea was suggested by (John 1987). These properties make PhCs powerful tools for manipulation of EM waves.

### 1.2. Applications of Photonic Crystals

PhCs are powerful tools for manipulation of EM waves. Then what are applications of PhCs with these significant properties? One of the most researched applications is photonic crystal fibers which are a new class of optical waveguides. It is known that optical fibers play an important role in modern communications. A traditional optical fiber consists of a central core and a cladding which surrounds the core. Light is guided in the core along the optical fiber by total internal reflection since the core refractive index is higher than the cladding refractive index.

This next generation PhC fiber has a core and a cladding like conventional optical fiber, and it is made of a 2D periodic dielectric structure perpendicular to its axis. PhC fibers can be divided into two types. One is the index-guided PhC fibers first reported by (Knight, et al. 1996). Index-guided PhC fibers are similar to conventional optical fibers because the core effective refractive index is higher than the cladding effective refractive index. They can have a much higher dielectric contrast between the core and the cladding than conventional optical fibers leading to a greater strength of optical confinement. And they are useful for enhancing nonlinear effects and creating unusual dispersion phenomena (Joannopoulos, et al. 2008). In addition it is important that index-guided PhC fibers can remain single mode for a sufficiently large fiber lengths. This ability is known as endlessly single mode (Birks, et al. 1997).

The other type is photonic-bandgap fibers reported by (Knight, et al. 1998) and
(Cregan, et al. 1999). Photonic-bandgap fibers are different from traditional optical fibers. The core is air and the cladding effective refractive index is higher than that of air. So light guidance is explained by photonic band gap phenomena instead of total internal reflection. This minimizes the effects of losses, undesired nonlinearities and any other unwanted properties of the bulk materials (Joannopoulos, et al. 2008).

PhC fibers can be superior to classical fibers because they can have less attenuation, can transmit light with much higher optical power, have lower bending losses and can be used in an increasing number of applications in a broad range of areas (Russell 2006).

A challenge application: Photonic integrated circuits. It is known that electronic integrated circuits (IC) are made of transistors and transmission lines for electrons. In ICs information is transfered by electrons between transistors with transmission lines. In photonic ICs, information will be transfered by photons instead of electrons. However there are some difficulties for photonic ICs. The first problem in constructing photonic ICs is to guide EM waves without bending loss in waveguides. High transmission of EM waves through sharp bends was demonstrated theoretically by (Mekis, et al. 1996) and experimentally by (Lin, et al. 1998). Since the transmission lines have branches (or splitters) in ICs, the waveguides will have branches in photonic ICs as well. A branch for photonic ICs was simulated (Fan, et al. 2001) and another branch was realized experimentally (Lin, et al. 2002). The second problem in the way of a practical realization of photonic ICs is to make optical (or photonic) transistors. An all optical transistor is demonstrated by (Yanık, et al. 2003) theoretically with nonlinear PhCs. All optical bistable switch which is equivalent to optical transistor action is demonstrated by (Notomi, et al. 2005) experimentally. However combining all of those with low power requirements and high speed is still difficult for photonic ICs. More time is needed for realization of photonic ICs.

Other interesting topics with PhCs are microcavities (Villeneuve, et al. 1996, Foresi, et al. 1997), modification of spontaneous emission (Fan, et al. 1997), superprism phenomena (Hosaka, et al. 1998), reflector (Fink, et al. 1998), channel drop (Fan, et al. 1998), self-collimating phenomena (Kosaka, et al. 1999), negative refraction (Notomi 2000, Luo, et al. 2002), photonic crystal laser (Loncar, et al. 2002, Park, et al. 2004).

### 1.3. Wavelength Division Multiplexing

In fiber-optic communications, wavelength-division multiplexing is a technology which enables transmission of multiple optical signals on the same optical fiber simultaneously. The technology uses different wavelengths of laser light to carry different signals. This leads to a multiplication in capacity for communications. In this technology multiplexers are used for joining the signals together and demultiplexers are used for splitting the signals apart. What does "frequency splitting" in the title of the thesis mean? Frequency splitting means demultiplexing actually and our frequency splitting device is a demultiplexer.

Using a photonic crystal superprism, PhC demultiplexers were theoretically shown to be possible (Chung and Hong 2002, Momeni and Adibi 2003, Matsumoto, et al. 2005) and were experimentally realized (Momeni, et al. 2006). Multiplexing and demultiplexing using PhC waveguides were also theoretically shown to be possible (Chien, et al. 1999, Centeno, et al. 1999, Nelson, et al. 2000, Koshiba 2001, Sharkawy, et al. 2001, Smajic, et al. 2003). In this thesis we suggest a new PhC demultiplexer design using PhC waveguides.

## CHAPTER 2

## METHODOLOGY

### 2.1. Finite Difference Time Domain Method

Finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) is a popular computational electrodynamics modeling method (Yee 1966, Taflove and Brodwin 1975). How does FDTD method work? In this section we will answer this question.

Our starting point is Maxwell's equations. They are in Heaviside-Lorentz units

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D}=\rho \\
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}=0 \\
\nabla \times \mathbf{E}=-\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \\
\nabla \times \mathbf{H}=\frac{\mathbf{J}}{c}+\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t} \tag{2.4}
\end{array}
$$

We assume that there is no charge density in a 2 D PhC . However current density exists, because it is EM wave source for this modeling method. So

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D}=0 \\
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}=0 \\
\nabla \times \mathbf{E}=-\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \\
\nabla \times \mathbf{H}=\frac{\mathbf{J}}{c}+\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t} \tag{2.8}
\end{array}
$$

In scalar form Equation 2.7 is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial E_{y}}{\partial z}-\frac{\partial E_{z}}{\partial y}=\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial B_{x}}{\partial t}  \tag{2.9}\\
& \frac{\partial E_{z}}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial E_{x}}{\partial z}=\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial B_{y}}{\partial t}  \tag{2.10}\\
& \frac{\partial E_{x}}{\partial y}-\frac{\partial E_{y}}{\partial x}=\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial B_{z}}{\partial t} \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

and Equation 2.8 is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial H_{z}}{\partial y}-\frac{\partial H_{y}}{\partial z}=\frac{J_{x}}{c}+\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial D_{x}}{\partial t}  \tag{2.12}\\
& \frac{\partial H_{x}}{\partial z}-\frac{\partial H_{z}}{\partial x}=\frac{J_{y}}{c}+\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial D_{y}}{\partial t}  \tag{2.13}\\
& \frac{\partial H_{y}}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial H_{x}}{\partial y}=\frac{J_{z}}{c}+\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial D_{z}}{\partial t} \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

For simplicity, we focus our analysis on two dimension. So let's take

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{x}=D_{y}=H_{z}=J_{x}=J_{y}=0 \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then Equation 2.7-2.8 can be written

$$
\begin{align*}
-\frac{\partial E_{z}}{\partial y} & =\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial B_{x}}{\partial t}  \tag{2.16}\\
\frac{\partial E_{z}}{\partial x} & =\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial B_{y}}{\partial t}  \tag{2.17}\\
\frac{\partial H_{y}}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial H_{x}}{\partial y}=\frac{J_{z}}{c} & +\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial D_{z}}{\partial t} \tag{2.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Using $\mathbf{D}=\epsilon \mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{B}=\mu \mathbf{H}$ equations in Heaviside-Lorentz units again, Equation 2.162.18 can be written


Figure 2.1. A Yee lattice in 2D. An exercise for FDTD method.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
-\frac{\partial E_{z}}{\partial y}=\frac{\mu}{c} \frac{\partial H_{x}}{\partial t} \\
\frac{\partial E_{z}}{\partial x}=\frac{\mu}{c} \frac{\partial H_{y}}{\partial t} \\
\frac{\partial H_{y}}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial H_{x}}{\partial y}=\frac{J_{z}}{c}+\frac{\epsilon}{c} \frac{\partial E_{z}}{\partial t} \tag{2.21}
\end{array}
$$

$\partial x, \partial y$ and $\partial t$ are infinitesimal differences in Eqs. 2.19-2.21. If these infinitesimal differences are changed to finite differences, Eqs. 2.19-2.21 can are written

$$
\begin{array}{r}
-\frac{\Delta E_{z}}{\Delta y} \approx \frac{\mu}{c} \frac{\Delta H_{x}}{\Delta t} \\
\frac{\Delta E_{z}}{\Delta x} \approx \frac{\mu}{c} \frac{\Delta H_{y}}{\Delta t} \\
\frac{\Delta H_{y}}{\Delta x}-\frac{\Delta H_{x}}{\Delta y} \approx \frac{J_{z}}{c}+\frac{\epsilon}{c} \frac{\Delta E_{z}}{\Delta t} \tag{2.24}
\end{array}
$$

In Figure 2.1 there is a two dimensional discretized space. The space is diveded to 18 equal parts. This scheme is known as a Yee lattice (Yee 1966). Using the Yee lattice, Eqs. 2.22-2.24 can be written

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\frac{E_{z}^{k+\frac{1}{2}}\left(i+\frac{1}{2}, j+\frac{1}{2}\right)-E_{z}^{k+\frac{1}{2}}\left(i+\frac{1}{2}, j-\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Delta y} \\
& \quad \approx \frac{\mu\left(i+\frac{1}{2}, j\right)}{c} \frac{H_{x}^{k+1}\left(i+\frac{1}{2}, j\right)-H_{x}^{k}\left(i+\frac{1}{2}, j\right)}{\Delta t}  \tag{2.25}\\
& \begin{array}{c}
\frac{E_{z}^{k+\frac{1}{2}}\left(i+\frac{1}{2}, j+\frac{1}{2}\right)-E_{z}^{k+\frac{1}{2}}\left(i-\frac{1}{2}, j+\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Delta x} \\
\\
\approx \frac{\mu\left(i, j+\frac{1}{2}\right)}{c} \frac{H_{y}^{k+1}\left(i, j+\frac{1}{2}\right)-H_{y}^{k}\left(i, j+\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Delta t} \\
\frac{H_{y}^{k}\left(i+1, j+\frac{1}{2}\right)-H_{y}^{k}\left(i, j+\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Delta x}-\frac{H_{x}^{k}\left(i+\frac{1}{2}, j+1\right)-H_{x}^{k}\left(i+\frac{1}{2}, j\right)}{\Delta y} \\
\approx \frac{J_{z}^{k}\left(i+\frac{1}{2}, j+\frac{1}{2}\right)}{c}+\frac{\epsilon\left(i+\frac{1}{2}, j+\frac{1}{2}\right)}{c} \frac{E_{z}^{k+\frac{1}{2}}\left(i+\frac{1}{2}, j+\frac{1}{2}\right)-E_{z}^{k-\frac{1}{2}}\left(i+\frac{1}{2}, j+\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Delta t}
\end{array}
\end{align*}
$$

where we take $A^{k}(i, j)=A(i \Delta x, j \Delta y, k \Delta t)$ and $i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. These equations can be written as a recursion equations form for the FDTD method

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{array}{r}
E_{z}^{k+\frac{1}{2}}\left(i+\frac{1}{2}, j+\frac{1}{2}\right) \approx \\
E_{z}^{k-\frac{1}{2}}\left(i+\frac{1}{2}, j+\frac{1}{2}\right)-\frac{\Delta t J_{z}^{k}\left(i+\frac{1}{2}, j+\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\epsilon\left(i+\frac{1}{2}, j+\frac{1}{2}\right)} \\
+\frac{c \Delta t}{\Delta x} \frac{H_{y}^{k}\left(i+1, j+\frac{1}{2}\right)-H_{y}^{k}\left(i, j+\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\epsilon\left(i+\frac{1}{2}, j+\frac{1}{2}\right)} \\
\\
-\frac{c \Delta t}{\Delta y} \frac{H_{x}^{k}\left(i+\frac{1}{2}, j+1\right)-H_{x}^{k}\left(i+\frac{1}{2}, j\right)}{\epsilon\left(i+\frac{1}{2}, j+\frac{1}{2}\right)} \\
H_{x}^{k+1}\left(i+\frac{1}{2}, j\right) \approx H_{x}^{k}\left(i+\frac{1}{2}, j\right) \\
\\
-\frac{c \Delta t}{\Delta y} \frac{E_{z}^{k+\frac{1}{2}}\left(i+\frac{1}{2}, j+\frac{1}{2}\right)-E_{z}^{k+\frac{1}{2}}\left(i+\frac{1}{2}, j-\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\mu\left(i+\frac{1}{2}, j\right)} \\
H_{y}^{k+1}\left(i, j+\frac{1}{2}\right) \approx H_{y}^{k}\left(i, j+\frac{1}{2}\right) \\
\\
+\frac{c \Delta t}{\Delta x} \frac{E_{z}^{k+\frac{1}{2}}\left(i+\frac{1}{2}, j+\frac{1}{2}\right)-E_{z}^{k+\frac{1}{2}}\left(i-\frac{1}{2}, j+\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\mu\left(i, j+\frac{1}{2}\right)}
\end{array}
\end{align*}
$$

With Eqs. 2.28-2.30, values of vector field components ( $E_{z}, B_{x}$ or $B_{y}$ ) at any lattice point and at any time can be found depending on their initial or previous values.

### 2.1.1. An Exercise for FDTD Method

How do we use Eqs. 2.28-2.30? To understand how to use Eqs. 2.28-2.30 for finding the values of the vector field components at any lattice point and any time, let's make a simple example.

In Figure 2.1 at $(i=3 / 2, j=3 / 2)$ Yee lattice point there is a current density $J_{z}$. When $k<0$ which means $t=k \Delta t<0$, the initial condition is

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{z}^{k<0}(3 / 2,3 / 2)=0 \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

and when $k \leq 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{z}^{k \leq 0}(i, j)=B_{x}^{k \leq 0}(i, j)=B_{y}^{k \leq 0}(i, j)=0 . \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $k \geq 0$, let's take

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{z}^{0}(3 / 2,3 / 2)=J_{z}^{k>0}(3 / 2,3 / 2) \neq 0 \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

$J_{z}$ changes with time at $k=0$. As a result EM wave is created. Using Equation 2.30, one can find

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{z}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right) \approx-\frac{\Delta t}{\epsilon\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right)} J_{z}^{0}\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right) \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Equation 2.28-2.29, one can achieve

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{y}^{1}\left(2, \frac{3}{2}\right) \approx-\frac{c \Delta t}{\mu\left(2, \frac{3}{2}\right) \Delta x} E_{z}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right)  \tag{2.35}\\
& H_{y}^{1}\left(1, \frac{3}{2}\right) \approx \frac{c \Delta t}{\mu\left(1, \frac{3}{2}\right) \Delta x} E_{z}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right)  \tag{2.36}\\
& H_{x}^{1}\left(\frac{3}{2}, 2\right) \approx-\frac{c \Delta t}{\mu\left(\frac{3}{2}, 2\right) \Delta y} E_{z}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right)  \tag{2.37}\\
& H_{x}^{1}\left(\frac{3}{2}, 1\right) \approx \frac{c \Delta t}{\mu\left(\frac{3}{2}, 1\right) \Delta y} E_{z}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right) \tag{2.38}
\end{align*}
$$

When one continues the calculation with same way, the computation of the vector fields can be proceeded easily

### 2.1.2. Geometric Structures in a Yee lattice

It is understood that a Yee lattice consists of several square parts. Then how can we insert any sort of geometric structure into a Yee lattice? Actually rule is very easy. Each part of a Yee lattice are given an avarage dielectric constant value according to the shape of the geometric object. To understand very well, let's insert a triangular object into the Yee lattice in Figure 2.2.

The triangular structure is on six Yee lattice parts. Three of them consist of air and dielectric material $\left(\epsilon_{\text {diel }}=9\right)$ fairly. According to the rule, their dielectric constant values are taken 5. So our triangular object looks in Figure 2.2. With this rule any kind of structure which we study can be inserted in a simulation space (see Figure 2.3.

To close more real results, the Yee lattice should be divided more that 18 parts. However it cannot be an enormous number because of computer technology limits. Before starting a computation for any kind of structure, we have to find an ideal number. This point is called resolution in programmer language.

In Figure 2.3 PML means perfectly matched layer (Berenger 1994). It absorbs EM waves at simulation boundaries without reflections. It provide us studying small


Figure 2.2. A triangular object in a Yee lattice in 2D.


Figure 2.3. FDTD simulation space with PML which absorbs EM waves at simulation boundaries without reflections. Any kind of structure can be inserted in the simulation space.
simulation space without reflection effects from simulation boundaries. So we gain times during a computation.

In this thesis, we use MEEP ${ }^{1}$ (MIT Electromagnetic Equation Propagation) software for FDTD simulations. MEEP is a free software package developed at MIT to model EM systems. It works on Linux OS.

### 2.2. Plane Wave Expansion Method

In Chapter 1 we said that most important properties of PhCs are the photonic band gap and strong localization of EM waves in disordered PhCs. Plane wave expansion (PWE) method is a useful tool for calculating electromagnetic band gaps and localized frequencies (Satpathy, et al. 1990, Ho, et al. 1990, Zhang and Satpathy 1990, Plihal and Maradudin 1991, Meade, et al. 1992, Sözüer 2009). What is the origin of the PWE method? We try to answer the question in this section. As we mentioned in Chapter 1, we studied a line defect waveguide based on 2D PhCs in this thesis, so our derivation will be for 2D PhCs.

Our starting point is again Maxwell's equations.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D}=\rho \\
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}=0 \\
\nabla \times \mathbf{E}=-\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \\
\nabla \times \mathbf{H}=\mathbf{J}+\frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t} \tag{2.42}
\end{array}
$$

We assume that there are no charge density and current density in a 2 D PhC .

[^0]\[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}=0 \\
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{H}=0 \\
\nabla \times \mathbf{E}=-\mu \mu_{0} \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial t} \\
\nabla \times \mathbf{H}=\epsilon \epsilon_{0} \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} \tag{2.46}
\end{array}
$$
\]

where $\mathbf{D}=\epsilon \epsilon_{0} \mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{B}=\mu \mu_{0} \mathbf{H}$. With Eqs. 2.45-2.46

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \times \eta \nabla \times \mathbf{H}+\frac{\mu}{c^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{H}}{\partial t^{2}}=0 \tag{2.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta=\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ and $c=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_{0} \epsilon_{0}}}$. Mathematically $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ can be written as an inverse Fourier transform in time and space

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r}, t)=\iint \mathbf{H}\left(\mathbf{k}^{\prime}, w\right) e^{i\left(\mathbf{k}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{r}-w t\right)} d \mathbf{k}^{\prime} d w \tag{2.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand a plane wave of frequency $w$ is written by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t)=\mathbf{E}_{0} e^{i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}-w t)} \tag{2.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

So Equation 2.48 can be thought a summation of plane waves with infinite numbers of different frequencies and wave vectors. This is the origin of the expression plane wave expansion. When Equation2.48 is used in Equation2.47, one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \times \eta \nabla \times \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r}, w)-\frac{w^{2} \mu}{c^{2}} \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r}, w)=0 \tag{2.50}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 2.4. White represents air $\left(\epsilon_{\text {air }}=1\right)$, black represents dielectric material $\left(\epsilon_{\text {diel }}=\right.$ 13). The lattice of the PhC is triangular. So it is a 2 D triangular $\mathrm{PhC} . ~ R=$ $0.48 a$ is the radius of the holes. $a$ is lattice parameter (or constant). $\mathbf{a}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{a}_{2}$ are basis vectors of the lattice. The blue parallelepiped is the unit cell of the PhC.
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r}, w)=\int \mathbf{H}\left(\mathbf{k}^{\prime}, w\right) e^{i \mathbf{k}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{r}} d \mathbf{k}^{\prime} \tag{2.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since our derivation is for $2 \mathrm{D} \mathrm{PhCs}, \mathbf{k}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{r}$ are both in the $y z$ plane.
In Figure 2.4, a 2D PhC is shown. White represents air, black represents dielectric material. The lattice of the PhC is triangular (or hexagonal). So the PhC is called 2D triangular PhC. $\mathbf{a}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{a}_{2}$ are basis vectors of the lattice. The parallelepiped defined by the basis vectors is called a unit (or primitive) cell. The translation vector is in the lattice

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{R}=n_{1} \mathbf{a}_{1}+n_{2} \mathbf{a}_{2} \tag{2.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{1}, n_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Because of the periodicity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(\mathbf{r})=\eta(\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{R}) \quad ; \quad \mu(\mathbf{r})=\mu(\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{R}) \tag{2.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\eta(\mathbf{r})$ and $\mu(\mathbf{r})$ can be written as a Fourier series

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(\mathbf{r})=\sum_{\mathbf{G}^{\prime}} \eta\left(\mathbf{G}^{\prime}\right) e^{i \mathbf{G}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \quad ; \quad \mu(\mathbf{r})=\sum_{\mathbf{G}^{\prime \prime}} \mu\left(\mathbf{G}^{\prime \prime}\right) e^{i \mathbf{G}^{\prime \prime} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \tag{2.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

G is reciprocal lattice vector given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{G}=m_{1} \mathbf{b}_{1}+m_{2} \mathbf{b}_{2} \quad ; \quad m_{1}, m_{2} \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{2.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{b}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{b}_{2}$ are the basis vectors of the reciprocal lattice (see Figure 2.5 for the reciprocal lattice of a triangular PhC lattice). They are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{b}_{1}=2 \pi \frac{\mathbf{a}_{2} \times \hat{x}}{\mathbf{a}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{a}_{2} \times \hat{x}} \quad ; \quad \mathbf{b}_{2}=2 \pi \frac{\hat{x} \times \mathbf{a}_{1}}{\mathbf{a}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{a}_{2} \times \hat{x}} \quad ; \quad \mathbf{a}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{b}_{j}=2 \pi \delta_{i j} \tag{2.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition Equation 2.51 can be written with $\mathbf{k}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r}, w)=\sum_{\mathbf{G}} \int_{B Z} \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}, w) e^{i(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}) \cdot \mathbf{r}} d \mathbf{k} \tag{2.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

similar to Bloch's theorem in solid state physics, where BZ means Brillouin zone, which is a primitive cell in the reciprocal lattice (see Figure 2.5).

When Equation 2.54-2.57 are used in Equation 2.51, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{\mathbf{G}} \eta\left(\mathbf{G}^{\prime}-\mathbf{G}\right)\left(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}^{\prime}\right) \times[(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}) \times \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{k} & +\mathbf{G}, w)] \\
& +\frac{w^{2}}{c^{2}} \mu\left(\mathbf{G}^{\prime}-\mathbf{G}\right) \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}, w)=0 \tag{2.58}
\end{align*}
$$

which is a generalized eigenvalue problem. This method is called $\mathbf{H}$ method. The derivation of the generalized eigenvalue equation can be done in terms of electric field $\mathbf{E}$. Since Maxwell's Eqs. 2.43-2.46 are invariant under these transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{H} \leftrightarrows \mathbf{E} \quad ; \quad \mu \leftrightarrows \epsilon \quad ; \quad \mu_{0} \leftrightarrows-\epsilon_{0} \tag{2.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

the generalized eigenvalue equation for electric field $\mathbf{E}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{\mathbf{G}} \zeta\left(\mathbf{G}^{\prime}-\mathbf{G}\right)\left(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}^{\prime}\right) \times[(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}) \times \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{k} & +\mathbf{G}, w)] \\
& +\frac{w^{2}}{c^{2}} \epsilon\left(\mathbf{G}^{\prime}-\mathbf{G}\right) \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}, w)=0 \tag{2.60}
\end{align*}
$$

where we take $\zeta=\frac{1}{\mu}$. This method is called $\mathbf{E}$ method. We use E method in this thesis, since E method converges more rapidly than H method for our interested PhCs (Sözüer, et al. 1991).

### 2.2.1. A Comprehensible Form of the Eigenvalue Equation

The eigenvalue equation is a compact form in Equation 2.60. Then let's go on the derivation to see more clearly it. With a vector identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{A} \times(\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{C})=\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{C})-\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B}) \tag{2.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation 2.60 becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{\mathbf{G}} \zeta\left(\mathbf{G}^{\prime}-\mathbf{G}\right) \\
& \begin{aligned}
\left\{(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}) \cdot\left[\left(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}^{\prime}\right) \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}, w)\right]\right. & \left.-\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}, w) \cdot\left[\left(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}^{\prime}\right) \cdot(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G})\right]\right\} \\
& +\frac{w^{2}}{c^{2}} \epsilon\left(\mathbf{G}^{\prime}-\mathbf{G}\right) \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}, w)=0
\end{aligned}
\end{align*}
$$

or in terms of $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ and z components of $\mathbf{E}, \mathrm{k}$ and $\mathbf{G}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{\mathbf{G}} \zeta\left(\mathbf{G}^{\prime}-\mathbf{G}\right)\left\{-(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}) \cdot\left(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}^{\prime}\right) E_{x}(\mathbf{k}\right. & +\mathbf{G}, w)\} \\
& +\frac{w^{2}}{c^{2}} \epsilon\left(\mathbf{G}^{\prime}-\mathbf{G}\right) E_{x}(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}, w)=0 \tag{2.63}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{\mathbf{G}} \zeta\left(\mathbf{G}^{\prime}-\mathbf{G}\right) \\
& \left\{-\left(k_{z}^{\prime}+G_{z}^{\prime}\right)\left(k_{z}+G_{z}\right) E_{y}(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}, w)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(k_{y}+G_{y}\right)\left(k_{z}^{\prime}+G_{z}^{\prime}\right) E_{z}(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}, w)\right\}  \tag{2.64}\\
& \\
& +\frac{w^{2}}{c^{2}} \epsilon\left(\mathbf{G}^{\prime}-\mathbf{G}\right) E_{y}(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}, w)=0
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{\mathbf{G}} \zeta\left(\mathbf{G}^{\prime}-\mathbf{G}\right) \\
& \qquad\left(k_{y}^{\prime}+G_{y}^{\prime}\right)\left(k_{z}+G_{z}\right) E_{y}(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}, w)- \\
& \left.-\left(k_{y}+G_{y}\right)\left(k_{y}^{\prime}+G_{y}^{\prime}\right) E_{z}(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}, w)\right\}  \tag{2.65}\\
& \\
& +\frac{w^{2}}{c^{2}} \epsilon\left(\mathbf{G}^{\prime}-\mathbf{G}\right) E_{z}(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}, w)=0
\end{align*}
$$

Now we can see the eigenvalue equation more clearly. With

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{G^{\prime} G}=\zeta\left(\mathbf{G}^{\prime}-\mathbf{G}\right) \\
& \left(\begin{array}{lll}
(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}) \cdot\left(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}^{\prime}\right) & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \left(k_{z}^{\prime}+G_{z}^{\prime}\right)\left(k_{z}+G_{z}\right) & -\left(k_{y}+G_{y}\right)\left(k_{z}^{\prime}+G_{z}^{\prime}\right) \\
0 & -\left(k_{y}^{\prime}+G_{y}^{\prime}\right)\left(k_{z}+G_{z}\right) & \left(k_{y}+G_{y}\right)\left(k_{y}^{\prime}+G_{y}^{\prime}\right)
\end{array}\right)  \tag{2.66}\\
& B_{G^{\prime} G}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\epsilon\left(\mathbf{G}^{\prime}-\mathbf{G}\right) & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \epsilon\left(\mathbf{G}^{\prime}-\mathbf{G}\right) & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \epsilon\left(\mathbf{G}^{\prime}-\mathbf{G}\right)
\end{array}\right) \tag{2.67}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
x_{G}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
E_{x}(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}, w)  \tag{2.68}\\
E_{y}(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}, w) \\
E_{z}(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}, w)
\end{array}\right) \quad ; \quad \lambda=\frac{w^{2}}{c^{2}}
$$

Eqs. 2.63-2.65 can be written

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{\mathbf{G}} A_{G^{\prime} G} x_{G}-\lambda B_{G^{\prime} G} x_{G}=0  \tag{2.69}\\
\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}=\lambda \mathbf{B} \mathbf{x} \tag{2.70}
\end{gather*}
$$

This is a generalized eigenvalue equation.
$\mathbf{G}$ in the summation means the numbers of the points in the reciprocal lattice. Additionally $\mathbf{G}$ controls the dimension of the matrices $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ in Equation 2.70. Or it can be thought from Equation 2.57 that $\mathbf{G}$ is the number of the plane waves. Actually the numbers of the points in the reciprocal lattice is infinite, however in favor of computing this eigenvalue equation with computers, we have to take it finite. An enormous number means impossible calculation because of computer technology limits. A small number means doubtful results due to convergence problem (Sözüer, et al. 1991). So before a computation, we have to take a finite optimum number N for $\mathbf{G}$.

### 2.2.2. TE and TM Modes

How can we make a decision for which EM wave is TE or TM in a $2 D$ system? According to Poynting's theorem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{S}=\frac{1}{\mu_{0}}(\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}) \tag{2.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

there are two posibility for that EM waves propagate in yz plane. One of them is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad E_{x} \neq 0 \quad ; \quad E_{y}=E_{z}=0  \tag{2.72}\\
& H_{x}=0 \quad ; \quad H_{y} \neq 0 \quad ; \quad H_{z} \neq 0 \tag{2.73}
\end{align*}
$$

This is TE mode, due to that $\mathbf{E}$ is perpendicular to propagation direction of EM wave. The other one is

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{x}=0 ; & E_{y} \neq 0 \quad ; \quad E_{z} \neq 0  \tag{2.74}\\
H_{x} \neq 0 & ; \quad H_{y}=H_{z}=0 \tag{2.75}
\end{align*}
$$

This is TM mode, since $\mathbf{H}$ is perpendicular to propagation direction of EM wave.
Now let's look at Equation2.70. The eigenvalue equation is block diagonal. So it can be written two independent eigenvalue equations. With

$$
\begin{gather*}
A_{G^{\prime} G}^{\prime}=\zeta\left(\mathbf{G}^{\prime}-\mathbf{G}\right)(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}) \cdot\left(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}^{\prime}\right)  \tag{2.76}\\
B_{G^{\prime} G}^{\prime}=\epsilon\left(\mathbf{G}^{\prime}-\mathbf{G}\right)  \tag{2.77}\\
x_{G}^{\prime}=E_{x}(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}, w) \quad ; \quad \lambda=\frac{w^{2}}{c^{2}} \tag{2.78}
\end{gather*}
$$

one of them is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{A}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}^{\prime}=\lambda \mathbf{B}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}^{\prime} \tag{2.79}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{y}=E_{z}=0$. Consequently Equation 2.79 is $N \times N$ generalized eigenvalue problem for TE modes according to Equation 2.73, where N is the numbers of G .

With

$$
\begin{gather*}
A_{G^{\prime} G}^{\prime \prime}=\zeta\left(\mathbf{G}^{\prime}-\mathbf{G}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left(k_{z}^{\prime}+G_{z}^{\prime}\right)\left(k_{z}+G_{z}\right) & -\left(k_{y}+G_{y}\right)\left(k_{z}^{\prime}+G_{z}^{\prime}\right) \\
-\left(k_{y}^{\prime}+G_{y}^{\prime}\right)\left(k_{z}+G_{z}\right) & \left(k_{y}+G_{y}\right)\left(k_{y}^{\prime}+G_{y}^{\prime}\right)
\end{array}\right)  \tag{2.80}\\
B_{G^{\prime} G}^{\prime \prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\epsilon\left(\mathbf{G}^{\prime}-\mathbf{G}\right) & 0 \\
0 & \epsilon\left(\mathbf{G}^{\prime}-\mathbf{G}\right)
\end{array}\right)  \tag{2.81}\\
x_{G}^{\prime \prime}=\binom{E_{y}(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}, w)}{E_{z}(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}, w)} \quad ; \lambda=\frac{w^{2}}{c^{2}}, \tag{2.82}
\end{gather*}
$$

the other eigenvalue equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{A}^{\prime \prime} \mathbf{x}^{\prime \prime}=\lambda \mathbf{B}^{\prime \prime} \mathbf{x}^{\prime \prime} \tag{2.83}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{x}=0$. As a result Equation 2.83 is $2 N \times 2 N$ generalized eigenvalue problem for TM modes according to Equation 2.75.

### 2.2.3. Band Structure

What do we get from these eigenvalue equations? When we look at the generalized eigenvalue equations, it is understood that we get N frequencies, $w$, of TE modes (or 2 N


Figure 2.5. The reciprocal lattice of the triangular PhC. Brillouin zone in the reciprocal lattice. $\Gamma K M$ path for values of $k . w$ and $k$ are dimensionless values.
frequencies, $w$, of TM modes) for any value of k in Brillouin zone. As the value of k is changed, we obtain a new set of N frequencies. When these frequencies are plotted for each value of $\mathbf{k}$, we achieve a $w(\mathbf{k})$ function. This function is known as band structure or dispersion relation. To understand clearly this calculation, let's make an example.

### 2.2.3.1. An Example for Calculation of Band Structure

In a calculation of a band structure the biggest difficulty is the derivation of $\epsilon(\mathbf{G})$ for an interested PhC. According to Fourier analysis we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon(\mathbf{G})=\frac{1}{V_{\text {cell }}} \int_{\text {cell }} \epsilon(\mathbf{r}) e^{-i(\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{r})} d \mathbf{r} \tag{2.84}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the 2D triangular PhC in Figure 2.4, $\epsilon(\mathbf{r})$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon(\mathbf{r})=\epsilon_{\text {diel }}+\left(\epsilon_{\text {air }}-\epsilon_{\text {diel }}\right) \Theta(R-|\mathbf{r}|) \tag{2.85}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 2.6. The band structure for the photonic crystal. There is a complete (TE or TM) photonic band gap between $0.42<w<0.53$. k values are taken on $\Gamma К M$ path.
where $\Theta(x)$ is a step function and $\mathbf{r}$ is defined in unit cell. Once Equation 2.85 is used in Equation 2.84, one gets

$$
\begin{align*}
\epsilon(\mathbf{G}) & =\frac{1}{V_{\text {cell }}} \int_{\text {cell }} \epsilon_{\text {diel }} e^{-i(\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{r})} d \mathbf{r}+\frac{\left(\epsilon_{\text {air }}-\epsilon_{\text {diel }}\right)}{V_{\text {cell }}} \int_{\text {cell }} \Theta(R-|\mathbf{r}|) e^{-i(\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{r})} d \mathbf{r} \\
& =\frac{1}{V_{\text {cell }}} \iint_{\text {cell }} \epsilon_{\text {diel }} e^{-i\left(G_{x} x+G_{y} y\right)} d x d y+\frac{\left(\epsilon_{\text {air }}-\epsilon_{\text {diel }}\right)}{V_{\text {cell }}} \int_{0}^{R} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} e^{-i G r c o s \theta} d \theta r d r \\
& =\epsilon_{\text {diel }} \delta_{G_{x} 0} \delta_{G_{y} 0}+\frac{\left(\epsilon_{\text {air }}-\epsilon_{\text {diel }}\right)}{V_{\text {cell }}} \int_{0}^{R} 2 \pi J_{0}(G r) r d r \\
& =\epsilon_{\text {diel }} \delta_{\mathbf{G} 0}+\left(\epsilon_{\text {air }}-\epsilon_{\text {diel }}\right)\left(\frac{\pi R^{2}}{V_{\text {cell }}}\right) \frac{2 J_{1}(G R)}{G R} \tag{2.86}
\end{align*}
$$

where $J_{1}(x)$ is Bessel function. So

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon\left(\mathbf{G}^{\prime}-\mathbf{G}\right)=\epsilon_{\text {diel }} \delta_{\mathbf{G}^{\prime} \mathbf{G}}+\left(\epsilon_{\text {air }}-\epsilon_{\text {diel }}\right)\left(\frac{\pi R^{2}}{V_{\text {cell }}}\right) \frac{2 J_{1}\left(\left|\mathbf{G}^{\prime}-\mathbf{G}\right| R\right)}{\left|\mathbf{G}^{\prime}-\mathbf{G}\right| R} \tag{2.87}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 2.7. Testing the band structure with FDTD. TE modes for (a) $w=0.45$ and (b) $w=0.20$ frequencies. Red and blue represent the positive and negative values $E_{x}$ respectively, and white regions are where the field is zero. A plot of $H_{x}$ for TM modes for (c) $w=0.45$ and (d) $w=0.20$. The color coding is similar to that of $E_{x}$. EM waves can propagate through the PhC for $w=0.20$. FDTD test results are consistent with the band structure.
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta\left(\mathbf{G}^{\prime}-\mathbf{G}\right)=\zeta_{\text {diel }} \delta_{\mathbf{G}^{\prime} \mathbf{G}}+\left(\zeta_{\text {air }}-\zeta_{\text {diel }}\right)\left(\frac{\pi R^{2}}{V_{\text {cell }}}\right) \frac{2 J_{1}\left(\left|\mathbf{G}^{\prime}-\mathbf{G}\right| R\right)}{\left|\mathbf{G}^{\prime}-\mathbf{G}\right| R} \tag{2.88}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because of $\mu_{\text {air }}=\mu_{\text {diel }}=1$ or $\zeta_{\text {air }}=\zeta_{\text {diel }}=1$, Equation 2.88 can be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta\left(\mathbf{G}^{\prime}-\mathbf{G}\right)=\delta_{\mathbf{G}^{\prime} \mathbf{G}} \tag{2.89}
\end{equation*}
$$

Which values of $k$ in Brillouin zone are used to get band structure? The reciprocal lattice for the triangular PhC structure is in Figure 2.5. $\lceil К M$ triangle repeats itself in

BZ , and it is known as the irreducible region of the BZ, meaning that any point in the BZ is equivalent to some point in the irreducible BZ . So it is enough to use $\Gamma K M$ path for values of $k$. Now let's calculate the band structure of the PhC .

The calculated ${ }^{2}$ band structure of the PhC for $R=0.48 a$ and $\epsilon_{\text {diel }}=13$ is in Figure 2.6. The number of plane waves used in the calculation is 361 . There is a complete photonic band gap between $0.42<w<0.53$. Compelete means that it is for TE and TM modes. $w$ and $k$ can be normalized so we can use the dimensionless quantities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{w a}{2 \pi c} \rightarrow w \quad ; \quad \frac{k a}{2 \pi} \rightarrow k \tag{2.90}
\end{equation*}
$$

The transformation is for simplicity and so the calculated band structure can be used for any value of the lattice constant $a$.

### 2.2.3.2. Testing the Band Structure with FDTD

We expect no propagation in the PhC for $w=0.45$, which is in the complete band gap. We expect propagation in the PhC for $w=0.20$, which is in the PhC band. So is our prediction right? We test the predictions for TE and TM modes in Figure 2.7 with FDTD. We use a monochromatic TE point source in Figure 2.7a-2.7b and we use a similar TM source in Figure 2.7c-2.7d. EM waves cannot propagate through the PhC in Figure 2.7a-2.7c for $w=0.45$ frequencies and EM waves can propagate through the PhC in Figure 2.7b-2.7d for $w=0.20$ consistent with the band structure. As a result FDTD test results are suitable with the theoretical prediction.
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Figure 2.8. 2D triangular PhC where white represents air $\left(\epsilon_{a i r}=1\right)$ and black represents dielectric material $\left(\epsilon_{\text {diel }}=13\right)$. The blue parallelepiped is the chosen supercell of the $\mathrm{PhC} . ~ A=9 a$ is supercell size. $R=0.48 a$ is the radius of the holes. $a$ is lattice parameter. $\mathbf{a}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{a}_{2}$ are basis vectors of the lattice. $\mathbf{r}_{i j}$ is the displacement of the holes.

### 2.3. Supercell Method

Supercell method is actually a plane wave expansion (PWE) method. What is the difference then? While a periodic part of a PhC is a unit cell in PWE method, the periodic part is chosen bigger than a unit cell in supercell method. This new periodic part instead of a unit cell is known as supercell and this new method is called supercell method (Meade, et al. 1991, Meade, et al. 1993, Sözüer 2009).

There is a 2D triangular PhC in Figure 2.8. In a calculation of a band structure with supercell method the first difficulty is again the derivation of $\epsilon(\mathbf{G})$ for an interested PhC similar to PWE method. $\epsilon(\mathbf{r})$ is for the PhC

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon(\mathbf{r})=\epsilon_{\text {diel }}+\left(\epsilon_{\text {air }}-\epsilon_{\text {diel }}\right) \sum_{i, j} \Theta\left(R-\left|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_{i j}\right|\right) \tag{2.91}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Theta(x)$ is a step function and $\mathbf{r}$ is defined in supercell (SC). So we obtain


Figure 2.9. The band structure of TE modes for the PhC with (a) supercell and (b) plane wave expansion method. We achieve same photonic band gap, which is between $0.43<w<0.52$, with the two method.


Figure 2.10. A 2D triangular PhC with point defect where white represents air $\left(\epsilon_{a i r}=1\right)$ and black represents dielectric material $\left(\epsilon_{\text {diel }}=13\right)$. The blue parallelepiped is the chosen supercell of the PhC. $A=9 a$ is supercell size. $R=0.48 a$ is the radius of the holes. $R_{d}=0.30 a$ is the radius of the point defect hole. a is lattice parameter. $\mathbf{r}_{i j}$ is the displacement of the holes.

$$
\begin{align*}
\epsilon(\mathbf{G}) & =\frac{1}{V_{S C}} \int_{S C} \epsilon(\mathbf{r}) e^{-i(\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{r})} d \mathbf{r} \\
& =\epsilon_{\text {diel }} \delta_{\mathbf{G} 0}+\left(\epsilon_{\text {air }}-\epsilon_{\text {diel }}\right) \sum_{i, j} \cos \left(\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{i j}\right)\left(\frac{\pi R^{2}}{V_{S C}}\right) \frac{2 J_{1}(G R)}{G R} \tag{2.92}
\end{align*}
$$

where $J_{1}(x)$ is Bessel function.
By using generalized eigenvalue equation for TE modes (see Equation 2.79), the band structure of the PhC for $R=0.48 a$ and $\epsilon_{\text {diel }}=13$ with supercell method is in Figure 2.9a. Supecell size $A=9 a$. We use 8281 plane waves. There is a photonic band gap between $0.43<w<0.52$. $w$ and $k$ in the band structure are dimensionless with these transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{w a}{2 \pi c} \rightarrow w \quad ; \quad \frac{k A}{2 \pi} \rightarrow k \tag{2.93}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Figure 2.9b, same structure is calculated with PWE method by using generalized eigenvalue equation for TE modes (see Equation 2.79). We use 361 plane waves.

And we achieve same photonic band gap, which is between $0.43<w<0.52$. When we compare two method, we can think that PWE method is more easy than supercell method, since we use 361 plane waves instead of 8281 plane waves. Then why do we use supercell method?

There is a 2D hexagonal PhC with point defect in Figure 2.10. $\epsilon(\mathbf{G})$ can be easily seen from Equation 2.92 for the PhC with point defect

$$
\begin{align*}
& \epsilon(\mathbf{G})=\epsilon_{\text {diel }} \delta_{\mathbf{G} 0}+\left(\epsilon_{\text {air }}-\epsilon_{\text {diel }}\right)\left(\frac{\pi R_{d}^{2}}{V_{S C}}\right) \frac{2 J_{1}\left(G R_{d}\right)}{G R_{d}} \\
&+\left(\epsilon_{\text {air }}-\epsilon_{\text {diel }}\right) \sum_{i, j \neq 0} \cos \left(\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{i j}\right)\left(\frac{\pi R^{2}}{V_{S C}}\right) \frac{2 J_{1}(G R)}{G R} \tag{2.94}
\end{align*}
$$

By using Equation 2.79 the band structure of the PhC with point defect for $R=$ $0.48 a, R_{d}=0.30 a$ and $\epsilon_{\text {diel }}=13$ with supercell method is in Figure 2.11a. The band structure is for TE modes. Supecell size $A=9 a$. And we use 8281 plane waves. There is a defect frequency near $w=0.46$. Now let's calculate $E_{x}$ from Equation 2.79 for a band defect frequency $w=0.4643$. Equation 2.51 can be written for electric field

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, w) & =\sum_{\mathbf{G}} \int_{B Z} \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}, w) e^{i(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}) \cdot \mathbf{r}} d \mathbf{k}  \tag{2.95}\\
& =\int_{B Z}\left[\sum_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}, w) e^{i \mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{r}}\right] e^{i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} d \mathbf{k}  \tag{2.96}\\
& =\int_{B Z} \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{r}, w) e^{i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} d \mathbf{k} \tag{2.97}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{r}, w)=\sum_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}, w) e^{i \mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \tag{2.98}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to Equation 2.98, $E_{x}$ component of electric field is in Figure 2.11b at defect frequency $w=0.4643$. FDTD result is for defect frequency $w=0.4643$ in


Figure 2.11. (a) The band structure of TE modes for the PhC with point defect. The band structure is calculated by supercell method. (b) $E_{x}$ component of electric field, which is computed by supercell method, at defect frequency $w=0.4643$. (c) FDTD result for defect frequency $w=0.4643$. The FDTD result is same with supercell method.

Figure 2.11c. The FDTD result is same with supercell method. It is understood that supercell method is used for computing band structures of certain disordered PhCs.

On the other hand strongly localized EM waves has been achieved in the disorder (the point defect for this example), which is one the most important properties of PhCs as we mentioned in Chapter 1.

## CHAPTER 3

## THE FREQUENCY SPLITTING DEVICE

As we mentioned briefly in Section 1.3, our frequency splitting device, or demultiplexer, is used for separating signals with different frequencies. How does it work? We will answer the question in this chapter.

We will build our demultiplexer using a 2D triangular PhC, shown in Figure 3.1. The band structure of the PhC is shown in Figure 3.2. The band structre is calculated by PWE method for TE modes. There is a band gap between $0.410<w<0.456$.

To make a frequency splitting device out of this PhC , we will use two different line defect PhC waveguides, each supporting propagation at a different frequency. The line defect is formed by changing the radii of a line of air holes. As mentioned in Section 2.3, we use the supercell method for calculating the band structure of the PhCs with line defects. The supercell is shown in Figure 3.3a, and the band structure of the PhC with supercell method for TE modes is in Figure 3.3b. For purposes of comparison, we first take $R_{d}=R=0.455 a$ to obtain the waveguide dispersion for the perfect superlattice, with $A=30 \sqrt{3} a$. There is a band gap between $0.410<w<0.456$, which is same with the band structure calculated by PWE method. Now let's calculate the band structure of the PhC waveguides with actual line defects, i.e. with $R_{d} \neq R$, to observe the differences between the two cases.

### 3.1. The Photonic Crystal Waveguide with the Line Defect-1

In Figure 3.4a, there is the PhC waveguide with line defect-1 where the radius of the holes on the line defect is $R_{d}=0.423 a$. The band structure for the PhC waveguide is in Figure 3.4b. The defect band (or guided mode) is in $0.433<w<0.456$. For $0.442<w<0.456$, there are single guided modes. For $0.433<w<0.442$, there are double guided modes whose grup velocities are different $\left(v_{g}=\frac{d w}{d k}\right)$.
$E_{z}$ component of electric field, which is calculated by supercell method, is for


Figure 3.1. A 2 D hexagonal PhC where black and white represent $\epsilon_{\text {diel }}=11.7$ and $\epsilon_{\text {air }}=1$ respectively. The hole radius is $R=0.455 a$. a is lattice parameter. Blue arrows represent $\Gamma K$ and $\Gamma M$ directions


Figure 3.2. The band structure of the perfect PhC with PWE method for TE modes. There is a band gap between $0.410<w<0.456$. w and k are dimensionless values.

(a)

(b)

Figure 3.3. (a) A 2D triangular PhC where white represents air $\left(\epsilon_{a i r}=1\right)$ and black represents dielectric material $\left(\epsilon_{\text {diel }}=11.7\right)$. The blue rectangle is the chosen supercell of the PhC . The supercell size is $a \times A$, where $A$ is roughly $8 a$ in the graph, although in the actual calculations, we used a much larger value, $A=30 \sqrt{3} a$. For a perfect lattice with no line defects, $R_{d}=R=0.455 a$ is the radius of the holes, and $a$ is the lattice constant. (b) The band structure of the perfect PhC for TE modes with supercell method. There is a band gap between $0.410<w<0.456$, which is same at the band structure calculated by PWE method.


Figure 3.4. (a) The PhC waveguide with line defect-1 where white represents air $\left(\epsilon_{a i r}=1\right)$ and black represents dielectric material $\left(\epsilon_{\text {diel }}=11.7\right)$. The blue rectangle is the chosen supercell of the PhC. $R=0.455 a$ is the radius of the holes. $R_{d}=0.423 a$ is the radius of the holes on the line defect. a is lattice parameter. (b) The band structure of the PhC waveguide with line defect- 1 for TE modes with supercell method. The defect band is in $0.433<w<0.456$. For $0.442<w<0.456$, there is a single guided mode. For $0.433<w<0.442$, there are double guided modes whose grup velocities are different.


Figure 3.5. (a) and (b) $E_{z}$ component of electric field, which is calculated by supercell method, is for a guided mode frequency $w=0.450$ for the PhC waveguide with line defect-1. (c) FDTD simulation for a guided mode frequency $w=0.450$. Red and blue represent the positive and negative values $E_{z}$ respectively, and white regions are where the field is zero. The FDTD simulation is very similar to the calculated $E_{z} . \lambda \approx 9 a$ is found from the FDTD simulation.


Figure 3.6. FDTD simulation at (a) $w=0.454$, (b) $w=0.447$,(c) $w=0.437$ for the PhC waveguide with line defect-1. At $w=0.454$ and $w=0.447$ frequencies, there is a single mode guidance consistent with the band structure. For $w=0.454$ frequency, $\lambda \approx 13 a$ is found. For $w=0.447$ frequency, $\lambda \approx 7.5 a$ is found. There is a double mode guidance for $w=0.437$ frequency. So superposition takes place and $\lambda$ cannot be found.


Figure 3.7. (a) The PhC waveguide with line defect-2 where white represents air $\left(\epsilon_{a i r}=1\right)$ and black represents dielectric material $\left(\epsilon_{\text {diel }}=11.7\right)$. The blue rectangle is the chosen supercell of the PhC. $R=0.455 a$ is the radius of the holes. $R_{d}=0.500 a$ is the radius of the holes on the line defect. a is lattice parameter. (b) The band structure of the PhC waveguide with line defect- 2 for TE modes with supercell method. The defect band is in $0.410<w<0.433$. There is a single guided mode.

(a) Odd function

(b) Even function
$\lambda$

(c)

Figure 3.8. (a) and (b) $E_{z}$ component of electric field, calculated by supercell method, is for a guided mode frequency $w=0.423$ for the PhC waveguide with line defect-2. (c) FDTD simulation for a guided mode frequency $w=0.423$. The FDTD simulation is very similar to the calculated $E_{z} . \lambda \approx 8 a$ is found from the FDTD simulation.


Figure 3.9. FDTD simulation at (a) $w=0.428$, (b) $w=0.418$,(c) $w=0.413$ for the PhC waveguide with line defect-2. At all frequencies, there is a single mode guidance consistent with the band structure. For $w=0.428, w=0.418$ and $w=0.413$ frequencies, $\lambda \approx 12 a, \lambda \approx 6 a$ and $\lambda \approx 5 a$ are found respectively.
a guided mode frequency $w=0.450$ in Figure $3.5 \mathrm{a}-3.5 \mathrm{~b}$ for the PhC waveguide. In Figure 3.5 c, there is a FDTD simulation result for the guided mode frequency $w=0.450$. The simulation picture is very similar to the calculated one in Figure 3.5a-3.5b. EM wave is guided in the line defect. $\lambda \approx 9 a$ is found from the FDTD simulation. According to Figure $3.4 \mathrm{~b}, \lambda \lesssim 10 a$ for $w=0.450$ frequency ${ }^{1}$. $\lambda$ can be calculated with two different way.

FDTD simulations are for $w=0.454$ and $w=0.447$ in Figure 3.6a-3.6b. At $w=0.454$ and $w=0.447$ frequencies, there is a single mode guidance. For $w=0.454$ frequency, $\lambda \approx 13 a$ is found. For $w=0.447$ frequency, $\lambda \approx 7.5 a$ is found. The values of $\lambda$ are appropriate with the band structure.

FDTD simulation is for $w=0.437$ in Figure 3.6c. There is a double mode guidance for $w=0.437$ frequency. So superposition takes place in Figure 3.6c. And $\lambda$ cannot be found. Double mode guidance is a problem for long length PhC waveguide, because of different group velocities. However as we will see later, our PhC demultiplexer is made of short length PhC waveguides. So the problem is not important very much for us.

### 3.2. The Photonic Crystal Waveguide with the Line Defect 2

In Figure 3.7a, there is the PhC waveguide with line defect-2 where the radius of the holes on the line defect is $R_{d}=0.500 a$. The band structure for the PhC waveguide is in Figure 3.7b. There is single guided modes between $0.410<w<0.433$.
$E_{z}$ component of electric field, which is calculated by supercell method, is for a guided mode frequency $w=0.423$ in Figure $3.8 \mathrm{a}-3.8 \mathrm{~b}$ for the PhC waveguide. In Figure 3.8c, there is a FDTD simulation result for the guided mode frequency $w=0.423$. The simulation picture is very similar to the calculated one. EM wave is guided in the line defect. $\lambda \approx 8 a$ is found from the FDTD simulation. According to Figure 3.7b, $\lambda \gtrsim 5 a$ for $w=0.423$ frequency ${ }^{2}$. $\lambda$ can be calculated with two different way.

FDTD simulations are for $w=0.428, w=0.418$ and $w=0.413$ in Figure 3.9. At all frequencies, there is a single mode guidance. For $w=0.428, w=0.418$ and
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Figure 3.10. The frequency splitting device. The waveguide-1, the radius of the holes on the line defect is $R_{d}=0.423 a$, is at the upper half part of the device. The waveguide-2, the radius of the holes on the line defect is $R_{d}=0.500 a$, is at the lower half part of the device.
$w=0.413$ frequencies, $\lambda \approx 12 a, \lambda \approx 6 a$ and $\lambda \approx 5 a$ are found respectively. The values of $\lambda$ are appropriate with the band structure.

### 3.3. The PhC Demultiplexer

Our frequency splitting device (or PhC demultiplexer) is in Figure 3.10. The waveguide-1, the radius of the holes on the line defect is $R_{d}=0.423 a$, is at the upper half part of the device. The waveguide-2, the radius of the holes on the line defect is $R_{d}=0.500 a$, is at the lower half part of the device. The testing results for guided mode frequencies ( $w=0.445$ and $w=0.415$ ) are in Figure 3.11a and Figure 3.11b respectively. EM waves are guided in the PhC waveguides. The output signal is good at the end of the


Figure 3.11. (a) The testing result for a guided mode frequency $w=0.445$. EM waves are guided in the PhC waveguide-1. The output signal is good at the end of the PhC waveguide-1. (b) The testing result for a guided mode frequency $w=0.415$. EM waves are guided in the PhC waveguide-2. However there is no propagation of EM waves through $\Lambda$ direction at the end of the PhC waveguide-2


Figure 3.12. The end of the PhC waveguide-2. It can be thought two point source with a phase difference of $\pi$. There is no propagation through $\Lambda$ direction because of destructive interference.

PhC waveguide-1. However there is no propagation of EM waves through $\Lambda$ direction at the end of the PhC waveguide- 2 .

When we look at the FDTD result for $w=0.415$ in Figure 3.12, we ask a question. Why there isn't a propagation through $\Lambda$ direction? The end of the PhC waveguide- 2 can be thought two point source with a phase difference of $\pi$. So two EM waves involve in destructive interference through $\Lambda$ direction.

To solve the problem, let's destroy one of the two sources (see Figure 3.13). FDTD result is in Figure 3.14 for the modified end of the PhC waveguide-2 for $w=0.415$ frequency. The output signal can go through $\Lambda$ direction anymore. FDTD results are for other guided mode frequencies in the Figure 3.15-3.16-3.17-3.18.

### 3.4. Flux Measurement Results

As we know that Poynting's vector is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{S}=\frac{1}{\mu_{0}}(\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 3.13. The modified end of the waveguide-2.


Figure 3.14. FDTD result for the modified end of the PhC waveguide-2 for $w=0.415$ frequency. There is a propagation through $\Lambda$ direction anymore.

(a)

(b)

Figure 3.15. FDTD simulation for (a) $w=0.455$, (b) $w=0.450$. EM waves are splitted very well with the PhC waveguide- 1 .


Figure 3.16. FDTD simulation for (a) $w=0.440$ and (b) $w=0.435$. EM waves are splitted very well with the PhC waveguide- 1 .


Figure 3.17. FDTD simulation for (a) $w=0.430$, (b) $w=0.425$. EM waves are splitted very well with the PhC waveguide- 2 .


Figure 3.18. FDTD simulation for (a) $w=0.420$ and (b) $w=0.410$. EM waves are splitted very well with the PhC waveguide-2.


Figure 3.19. (a) The flux regions for flux measurements. The flux region- 1 is for incident EM waves. The flux region-2 and the flux region-3 are for transmitted EM waves. (b) A flux measurement for a Gaussian source $w=0.433$ with a Gaussian width $\Delta w=0.040$. The PhC demultiplexer splits the Gaussian signal in frequency axis into two Gaussian signals, which are roughly $w=$ 0.442 with $\Delta w=0.025$ for the flux region- 2 and $w=0.422$ with $\Delta w=$ 0.025 for the flux region-3.


Figure 3.20. (a) A flux measurement for the PhC waveguide-1, where the Gaussian source is $w=0.447$ with a Gaussian width $\Delta w=0.015$. (b) By using mean value theorem, we calculate a transmission of $\% 26$ for the PhC waveguide-1.


Figure 3.21. (a) A flux measurement for the PhC waveguide-2, where the Gaussian source is $w=0.419$ with a Gaussian width $\Delta w=\Delta w=0.015$. (b) With mean value theorem, we calculate a transmission of $\% 42$ for the PhC waveguide-2.


Figure 3.22. FDTD simulation for (a) $w=0.453$, (b) $w=0.448$. TM modes are reflected by the splitting device.


Figure 3.23. FDTD simulation for (a) $w=0.443$ and (b) $w=0.438$. TM modes are reflected by the splitting device.


Figure 3.24. FDTD simulation for (a) $w=0.428$, (b) $w=0.423$. TM modes are reflected by the splitting device.

(a)

(b)

Figure 3.25. FDTD simulation for (a) $w=0.417$ and (b) $w=0.412$. TM modes are reflected by the splitting device.


Figure 3.26. The band structure of TM modes for the used PhC. There is a band gap between $0.31<w<0.49$.

The flux, which we mean in the title, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi=\int_{\text {flux region }} \mathbf{S} \cdot d \mathbf{a} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are 3 flux regions for flux measurements in Figure 3.19a. The flux region-1 is for incident EM waves. The flux region-2 and region-3 are for transmitted EM waves. A flux measurement for a Gaussian source $w=0.433$ with a Gaussian width $\Delta w=0.040$ is in Figure 3.19b. The PhC demultiplexer splits the Gaussian signal in frequency axis into two Gaussian signals, which are roughly $w=0.442$ with $\Delta w=0.025$ for the flux region-2 and $w=0.422$ with $\Delta w=0.025$ for the flux region-3.

A flux measurement for the PhC waveguide-1 in the PhC demultiplexer in Figure 3.20a, where we use a Gaussian source $w=0.447$ with a Gaussian width $\Delta w=$ 0.015. By using mean value theorem, we calculate a transmission of $\% 26$ (see Figure 3.20b).

A flux measurement for the PhC waveguide-2 in the PhC demultiplexer in Figure 3.21 a , where we use a Gaussian source $w=0.419$ with a Gaussian width $\Delta w=$ 0.015. With mean value theorem, we calculate a transmission of $\% 42$ in Figure 3.21b. The transmission of the PhC waveguide- 1 is higher than the transmission of the PhC waveguide-2.

### 3.5. TM modes

Our calculations are for only TE modes. Then how does our PhC demultiplexer behave for TM modes? FDTD results for TM modes in Figure 3.22-3.24. Our frequency splitting device behaves like a reflector for TM modes at all frequencies between $0.412 \leq$ $w \leq 0.453$.

The band structure of TM modes for the used PhC (see Figure 3.1) is in Figure 3.26. The photonic band gap $(0.31<w<0.49)$ for TM modes covers the photonic band gap ( $0.410<w<0.456$ ) for TE modes.

## CHAPTER 4

## CONCLUSION

According to the results we say that our frequency splitting device can separate different frequencies for TE modes. However the device behaves like a reflector for TM modes. We have used the dimensionless frequency range $0.410<w<0.456$. In addition our calculations are for visible light range of EM spectrum since we have taken $\epsilon_{\text {diel }}=$ 11.7 for visible spectrum. So we can take that the middle frequency $w=0.433$ of the used range is orange light 496 THz , where the orange range is $484 \mathrm{THz}<w^{\prime}<508 \mathrm{THz}$. Then the used range becomes $469 \mathrm{THz}<w^{\prime}<522 \mathrm{THz}$ in EM wave spectrum. The red and yellow ranges are $400 \mathrm{THz}<w^{\prime}<484 \mathrm{THz}$ and $508 \mathrm{THz}<w^{\prime}<526 \mathrm{THz}$. Therefore the used range contains red, orange and yellow light. If a source, which contains red, orange and yellow light, comes the frequency splitting device, what will happen? According to our estimation in the thesis, red and yellow light will be splitted apart (see Figure 4.1a).

What is the size of the PhC demultiplexer? When $w=0.433$ and $w^{\prime}=496 \mathrm{THz}$ are used in

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{w^{\prime} a}{2 \pi c}=w \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

we find $a=1.646 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. So our device size equals to $28 a=46 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Its input size is $2 a=3.3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Where is the PhC demultiplexer used? As we said in Section 1.3, (de)multiplexers are used for splitting the signals apart to deals with a multiplication in capacity for communications. With our device, the capacity in optical fiber technology can be made double or more. A core diameter, which carries signals, is $8 \mu m$ for a typical fiber. So the input size of our device is appropriate with the core size (see Figure 4.1b).


Figure 4.1. (a) A source, which contains red, orange and yellow light, comes the frequency splitting device. Red and yellow light are splitted apart. (b) A symbolic illustration for an optical communication application of our PhC demultiplexer. The PhC demultiplexer makes the capacity of the fiber optic double.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ http://ab-initio.mit.edu/wiki/index.php/Meep

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ We use FORTRAN to calculate the band structure with LAPACK (Anderson, et al. 1999) routine dsygv. LAPACK (Linear Algebra PACKage) is a software library for numerical linear algebra which can be obtained free of charge from http://www.netlib.org/lapack.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Because of $\frac{k a}{2 \pi}=\frac{a}{\lambda} \gtrsim 0.1$ for $w=0.450$ frequency in Figure 3.4b, we find $\lambda \lesssim 10 a$.
    ${ }^{2}$ Because of $\frac{k a}{2 \pi}=\frac{a}{\lambda} \lesssim 0.2$ for $w=0.423$ frequency in Figure 3.7b, we find $\lambda \gtrsim 5 a$.

