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#### Abstract

SYNTESIS OF ALLYL ALCOHOLS BY PALLADIUM-CATALYZED 1,3-SUBSTITUTION REACTIONS OF ALKENYL EPOXIDES WITH ORGANOBORONS


1,3-Substitution reactions of allylic compounds having a good leaving group is a prominent method in Organic Chemistry for the synthesis of new allylic reagents with an exchanged functional group. These reactions usually require the use of metal catalysts and one of the most challenging aspects for these applications is the regio- and stereoselectivity of the process for a wide range of substrate types. Other compounds such as vinyl epoxides are also acceptable for substitution reactions.

An important advantage of using these reagents is that opening of the oxirane ring during the substitution process lead to the generation of a hydroxyl group and as a result affords allyl alcohols which are important intermediaries in organic syntheses.

An example of regio-selective metal-catalyzed reactions of vinyl epoxides having a terminal alkenyl group with environmentally benign organoborons was reported in the literature. However, no such success could be achieved with vinyl epoxides with an internal alkenyl group. Therefore, within the context of this method internal vinyl epoxides were successfully subjected to 1,3 -substitution reactions with organoborons which yielded arylated allyl alcohols in both a regio- and stereo-selective manner. The method is applicable under quite mild conditions where a palladium- $\mathrm{AsPh}_{3}$ combination is used to activate the process.

## ÖZET

## ALKENIL EPOKSİTLERİN ORGANOBORLAR İLE PALADYUM KATALİZLİ 1,3-SÜBSTİTÜSYON TEPKİMELERİ ARACILIĞI İLE ALLİL ALKOLLERİN SENTEZİ

Kolay bir terkeden gruba sahip allilik bileşiklerin 1,3-sübstitüsyon tepkimeleri farklı bir fonksiyonel gruba sahip yeni allilik bileşiklerin sentezi organik kimyada önemli bir tepkime tipidir. Bu tip tepkimeler çoğunlukla bir metal katalizörü ile gerçekleştirilmektedir. Bu amaçla uygulanan yöntemlerin uygulamasında en önemli zorluk tepkimlerin yeterli mertebede regio ve stereo seçimli olarak geniş bir sübstrat yelpazesini içerecek şekilde gerçekleştirmektir.

Sübstitüsyon tepkimelerine uygun bir allilik bileşikte vinil epoksitlerdir. Bu yapıların kullanımında önemli bir avantaj epoksit oksijenin tepkime sonunda yalnızca bir bağının kopması sonucu hidroksil grubuna dönüşerek organik sentezlerde önemli bir ara yapı olan allil alkollerin oluşmasıdır.
Literatürde terminal alkenil gruba sahip vinil epoksitlerin çevre dostu organoborlar ile metal katalizli tepkimelerinin regio- ve stereo seçimli olarak gerçekleştiği rapor edilmiştir. Ancak aynı başarı iç alkenil gruba sahip yapılar için sağlanamamıştır. Bu nedenle bu tez çalışması kapsamında iç alkenil gruplu vinil epoksitlerin organoboronlar ile regio ve stereo seçimli olarak 1,3 -sübstitüsyon tarzında arillenmesi başarılmıştır. Yöntem bir paladyum katalizörü ve $\mathrm{AsPh}_{3}$ ligandının kullanıldığı ılıman koşullar gerektirmektedir.
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## CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

The studies about transition-metal-catalyzed reactions with various organometallic reagents have been an increasingly attractive method in recent years. The alkenyl or aryl addition to unsaturated compounds which have at least one leaving group is a well-known procedure. These compounds give generally $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ type reaction with a nucleophile in the absence of transition metals. However, the transition metals can change this orientation and the reactions may occur in the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}}{ }^{\prime}$ ' manner.

The hard nucleophiles are used generally with copper- or nickel-catalyzed reactions over unsaturated compounds in order to shift the selectivity toward $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ ' type reaction. However, the usage of these nucleophiles such as grignard reagents, organolithium, and organozinc compounds have some restrictions, since they are highly air and moisture sensitive.

On the other hand, the organoboron compounds are highly stable to moisture and easy-to-handle without special precautions. These advantages have increased the popularity of organoborons day by day. In 1979, the palladium catalyzed cross-coupling reaction with derivatives of organoboronic acids known as the Suzuki reaction was published by Suzuki and Miyaura (Miyaura, Yamada, and Suzuki 1979). In a short interval, a variety of organoboron compounds began to be used on different types of coupling and substitution reactions over transition metals. Generally, the 1,3- selective substitution of propargylic compounds is performed to produce allenyl compounds (Moriya, Miyaura and A. 1994). And also in 2011, the palladium catalyzed $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime \prime}$ selective reaction with boronic acids was first performed on 2-en-4-yne carbonate structures (Üçüncü et al. 2011).

Inspired of these information, in contrast to large number of reports about allylic alkylations, there are only a few allylic arylation reactions over the alkenyl compounds in the literature. In these works, the hard reaction conditions were required because the air sensitive compounds like grignard reagents and organozinc compounds were used as the nucleophile. Therefore, we performed palladium(0)- catalyzed 1,3-selective allylic
arylation reaction on vinyl oxiranes to provide arylated allyl alcohols under mild conditions.

## CHAPTER 2

## LITERATURE WORKS

### 2.1.Transition- Metal-Catalyzed Allylic Arylation Reaction of Allylic Compounds

The alkenyl compounds play an important role in organic synthesis. 1,3 Substitution reaction is a useful method for the formation of allylic reagents with a variety of functional groups. In literature, there are vast number of studies on highly efficient allylic alkylation reactions on vinyl compounds. But there are a few works about the allylic arylation reaction on alkenyl compounds and the regio selectivity of these reactions were not effectiveness.

In 1994, Bäckvall reported highly regio-selective Cu -catalyzed $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ '-type arylation with Grignard reagents. In the absence of the catalyst the selectivity shifted in the favor of $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ pathway, the ratio of $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2: \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ being $91: 9$ in this case (Figure 2.1).(Bäckvall, Persson, and Bombrun 1994)


Figure 2.1. $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$-catalyzed arylation reaction of an alkenyl chloride with a Grignard Reagent ( Source: Bäckvall, Persson, and Bombrun 1994).

After this invention on cyclic alkenyl compounds, Kacprzynski reported the copper catalyzed arylation on silyl substituted alkenyl phosphorous esters with arylzinc reagents. To achieve the enantio- and regio-selective process, it was assisted from the use of N -heterocyclic carbine (NHC) ligands (Figure 2.2).(Kacprzynski et al. 2007)


Figure 2.2.Copper-catalyzed arylation reaction of silyl substituted alkenyl phosphono esters ( Source:Kacprzynski et al. 2007).

An assymmetric method on allylic arylation of non-cyclic allyl halide compounds were introduced by Selim (Selim, Yamada, and Tomioka 2008). This work shows that the copper-catalyzed stereo-selective arylation reaction can be applied the non-cyclic allyl compounds with moderate regioselectivities. Interestingly, however, all the improvements of the regio-selectivity always resulted in a reduced enantio-selectivity (Figure 2.3).


Figure 2.3.Copper catalyzed reaction of a non-cyclic allyl bromide with phenyl grignard reagent (Source:Selim, Yamada, and Tomioka 2008).

Selim also published another paper about stereo- and regio-selective reactions of phenyl substituted allyl compounds after 1 year. They achieved the asymmetric arylation with NHC based copper catalyst at high regio- and enantio-selectivities (Figure 2.4) (Selim et al. 2009).


Figure 2.4. NHC-Copper(I) catalyzed reaction of phenyl substituted alkenyl compound (Source: Selim et al. 2009).

Enantio-selective iridium-catalyzed allylic arylation reaction was applied on the aryl substituted allyl compounds having a carbonate group as a leaving group by Polet (Polet et al. 2009). Though this method afforded the molecules in high ee percentages, the regio-selectivities were exceedingly poor (Figure 2.5).


Figure 2.5.Iridium-catalyzed allylic arylation
(Source: Polet et al. 2009).


Figure 2.6.Metal-Mediated Allylic Substitution
(Source: Ohmiya, Yokokawa, and Sawamura 2010)
Before the Ohmiya paper, there is three type of metal-mediated allylic substitutions mechanisms (Figure 2.6). First one is the allylic substitution involving a ( $\pi$ allyl) metal intermediate. However, in this mechanism, the regioselectivity is highly dependent on the electronic and/or steric substituent effects of compounds (Trost and Crawley 2003). In second example mechanism, the formal $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$ attack of the organometallic nucleophile which are generally monoalkyl heterocuprate reagents produces a ( $\sigma$-allyl) metal species via oxitative addition the result of the reductive elimination, the C-C bond at the $\gamma$-position was formed. But in some examples of these type mechanism, the significant $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ product was formed (Yoshikai, Zhang, and Nakamura 2008). In the last example, ( $\sigma$-allyl) metal complexes was formed after strongly nucleophilic translation metal complex attacked the allylic substrates. The
complex underwent a second allylic displacement with a carbon nucleophile. After reductive elimination of metal complexes, the $\alpha$ or $\gamma$ selective substitution products were occurred.

Hirohisa et al. reported $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$-catalyzed arylation of allylic acetates with arylboronic acids in 2010 (Ohmiya et al. 2010). Their method was highly $\gamma$-selective (Figure 2.7), (Figure 2.8). They proposed a plausible mechanism to account for this high selectivity as is outlined in Figure 2.8., The reaction starts with the formation of cationic mono(acetoxo)-palladium(II) complex $\mathbf{A}$ which is the product of the 1,10-phenthrolineligated $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ and $\mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}$. The complex A involves with transmetallation with arylboronic acid to afford the complex B. In next step, B interact with the allylic acetate which is shown with C . Then, this interaction undergoes regio-selective $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ double bond insertion into the aryl-Pd bond (carbopalladation) in order to form a metallacyclic alkylpalladium (II) complex D. Finally, $\beta$-acetoxy elimination occur and the phenyl substituted product is formed. They also noted that the attempts to use a pinacolato ester instead of boronic acid resulted in no reaction.


Figure 2.7.Palladium-catalyzed reaction of allylic acetates with arylboronic acids (Source: Ohmiya et al. 2010)


Figure 2.8.Scenarios for new type of $\gamma$-selective allylic substitution \& proposed mechanism for the reaction (Source: Ohmiya et al. 2010)

In 2010, Whittaker report the copper-catalyzed 1,3-selective substitution of allylic chlorides with arylboronic esters (Whittaker, Rucker, and Lalic 2010). The use of aryl boronic acid pinacol ester in the copper(I)-catalyzed reaction provided higher regioselectivity as compared to those used hard nucleophiles, such as phenylmagnesium halides and arylzinc compounds. This reaction was applied with NHC-ligand and the regio-selectivity was well up to $99 \%$ for 18 examples (Figure 2.9).


Figure 2.9.Copper catalyzed 1,3-selective substitution of allylic chlorides with aryl boronic esters (Source: Whittaker, Rucker, and Lalic 2010).

Allyl phosphono esters could be arylated with arylboronic esters over $\mathrm{CuCl} /$ asymmetric NHC ligand with excellent stereo- and region-selectivities (Figure 2.10) (Takeda et al. 2014).


Figure 2.10.Copper-catalyzed asymmetric allylic substitution of allyl phosphates with aryl boronates (Source: Takeda et al. 2014).

### 2.2.Metal-Catalyzed Reactions of Vinyl Oxiranes with Grignard Reagents

In 2005, Ueki reported the reaction of gem-difluorianted vinyl oxiranes with mono alkylcopper reagents (Ueki et al. 2005). In this report, they tried catalytic reaction on the fluorinated vinyl oxiranes but only $43 \%$ of reactant was consumed and the regio selectivity of reaction was poor. On the other hand, the non-catalytic trial on this substrate provided good regio selectivity for the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$-product and yield (Figure 2.11). When they used the non-fluorinated compound, the regio selectivity of reaction changed to $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathbf{2}^{\prime}$ product.


Figure 2.11.The Reaction of alkenyl oxiranes with grignard reagents (Source: Ueki et al. 2005)

In 2007, the copper catalyzed kinetic resolution of 1,3-cyclohexadiene monoepoxide with grignard reagents leading to good yields, excellent regio selectivity and good enantiomeric excesses was published by Millet and Alexakis (Millet and Alexakis 2007). But this chiral ferrocenyl-based diphosphine ligand performance provided only $61 \%$ ee and moderate regio-selectivity for $i-\mathrm{PrMgCl}$ as used for derivative of secondary grignard reagents (Figure 2.12).

After one year, Millet and Alexakis was published another method for copper catalyzed the kinetic resolution of 1,3-cyclohexadiene monoepoxide with grignard reagents(Millet and Alexakis 2008). This method show that SimplePhos ligand is able to perform the kinetic resolution of racemic cyclic vinyloxirane with good regio- and stereoselectivities and from low to good yields. And also this reaction condition increased the
stereo-selectivity of secondary grignard reagents like $i-\mathrm{PrMgCl}$ on the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$, product (Figure 2.13).


Figure 2.12.Copper catalyzed kinetic resolution of 1,3-Cyclohexadiene monoepoxide with grignard reagents (Source: Millet and Alexakis 2007)


Figure 2.13.SimplePhos as efficient ligand for the copper-catalyzed kinetic resolution of cyclic vinyloxiranes with grignard reagents (Source: Millet and Alexakis 2008)

In 2012, Dieter published the copper catalyzed reaction of silyllated-vinyloxirane (Dieter, Huang, and Guo 2012). They showed that the cupper catalyzed reactions with alkyl grignard reagents were $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ ' product which were $E$ isomers. These reactions also are highly stereo-selective (Figure 2.14).


Figure 2.14.Copper-mediated reactions of trans-1-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-2,3-epoxy-4-hexene (Source: Dieter, Huang, and Guo 2012)

In 2010, the iron-catalyzed regio selective reaction of $\gamma, \delta$-epoxy- $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated esters with grignard reagents was published by Hata (Hata et al. 2010). Result of the reaction, only one regio isomer was occurred at good yield with alkyl grignard reagent and at moderate yield with phenyl grignard and methoxy substituted phenyl grignard reagent (Figure 2.15).


Figure 2.15The iron-catalyzed reaction of vinyl oxirane
(Source: Hata et al. 2010)

### 2.3.Metal-Catalyzed Reactions of Vinyl Oxiranes with Organoborons

The regio-and stereo-selective substitution reactions of vinyl oxiranes can be a good strategy for the synthesis allyl alcohols.

In 2009, Tortosa reported that 1,4-diol compounds can be obtained via the coppercatalyzed successive borylation and oxidation reactions of vinyl oxiranes (Figure 2.16) (Tortosa 2011).


Figure 2.16.A Cu-catalyzed borylation and oxidation sequence leading to 1,4 -diol compounds (Source: Tortosa 2011).

A Nickel-catalyzed borylative ring opening reaction of vinyl epoxides with was published by Crotti ref. Accordingly, a regio-selective borylative ring opening takes place catalytically (Figure 2.17) and this follows by addition onto an aldehyde to yield a diol compound.


Figure 2.17. Nickel-catalyzed borylative ring opening reaction of vinyl epoxides (Source: Crotti et al. 2009)

The pd-catalyzed reaction of vinyl oxiranes with alkenylborons is reported in 1982 by Miyaura. In their studies 3,4-epoxy-1-butane was the only vinyl epoxide
compound that was tested and no sufficient regio-selectivity could be provided with this substrate (Figure 2.18).


Figure 2.18.Pd-catalyzed reaction of ( $E$ )-hexenylbis(1,2-dimethylpropyl) borane with 3,4-epoxy-1-butene (Miyaura, Tanebe and Suginome 1982).

A Pd-pincer complex-catalyzed method on alkenylation and arylation of terminal vinyl epoxides with organoborons was developed by Kjellgren. The linear allyl alcohols were produced with high regio-selectivity (Figure 2.19). But, when this method was applied on a cyclic structure epoxide, the regio-selectivity was decreased to 1,3-/1,2-ratio of $2: 1$ (Kjellgren et al. 2005).


Figure 2.19.Pincer complex catalyzed cross-coupling reaction of vinyl epoxides with boronic acids (Source: Kjellgren et al. 2005).

## CHAPTER 3

## EXPERIMENTAL STUDY

### 3.4. General

Tetrahydrofuran (THF), diethyl ether ( $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) and 1,4 -dioxane solvents were distilled from benzophenone-ketyl under argon prior to use. Dichloromethane (DCM) and toluene were dried using molecular sieve 4A. Dimethylformamide (DMF) was purified by reflux over calcium hydride ( $5 \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$ ) under inert gas overnight and stored on molecular sieve 4A. Dimethoxyethane (DME) was dried by storing over the metallic sodium wire. Acetonitrile stirred with $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}(5 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ for 24 h and then it was refluxed under inert gas overnight, then it was distillated.

The palladium complexes of $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3} \cdot \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ (Ukai, et al. 1974), $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}$ (Zalesskiy and Ananikov 2012), and $\left[\mathrm{PdCl}\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\right]_{2}$ (Dent, Long and Wilkinson 1964) were synthesized in the laboratory.

### 3.5. Synthesis of Substrates

### 3.5.1. Synthesis of Vinyl Oxiranes

The syntheses of all compounds were performed under argon gas and the column chromatography purification of the synthesized compounds was applied on the silica gel $60(35-70 \mu \mathrm{~m})$.

Triethyl phosphonoacetate $(4.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 24 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added drop by drop to the solution of $\mathrm{NaH}(525 \mathrm{mg}, 22 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 50 mL THF and the mixture was stirred for 1 hour at room temperature. After that, the reaction mixture was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and transaldehyde ( $\sim 20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added slowly and stirred for 1 hour. The reaction was terminated by the addition of saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The organic layer
was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to obtain $\mathbf{S 2}$. The residue was used in following step without any further purification.


Figure 3.1. Synthesis of Alkenyl Oxiranes

At $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the DIBAL-H ( $\sim 30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in DCM ) solution was added dropwise to the solution of $\mathbf{S} \mathbf{2}$ in $\mathrm{DCM}(80 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 3 hours and then the reaction was neutralized with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq})$ solution and extracted with DCM. The combined extracts were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by column chromatography on silica gel 60 (hexane/ ethyl acetate as the eluent) gave the diene compounds $\mathbf{S 3}$ (yield: R: $\operatorname{Pr}, 1.64 \mathrm{~g}, 65 \% ; \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{Ph}, 1.92$ g, $60 \%, \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{Me}, 1.08 \mathrm{~g}, 55 \%$ ).

To a mixture of $\mathbf{S 3}(\sim 3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{DCM}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and 16 mL solution of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $25 \%$ ) was added $5.1 \mathrm{mmol}\left(880 \mathrm{mg}\right.$ ) of $m$-CPBA dropwise at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under Argon. The mixture was controlled with TLC until the reactant was consumed completely. At the end of the process, the mixture was extracted with DCM , dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude mixture was chromatographed on $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$-treated silica gel column (hexane/ ethyl acetate as the eluent)
which afforded the vinyl oxiranes (yield: 1a, 234,2 mg, 55\%; 1e, 306,2 mg, 58\%, 1f, 110 mg 30\%).

1 mL of 2 M solution of vinyl epoxide alcohol ( $\mathbf{1 a}$ or $\mathbf{1 b}$ ) in DMF was added on the suspension of $\mathrm{NaH}(2.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{DMF}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred until all NaH solids were dissolved before the addition of methyl iodide ( 2.4 mmol ) or benzyl bromide ( 2.4 mmol ). The mixture was stirred for 4 h at the same temperature, and then the reaction was terminated by the addition of $\mathrm{MeOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with DCM. The combined extracts were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude mixture was subjected to column chromatography over $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$-treated silica gel column (eluent: hexane-EtOAc) to produce compound 1b or $\mathbf{1 c}$ or $\mathbf{1 f}$ (yield, $\mathbf{1 b}: 278 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%, \mathbf{1 e}: 200 \mathrm{mg}, 96 \%, \mathbf{1 c}: 334 \mathrm{mg}, 77 \%$ ).

A mixture of 1a ( $285 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.36 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $t-$ butyldimethylsilyl chloride ( $400 \mathrm{mg}, 2.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP) ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( 30 mL ) was stirred at room temperature for 1 h and then, the mixture was extracted with water and the water phase washed with DCM. The organic solution was dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and evaporated. The residue was chromatographed over $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$-treated silica gel column to afford silylated vinyl oxirane compound 1d (hexane-EtOAc as an eluent, yield, 1d: $500 \mathrm{mg}, 97 \%$ ).

At $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the 3.5 mL of 3 M MeMgBr in THF was added drop by drop to 5 mmol of $\mathbf{S} \mathbf{2}$ solution in 15 mL THF. After the addition, the reaction mixture was heated slowly to rt and then stirred for 30 min . The saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{aq})$ solution $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added in the mixture and then extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was used in following step without any further purification. To the mixture of dienol obtained ( $\sim 5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( 70 mL ) and 25 mL solution of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(25 \%)$ was added $7 \mathrm{mmol}(1.2 \mathrm{~g})$ of $m$-CPBA dropwise at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under Argon. The mixture was controlled with TLC until the reactant was consumed completely. At the end of the process, the mixture was extracted with DCM, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude mixture was chromatographed on $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$-treated silica gel column (hexane/ ethyl acetate as the eluent) which afforded the vinyl oxirane (yield: $1 \mathrm{~g}, 485 \mathrm{mg}, 57 \%$ ) (Kus and Artok 2015).


Figure 3.2 Epoxidation of ethyl ( $2 E, 4 E$ )-octa-2,4-dienoate to synthesis $\mathbf{1 h}$

To the DCM solution ( 15 mL ) of ethyl-( $2 E, 4 E$ )-octa-2,4-dienoate ( 840 mg , 5 mmol ) was added $m$-CPBA ( 1.7 g 6 mmol ) in one portion at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 2 h . The crude solution was extracted with a saturated solution of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})$ and DCM, successively, and dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The substrate was purified using silica gel column (hexane-EtOAc as an eluent, yield, 1h, 698 mg, 76\%) (Dieter, Huang, and Guo 2012).

To a solution of $\mathbf{1 j}(920 \mathrm{mg}, 5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DCM $(9.0 \mathrm{~mL})$, was added DIBAL-H ( 11.0 mL of a 1.0 M solution in $\mathrm{DCM}, 5.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dropwise at- $78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 30 min. Then, $\mathrm{MeOH}(4.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the mixture was warmed to ambient temperature. Then, an aqueous sodium potassium tartrate ( 1.0 M ) solution (Rochelle's salt, 15 mL ) was added and the mixture was stirred at rt for 1 h . The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated to provide allylic alcohol 1i. The substrate $\mathbf{1 i}$ was purified using silica gel column (hexane-EtOAc as the eluent, yield, 1i, 575 mg , 80\%) (Tortosa 2011). The above-mentioned methylation process was applied for synthesis $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{j}$ with the level of 90\% yield.


Figure 3.3 Synthesis of (E)-2,2-dimethyl-3-(pent-1-en-1-yl) oxirane $\mathbf{1 k}$

A hexane solution of $\mathrm{BuLi}(4.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 12 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5 \mathrm{M})$ was added dropwise to a solution of isopropyl(triphenyl)-phosphonium iodide ( $4.32 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 30 mL ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for further 1 h . The trans-2-hexenal $(1.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added slowly in the mixture at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred until $\alpha, \beta$ unsaturated aldehyde was consumed as judged by TLC. After that, the reaction was neutralized and extracted with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, successively. The combined extracts were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and separated from the solvent by reduced pressure at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After purification over the silica gel column the pure dialkyl substituted diene compound $\mathbf{S 4}$ was obtained (hexane-EtOAc as the eluent, yield, $920 \mathrm{mg}, 74 \%$ ).

To the suspension of $m$-CPBA ( 7.5 mmol ) in 30 mL DCM was added drop by drop in to 0.1 M the diene compound ( $\mathbf{S 4} 4$ solution in 50 mL DCM at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After the solution was stirred for 2 h , the extraction process was applied with water and DCM. After that the organic layer was dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated under reduced pressure at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Using silica gel column (hexane-EtOAc as the eluent), the pure vinyl oxirane $\mathbf{1 k}$ was obtained The regio-selectivity of the oxirane ( $(E)$-2,2-dimethyl-3-(pent-1-en-1-yl)oxirane/2-(2-methylprop-1-en-1-yl)-3-propyloxirane) was determined to be 9.6:1 (yield, 1k: $365 \mathrm{mg} 52 \%$ ) (Kuş et al., 2015).

1,3-Cyclohexadiene ( $1 \mathrm{~mL}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 50 mL DCM, and $16 \mathrm{~mL} 25 \% \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})$ was added into a flask in sequence. After this mixture was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~m}$-CPBA was added and the reaction was controlled with help of TLC. After reactant was consumed, the solution was extracted with DCM , dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and filtered over a short silica gel column. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the cyclic epoxide 11 was recovered in pure form (yield, $430 \mathrm{mg}, 45 \%$ ). (Ramesh, et al. 1992).


Figure 3.4 The synthesis of 3,4-epoxycyclohexene 11 .

To a solution of hex-1-yne ( $2.05 \mathrm{~g}, 25.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in hexanes ( 15 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added diisobutylaluminum hydride ( 1 M in $\mathrm{DCM}, 25 \mathrm{~mL}, 25.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The solution was warmed to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 16 h . Volatile components were then evaporated, and the
residue was dissolved in THF ( 50 mL ) and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{CuCl}(2.25 \mathrm{~g}, 27.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ was then added in portions over 10 min , and the suspension allowed to warm to room temperature and stir for 4 h . The black suspension was then poured onto a stirred mixture of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(5 \%, 100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$, the phases were separated, and the aqueous layer further extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The substrate ( $5 \mathrm{E}, 7 \mathrm{E}$ )-dodeca-5,7-diene was purified using silica gel column (hexane as the eluent, yield $1,6 \mathrm{~g}, 79 \%$ )(Farthing and Koc 1998). After that, the epoxidation process was applied and the substrate $\mathbf{1 m}$ was obtained.


Figure 3.5The synthesis of (E)-2-butyl-3-(hex-1-en-1-yl)oxirane

### 3.5.2.Characterization of Alkenyl Oxiranes

The synthesized reactants were analyzed by GC-MS and the NMR spectra were recorded on a 400 MHz spectrometer.


Figure 3.6. (E)-(3-(pent-1-en-1-yl) oxiran-2-yl) methanol
1a: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 5,96(\mathrm{td}, J=6,7,15,5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5,20(\mathrm{tdd}, J=$ $1,4,8,3,15,5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3,94(\mathrm{~d}, J=12,5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3,73-3,63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3,38(\mathrm{dd}, J=2,3$, $8,2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3,08(\mathrm{td}, J=2,3,3,9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2,06(\mathrm{q}, J=6,7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1,42(\mathrm{sex}, J=7,4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 0,91(\mathrm{t}, J=7,4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 137,7,126,4,61,3,59,9$, 55,9, 34,4, 22,0, 13,6. MS (EI, m/z): 111(22), 99(24), 83(78), 69(98), 55(100).


Figure 3.7. (E)-2-(methoxymethyl)-3-(pent-1-en-1-yl) oxirane

1b: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 5,94(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=6,7,15,5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5,18(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $8,2,15,7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3,66 (dd, J = 3,1, 11,3 Hz, 1H), 3,44-3,41 (m, 1H), 3,40 (s, 3H), 3,24 (dd, J = 2, 0, 8, 2 Hz, 1H), 3,05 (td, J = 2,7, 5,5 Hz, 1H), 2,05 (q, J = 7, 0 Hz, 1H), 1,41 (sxt, $\mathrm{J}=7,4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0,91(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7,4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl} 3$ ) $\delta: 137,5,126,7$, 72,4, 59,2, 58,4, 56,1, 34,4, 22,0, 13,6. MS (EI, m/z): 123(4), 113(34), 83(24), 81(38), 71(40), 58(80), 45(100).


Figure 3.8. (E)-2-(pent-1-en-1-yl)-3-(phenoxymethyl) oxirane

1c: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=7,40-7,26(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5,93(\mathrm{td}, J=6,9,15,5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5,17(\mathrm{dd}, J=8,4,15,5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4,60\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{A B}=11,7, \mathrm{~Hz} 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 4,56\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=11,7\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3,75\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=11,3 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{A X}=5,5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 3,51\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=11,3 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{B X}=3,1\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3,25(\mathrm{dd}, J=2,3,8,2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3,10(\mathrm{td}, J=2,6,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2,04(\mathrm{q}, J=6,7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H ), $1,41(\mathrm{sxt}, J=7,4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0,90(\mathrm{t}, J=7,2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $=137,8,137,6,128,4,127,8,127,8,126,7,73,3,70,0,58,7,56,2,34,4,22,0,13,7 . \mathrm{MS}$ (EI, m/z) 232 (1>, M ${ }^{+}$), 126 (11), 111(2), 107 (8), 91 (100), 83 (41), 68 (5), 65 (12), 55 (25), 41 (15).


Figure 3.9. (E)-tert-butyldimethyl((3-(pent-1-en-1-yl) oxiran-2-yl) methoxy) silane

1d: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=5,92(\mathrm{td}, J=6,7,15,5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5,19 (tdd, $J$ $=1,6,8,3,15,6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3,85\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=11,9 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{A X}=4,5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 3,70\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=11,9\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, J_{B X}=3,3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 2,99(\mathrm{ddd}, J=1,6,2,6,3,6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2,08-2,00(\mathrm{~m}, J=1,4,8,0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1,41(\mathrm{sxt}, J=7,4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0,89(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0,90(\mathrm{t}, J=7,4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0,07(\mathrm{~d}, J=3,1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=137,1,126,9,63,2,60,3,56,3,34,4,25,9,22,1$, 13,6, -5,3. MS (EI, m/z) 256 (1>, M+), 199 (24), 185 (2), 169 (8), 157 (9), 143 (23), 129 (13), 117 (14), 113 (22), 107 (16), 101 (33), 89 (18), 83 (22), 79 (15), 75 (99), 59 (38), 55 (100), 41 (33).
Ph

Figure 3.10. (E)-(3-styryloxiran-2-yl) methanol
1e: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=7,42-7,36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7,35-7,30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7,29-7,26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6,81(\mathrm{~d}, J=16,0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5,94(\mathrm{dd}, J=8,0,15,8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4,06-$ $3,97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3,81-3,70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3,60(\mathrm{dd}, J=2,2,8,0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3,21(\mathrm{td}, J=2,3,3,9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=135,9,135,0,128,7,128,2,126,5,125,7,61,1$, 60,4, 55,9. MS (EI, m/z) 176 (12, M+), 145 (22), 127 (16), 117 (100), 115 (60), 91 (31), 77 (10), 65 (12), 51 (12).


Figure 3.11. (E)-(3-(prop-1-en-1-yl) oxiran-2-yl) methanol
1f: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=5.96(\mathrm{qd}, J=7.0,14.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.22(\mathrm{qdd}, J$ $=1.6,8.2,15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.2,12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.7,12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), 3.37 (dd, $J=2.2,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.09-3.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.74(\mathrm{td}, J=1.6,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=132.5,127.6,61.3,59.9,55.8,17.9$.


Figure 3.12.(E)-2-(3-(pent-1-en-1-yl) oxiran-2-yl) propan-2-ol
$\mathbf{1 g}:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=5.90(\mathrm{td}, J=7.1,15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.33(\mathrm{ddd}, J$ $=1.6,7.8,15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $19.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{qd}, J=7.4,14.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=137.5,125.2,110.0,64.4,60.0,34.6,24.6$, 22.2, 18.9, 13.6.


Figure 3.13. Ethyl (E)-3-(3-propyloxiran-2-yl) acrylate

1h: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=6.68(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.0,15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.12(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.6,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.89(\mathrm{dt}, J=$ $2.2,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.68-1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.00-0.94(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .13 \mathrm{C}$ $\operatorname{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=165.7,144.8,123.5,61.3,60.5,56.3,33.9,19.1,14.2,13.8$.


Figure 3.14.(E)-3-(3-propyloxiran-2-yl) prop-2-en-1-ol

1i: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=6.06(\mathrm{td}, J=5.5,15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.48 (tdd, $J$ $=1.9,7.8,15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.4,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.12(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.3,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.84(\mathrm{dt}, J=2.0,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.63-1.41(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.99-0.92(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=133.9,129.0,62.7,60.4,57.9,34.0,19.2,13.9$.


Figure 3.15. (E)-2-(3-methoxyprop-1-en-1-yl)-3-propyloxirane
1j: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=5.96(\mathrm{td}, J=5.6,15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.47$ (tdd, $J$ $=1.4,7.8,15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.6,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.11(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.3$, $7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.83(\mathrm{dt}, J=2.3,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.60-1.41(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.95(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3$ H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=131.2,130.5,72.1,60.3,58.1,57.9,34.0,19.2,13.9$.


Figure 3.16. (E)-2,2-dimethyl-3-(pent-1-en-1-yl) oxirane

1k: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=5.90(\mathrm{td}, J=7.0,15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.31(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=7.8,15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{sxt}, J=7.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=137.5,125.2,64.4,60.0,34.6,24.6,22.2,18.9,13.6$.


Figure 3.17. 7-Oxabicyclo [4.1.0] hept-2-ene
11: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=6.07-5.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{td}, J=1.4,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.24(\mathrm{dt}, J=1.8,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.31-2.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.13-1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.68-1.57$ (m, 1 H ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=133.1,123.1,55.2,47.1,20.8,20.6$.


Figure 3.18(E)-2-butyl-3-(hex-1-en-1-yl)oxirane
1m: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=5.89(\mathrm{td}, J=7.0,14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.16 (ddd, $J=1.2,8.0,15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.80(\mathrm{t}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{q}, J$ $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.59-1.23(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{q}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}(101 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=136.6,127.5,60.4,58.8,32.0,31.7,31.0,28.0,22.5,22.2,14.0,13.9$.

### 3.5.3.Synthesis of Organoborons

Table 3.1.Synthesis of neopentyl glycol esters

(9ield\%)

Under Ar gas and at rt , to the dry THF ( 15 mL ) solution of organoboronic acid ( 10 mmol ) was added $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}(14 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.7 \mathrm{~g}$ ). Then, 2,2 dimethyl propan-1,3-diol (11 mmol, 1.2 g ) was added to the mixture and stirred overnight. The crude mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and boronic acid neopentyl glycol ester derivative was purified on silica gel column (hexane-EtOAc as an eluent) (Matthew et al. 2014). The yields are given in Table 3.1.


Figure 3.19. Synthesis of phenyl boronic acid pinacol ester
Phenylboronic acid ( $610 \mathrm{mg}, 5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2,3-dimethyl-2,3-butandiol ( $590 \mathrm{mg}, 5$ mmol ) in 20 mL of diethyl ether were stirred overnight at rt . The crude mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and phenylboronic acid pinacol ester (2a') was obtained after chromatographed on silica gel column (hexane-EtOAc as an eluent). (Morandi, et al. 2005).

### 3.5.4.Characterization of Organoborons

The synthesized boronic acid ester derivatives were analyzed by NMR spectra recorded on a 400 MHz spectrometer.


Figure 3.20. 5,5-Dimethyl-2-phenyl-1,3,2-dioxaborinane
2a: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-$ $7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.


Figure 3.21. 5,5-Dimethyl-2-(p-tolyl)-1,3,2-dioxaborinane
2b: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H ), 3.77 ( $\mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.03(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.


Figure 3.22. 5,5-Dimethyl-2-(o-tolyl)-1,3,2-dioxaborinane

2c: 1H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl} 3$ ) $\delta: 7.24-7.62(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.77(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.35(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, 1.02 (6H, s),


Figure 3.23. 5,5-Dimethyl-2-(m-tolyl)-1,3,2-dioxaborinane
2d: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=7.77-7.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.19-7.11 (m, 2 H), 3.78 (s, 4 H), 2.52 (s, 3 H), 1.04 (s, 6 H).


Figure 3.24. 2-(2,6-Dimethylphenyl)-5,5-dimethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborinane
2e: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl} 3) \delta=7.10(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H ), 3.89-3.70 (m, 4 H), 2.39 (s, 6 H), 1.22-1.00 (m, 6 H).


Figure 3.25. 5,5-Dimethyl-2-(naphthalen-2-yl)-1,3,2-dioxaborinane

2f: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 8.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80-7.89(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.51$ (m, 2 H), 3.84 (s, 4H), 1.06 ( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ).


Figure 3.26. 5,5-Dimethyl-2-(naphthalen-1-yl)-1,3,2-dioxaborinane
2g: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 8.0(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.9$, $1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 3.91 (s, 4H), 1.11 (s, 6H).


Figure 3.27. 2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-5,5-dimethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborinane
2h: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H ), 3.83 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.76 ( $\mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.02 ( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ).


Figure 3.28. 2-(2-Methoxyphenyl)-5,5-dimethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborinane
2i: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=7.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.04$ (s, 6 H).


Figure 3.29. 2-(4-Chlorophenyl)-5,5-dimethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborinane

2j: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 7.75-7.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.76 ( $\mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.02 ( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ).


Figure 3.30. 2-(3-Chlorophenyl)-5,5-dimethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborinane
2k: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27$ $(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.03(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.


Figure 3.31. 2-(2-Fluorophenyl)-5,5-dimethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborinane
21: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=7.75-7.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.12(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{t}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.12-0.99(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.


Figure 3.32. 5,5-Dimethyl-2-(4-(trifluoromethyl) phenyl)-1,3,2-dioxaborinane
2m: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 7.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H ), 3.79 ( $\mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.03 ( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ).


Figure 3.33. (E)-5,5-Dimethyl-2-styryl-1,3,2-dioxaborinane
2n: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $6.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=18.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.00(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.


Figure 3.34. (E)-5,5-Dimethyl-2-(pent-1-en-1-yl)-1,3,2-dioxaborinane
20: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 6.60-6.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), 3.63 ( $\mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.11 (dq, $J=1.6,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.43 ( $\mathrm{sxt}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 0.97 ( $\mathrm{s}, 7 \mathrm{H}$ ), $0.90(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.


Figure 3.35. 5,5-Dimethyl-2-(thiophen-3-yl)-1,3,2-dioxaborinane
2p: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.84(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.6,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $4.8,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.8,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.


Figure 3.36. 4,4,5,5-Tetramethyl-2-phenyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane
2a': ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 7.77-7.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{tt}, \mathrm{J}=7.40,1,60$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 7.37(\mathrm{t} . \mathrm{J}=7,80 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H})$.

### 3.6.General Method for Palladium-Catalyzed Reactions of Vinyl Oxiranes

Successively, catalyst, ligand, and dry solvent (half of the volume necessary for the reaction) were added in to the schlenk flask which was dried in oven and cooled under Ar gas. The mixture was stirred for 30 min . at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After that successively, organoboron, base, and the solution of epoxide compound in dry solvent (other half volume) and
prescribed amount of degassed water was added to the schlenk flask and the mixture was stirred in water or oil bath. The reaction was controlled with help of TLC. When the reaction process was judged to be complete, the mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. It must be noted based on our experience that the color of the reaction solution usually turned from yellow to dark brown when the reaction cycle is halted. The residue was purified using silica gel on column chromatography and the alcohol product was obtained. In part of the optimization studies the crude was filtered through a short silica gel column (height 10 cm and width 2 cm ), washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and evaporated under reduced atmosphere. The residue was analyzed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR using $p$-anisaldehyde as the internal standard.

The synthesized products were analyzed by GC and GC-MS. NMR spectra in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ or $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ were recorded on a 400 MHz spectrometer.


Figure 3.37. (E)-5-Phenyloct-3-ene-1,2-diol
3aa: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 7.31-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.91$ (dd, $J=7.8,15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.5,15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{dt}, J=3.5,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.61(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.5,11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.49-3.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{q}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.16$ (br, s, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.68(\mathrm{q}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.31-1.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 144.3,137.6,128.5,127.8,127.5,126.2,73.0,66.5,48.3,37.9,20.6$, 14.0, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{DC}_{6}$ ) $\delta: 7.20-7.16$ (m, 2 H ), 7.12-7.02 (m, 3 H ), 5.81 (ddd, $J=1.4,7.8,15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ) (major), 5.78 (ddd, $J=1.4,7.8,15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ (minor), 5.34 (ddd, $J=15.5,6.6,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ) (major), 5.31 (ddd, $J=15.5,6.6,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ) (minor), 3.99-3.93 (m, 1H), 3.36 (dd, $\left.J_{A B}=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{A X}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.35\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.J_{B X}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.11(\mathrm{q}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.27-1.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.57(\mathrm{q}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.25-1.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.83(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta: 144.5,136.3$, 128.4, 128.4, 127.5, 126.1, 72.7, 66.5, 48.4, 37.9, 20.6, 13.8, MS (EI, m/z): $220\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 1\right)$, 202 (2), 189 (56), 171 (23), 134 (12), 129 (57), 115 (33), 91 (100), 77 (8). FTIR ( $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-}$ ${ }^{1}$ ): 3398, 2925, 1724, 1601, 1453, 1343, 1030, 879, 700.


Figure 3.38. (E)-3-Phenyloct-4-ene-1,2-diol

4aa: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 7.35-7.28$ (m, 2 H ), 7.25-7.18 (m, 3 H ), 5.72 $(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{JAB}=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{JAX}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.66(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{JAB}=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{JBX}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.84 (ddd, J = 2.9, 5.9, 8.6 Hz, 1H), 3.52 (dd, J = 2.9, 11.5 Hz, 1H), 3.39-3.31 (m, 2H), $2.05(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{sex}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 134.8,129.5,128.8,128.6,127.8,126.8,74.3,64.2,52.7,34.7$, $22.4,13.7,{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{DC}_{6}$ ) $\delta: 7.15-6.94(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.68(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.6,15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.42(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=6.7,15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=3.1,6.7,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.1$, $11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.28(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.5,11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.85(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{sex}, \mathrm{J}=7.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $0.79(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 13 \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta: 141.8,133.4,130.1$, $128.5,128.0,126.4,74.4,64.3,52.4,34.6,22.4,13.4, \mathrm{MS}$ (EI, m/z): 220 (M+, 29), 205 (100), 145 (11), 105 (9), 91 (5). FTIR ( $\mathrm{v}_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3393, 2925, 1724, 1601, 1453, 1343, 1030, 879, 700.


Figure 3.39. (E)-5-(p-Tolyl) oct-3-ene-1,2-diol

3ab: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=7.15-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.08-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 5.89 (ddd, $J=1.2,7.8,15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.43 (ddd, $J=1.0,6.4,15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.22-4.13$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{A X}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.43\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{B X}=3.5\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.22(\mathrm{q}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{s} ., 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.66(\mathrm{q}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.35-1.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=141.3$, 137.7, 135.7, 129.2, 127.6, 127.4, 73.0, 66.5, 47.9, 37.9, 21.0, 20.7, 14.0, ${ }^{1}$ H NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{DC}_{6}\right) \delta=7.09-6.98(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.91(\mathrm{ddd}, J=1.2,7.7,15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.43$ (ddd,
$J=1.2,6.0,15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.19-4.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.54-3.31(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{s} ., 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.48\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=\right.$ $\left.11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{A X}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.39\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{B X}=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.17(\mathrm{q}, J=7.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.15(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.64(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.34-1.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta=141.5,136.7,135.2,1291 ., 128.1,127.5,72.9,66.7$, 48.0, 38.1, 20.7, 20.6, 13.9, MS (EI, m/z) 234 (4, M ${ }^{+}$), 204 (3), 185 (39), 160 (5), 147 (14), 143 (100), 131 (38), 105 (98), 91 (25), 77 (10), 55 (15), 41 (18). FTIR ( $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3362, 2924, 2870 1513, 1457, 1378, 1075, 1021, 971, 874, 812, 721.


Figure 3.40. ( $E$ )-3-( $p$-Tolyl) oct-4-ene-1,2-diol
4ab: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=7.17-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.75-5.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.81 (ddd, J = 3.1, 6.1, 8.8 Hz, 1 H), $3.50(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.3,11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), $3.30(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.07-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.40(\mathrm{qd}, \mathrm{J}=7.1,14.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{EI}, \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}) 234\left(1>, \mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$, 174(45), 143 (6), 131 (100), 118 (14), 91 (23), 77 (58), 61 (79), 43 (58). FTIR ( $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-}$ $\left.{ }^{1}\right): 3385,2924,2856,1514,1457,1378,1261,1075,1022,878,721$.


Figure 3.41.( $E$ )-5-( $m$-Tolyl) oct-3-ene-1,2-diol
3ac: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=7.17(\mathrm{t}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03-6.90(\mathrm{~m}, 3$ H), 5.88 (ddd, $J=1.2,8.0,15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.43 (ddd, $J=1.0,6.3,15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.22$ 4.11 (m, 1 H), 3.57 (dd, $J=3.3,11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.41(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{q}, J$ $=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.67$ (br. s., 2H), $2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.65(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.31-1.20(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=144.4,138.0,137.6,128.3$,
128.3, 127.7, 126.9, 124.5, 73.0, 66.5, 48.3, 38.0, 21.5, 20.7, 14.0. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta=7.14-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.05-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.74$ (ddd, $J=1.6,7.6,15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), 5.29 (ddd, $J=1.2,5.9,15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.96-3.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{q}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.32\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{A X}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.21\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{B X}=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right)$, 2.24 (s, 3H) (Minor) 2.17 (s, 3 H ) (Major), 1.94 (br. s, 2 H ), 1.59 (q, $J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.28-1.13 (m, 2 H), $0.83(t, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta=142.4$, $135.9,130.3,128.4,126.4,126.3,125.9,72.7,66.5,43.4,37.5,20.6,19.4,13.9$.), MS (EI, $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}) 234\left(2, \mathrm{M}^{+}\right), 216(1), 203(44), 185(63), 173(5), 143$ (100), 131 (40), 105 (95), 91 (29), 77 (10), 55 (10), 41 (10). FTIR ( $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3368, 2925, 2870, 1606, 1458, 1378, 1075, 1030, 971, 876, 704.


Figure 3.42. ( $E$ )-3-( $m$-Tolyl) oct-4-ene-1,2-diol
4ac: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=7.23-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08-6.95(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $5.78-5.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.83$ (ddd, $J=2.9,6.1,8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.1,11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), 3.36 (dd, $J=6.3,11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.29(\mathrm{t}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.33 (s, 3 H ), 2.23 (br. s., 0 H), 2.04 (q, $J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.94 (br. s., 1 H ), 1.41 (sxt, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 0.89 (t, $J=7.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=141.0,134.7,129.6,128.6,128.5,127.5$, 124.8, 74.3, 64.2, 52.7, 34.7, 29.7, 22.4, 21.5, 13.7. MS (EI, m/z) 216(<1), 203(46), 159(7), 145(7), 115 (14), 105 (22), 91 (15), 77 (6), 55 (4), 43 (4). FTIR ( $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3385, 2923, 2854, 1606, 1460, 1378, 1076, 1038, 970, 877, 783, 705.


Figure 3.43. (E)-5-(o-Tolyl) oct-3-ene-1,2-diol

3ad: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=7.20-7.06(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.86(\mathrm{ddd}, J=1.2,7.5$, $15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.42(\mathrm{ddd}, J=1.2,6.5,15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.23-4.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=\right.$ $\left.11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{A X}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.52(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{B X}=3.5\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.76-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.40-1.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=142.2,137.2,135.7,130.4,127.8,126.3,126.2,125.9$, $73.0,66.5,43.3,37.5,20.7,19.6,14.1,{ }^{1}{ }^{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta=7.18-7.07(\mathrm{~m}$, 2 H ), $7.06-6.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.80$ (ddd, $J=1.6,7.6,15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.34 (ddd, $J=1.2,6.1$, $15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08-4.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.41\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{A X}=\right.$ $6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.31\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{A X}=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 2.92(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.61(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.30-1.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.84(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta=142.5,136.0,135.6,130.4,128.4,126.5,126.4,125.9,72.9,66.7,43.5$, 37.6, 20.7, 19.5, 14.0, MS (EI, m/z) 234 (4, M+), 203 (45), 191 (8), 185 (66), 143 (100), 173 (4), 147 (13), 143 (100), 105 (90), 91 (32), 77 (10), 55 (10), 41 (10). FTIR ( $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-}$ $\left.{ }^{1}\right): 3364,2926,2870,1460,1378,1074,972,874,756,726$.


Figure 3.44. (E)-3-(o-Tolyl) oct-4-ene-1,2-diol

4ad: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=7.21-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.70-5.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.96-3.85 (m, 1H), 3.64-3.58 (m, 1 H), 3.55 (d, $J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.38-3.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.08-1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{sxt}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=139.4,135.9,134.8,130.7,129.8,126.7,126.3,126.3,74.1$, 64.0, 47.6, 34.6, 22.4, 19.8, 13.7, MS (EI, m/z) 234 (1>, M+), 174 (47), 159 (5), 145 (6), 131 (100), 119 (11), 115 (13), 105 (22), 91 (17), 77 (5), 65 (2), 55 (3), 43 (3). FTIR $\left(\nu_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3364,2922,2853,1660,1463,1377,969,755,724$.


Figure 3.45. (E)-5-(2,6-Dimethylphenyl) oct-3-ene-1,2-diol

3ae: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=7.04-6.92(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.06(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.1,15.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.35(\mathrm{ddd}, J=2.0,6.6,15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{dt}, J=3.7,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{q}, J$ $=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.5,11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.35-$ $2.26(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.88-1.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.24-1.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta=7.03-6.91(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.91(\mathrm{ddd}, J=1.4,5.1,15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 5.25 (ddd, $J=2.0,6.2,15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.97-3.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{q}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $3.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.5,11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.16(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.69$ (q, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.27-1.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.81(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{EI}, \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}) 248(4$, M+), 247 (5), 220 (28), 205 (70), 177 (26), 170 (18), 161 (17),. 133 (32), 105 (26), 91 (18), 57 (92), 41 (100). FTIR ( $\left.v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3368,2923,2854,1465,1378,1075,973,874$, 767.


Figure 3.46. (E)-5-(Naphthalen-2-yl) oct-3-ene-1,2-diol
3af: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=7.84-7.74(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52-$ 7.39 (m, 2H), 7.31 (dd, $J=1.8,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.98$ (ddd, $J=1.2,7.4,15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.48$ (ddd, $J=1.2,6.3,15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.23-4.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{A X}=7.5\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.43\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{B X}=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.42(\mathrm{q}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.78(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.37-1.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=141.7,137.4,133.6,132.2,128.1,128.1,127.6,127.6$, 126.1, 126.0, 125.9, 125.4, 73.0, 66.5, 48.4, 37.7, 20.7, 14.0, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta=7.66(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,17.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.95(\mathrm{ddd}, J=1.2$,
$7.5,15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.44$ (ddd, $J=1.0,6.0,15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.47\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=11.3\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, J_{A X}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.38\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{B X}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.29(\mathrm{q}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.34-1.13(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.85(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta=142.0,136.2,133.9,132.5,128.6,128.1,127.6,126.2,126.0,125.8$, 125.2, 72.9, 66.6, 48.5, 37.8, 20.7, 13.9, MS (EI, m/z) 270 ( $36, \mathrm{M}^{+}$), 239 (18), 221 (42), 209 (10), 195 (10), 179 (100), 167 (54), 152 (20), 141 (56), 128 (19), 115 (10), 55 (4), 41 (4), 31 (4). FTIR ( $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3365, 2927, 2870, 1600, 1457, 1377, 1260, 1024, 815, 746.


Figure 3.47. (E)-3-(Naphthalen-2-yl) oct-4-ene-1,2-diol
4af: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=7.85-7.75(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.6,8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.82(\mathrm{tdd}, J=1.2,8.6,15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.71(\mathrm{td}, J=6.3,15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.97(\mathrm{ddd}, J=2.9,6.0,8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=\right.$ $\left.11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{A X}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.53(\mathrm{t}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.40\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{B X}=3.1\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{q}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{qd}, J=7.4,14.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=138.6,135.0,133.6,130.6,129.4,128.5,127.6$, 127.6, 126.4, 126.2, 126.1, 125.7, 74.3, 64.2, 52.7, 34.7, 22.4, 13.7, MS (EI, m/z) 270 (1, $\mathrm{M}^{+}$), 253 (1), 236 (1), 210 (54), 193 (6), 178 (20), 167 (100), 152 (20), 141 (22), 128 (15), 115 (13), 81 (6), 44 (8), 32 (10). FTIR ( $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3385, 2924, 2854, 1724, 1600, 1463, 1377, 1260, 1075, 971, 816, 746.


Figure 3.48. (E)-5-(Naphthalen-1-yl) oct-3-ene-1,2-diol

3ag: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta=8.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.0$, $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.96(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4$, $15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.43(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.7,15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{q}, J=7.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.44-$ $3.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.35-3.14(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.84-1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.38-1.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.82(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta=140.7,135.9,134.3,131.9,129.0,128.8$, 126.7, 125.6, 125.6, 125.3, 124.1, 123.4, 72.9, 66.6, 42.7, 37.7, 20.9, 14.0, MS (EI, m/z) 270 (25), 239 (13), 221 (35), 179 (100), 167 (88), 165 (96), 152 (53), 141 (78), 41 (56). FTIR ( $\left.\nu_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3361,2928,2870,1596,1509,1457,1365,1074,1027,972,875,777$.


Figure 3.49. (E)-5-(4-Methoxyphenyl) oct-3-ene-1,2-diol

3ah: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=7.12-7.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.88-6.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 5.88 (ddd, $J=1.2,7.6,15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.42 (ddd, $J=1.2,6.4,15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.23-4.15$ $(\mathrm{m}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.59\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{A X}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.36(\mathrm{dd}$, $\left.J_{A B}=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{B X}=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.21(\mathrm{q}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.56$ (m, 2H), $1.32-1.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=$ $157.9,137.9,136.3,128.4,127.5,113.8,73.0,66.5,55.2,47.4,38.0,20.6,14.0,{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta=7.06-6.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.86-6.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,15.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.38(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.1,15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08-4.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.42\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.J_{A X}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.32\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{B X}=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.13(\mathrm{q}, J=$ $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.45(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.60(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.33-1.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta=158.3,136.9,136.4,128.5,128.0,113.9$, 72.8, 66.6, 54.4, 47.5, 38.1, 20.7, 13.9, MS (EI, m/z) 250 (16, M ${ }^{+}$), 232 (3), 219 (5), 207 (35), 201 (24), 189 (10), 176 (6), 171 (8), 159 (100), 147 (38), 135 (10), 128 (7), 121 (54), 115 (17), 77 (10), 65 (5), 55 (7), 41 (7), 31 (7).


Figure 3.50. (E)-3-(4-Methoxyphenyl) oct-4-ene-1,2-diol
4ah: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=7.15-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.89-6.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $5.69\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{A X}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 5.64\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{B X}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right)$, $3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.83-3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.52\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{A X}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.36(\mathrm{dd}$, $\left.J_{A B}=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{B X}=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.30(\mathrm{t}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2,2 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{sxt}$, $J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta=7.04-6.99(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.78-6.73$ (m, 2H), 5.68 (tdd, $J=1.5,8.8,15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.44(\mathrm{td}, J=7.0,15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), $3.71-3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.1,11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.36-3.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.87(\mathrm{q}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{sxt}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.80(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.


Figure 3.51. (E)-5-(2-Methoxyphenyl) oct-3-ene-1,2-diol
3ai: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=7.20-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{dt}, J=1.2,7.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.85 (dd, $J=0.8,8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.95 (ddd, $J=1.2,7.8,15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.46 (ddd, $J=1.2,6.5,15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.24-4.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{q}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.65-3.55 (m, 1 H), 3.53-3.41 (m, 1 H), 1.97 (br. s., 1 H), 1.88 (br. s., 1 H), 1.67 (q, J $=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.33-1.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right)$ $\delta=7.20-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{dt}, J=2.0,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.57(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.93(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.44(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.1,15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.98-$ $3.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.32\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{A X}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.22\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=\right.$ $\left.10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{B X}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.0,11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.70(\mathrm{qd}, J=6.6,9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2$ H), 1.42-1.20 (m, 2 H), $0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta=157.0$, 136.0, 132.9, 128.5, 126.9, 120.7, 110.6, 72.8, 66.5, 54.6, 54.6, 40.8, 37.2, 20.8, 13.9. MS
(EI, m/z) $250\left(5, \mathrm{M}^{+}\right), 219$ (12), 201 (31), 159 (62), 121 (100), 91 (61), 77 (22), 43 (63), 41 (76). FTIR ( $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3372, 2925, 1491, 1240, 1029, 874, 752.


Figure 3.52. (E)-5-(4-Chlorophenyl) oct-3-ene-1,2-diol
3aj: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=7.34-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 5.86 (ddd, $J=1.2,7.6,15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.42 (ddd, $J=1.2,6.3,15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.23-4.15$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{A X}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.43\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{B X}=3.3\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.23(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.48(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{s} ., 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.56$ (m, 2H), $1.33-1.12$ (m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=142.8,136.9,131.8,128.9$, 128.6, 128.2, 72.9, 66.5, 47.6, 37.8, 20.5, 13.9. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta=7.19-$ $7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.86-6.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.77(\mathrm{ddd}, J=1.2,7.8,15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.34(\mathrm{ddd}, J=$ $1.0,5.9,15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.47\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{A X}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.36(\mathrm{dd}$, $\left.J_{A B}=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{B X}=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.01(\mathrm{q}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.56-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.24-$ $1.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.82(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta=142.9,135.8$, $131.8,128.9,128.5,128.5,72.8,66.6,47.6,37.7,20.5,13.8 . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{EI}, \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}) 254\left(4, \mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$, 236 (1), 223 (72), 218 (1), 205 (22), 193 (5), 179 (8), 163 (42), 151 (30), 139 (18), 125 (100), 115 (42), 103 (6), 89 (6), 77 (6), 57 (14), 41 (8), 31 (8). FTIR ( $\nu_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3363, 2926, 2870, 1491, 1365, 1090, 1014, 821, 719.


Figure 3.53. (E)-3-(4-Chlorophenyl) oct-4-ene-1,2-diol

4aj: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=7.31-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $5.67\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{A X}=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 5.64\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{A X}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right)$, $3.80(\mathrm{ddd}, J=3.1,6.0,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{A X}=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.48(\mathrm{q}$, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.34\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{B X}=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.37-3.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.08-$ $2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{sxt}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{EI}, \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}) 223$ (1), 194 (54), 177 (1), 159 (16). 151 (100), 138 (24), 125 (42), 115 (40), 99 (3), 91 (6), 77 (7), 61 (10), 55 (8), 43 (8). FTIR ( $\nu_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3361, 2922, 2853, 1463, 1377, 1074, 774.


Figure 3.54. (E)-5-(3-Chlorophenyl) oct-3-ene-1,2-diol
3ak: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta=7.23-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.08-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.91-6.79 (m, 2 H ), 5.73 (tdd, $J=1.2,7.8,16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.33 (ddd, $J=1.2,5.9,15.3$ Hz, 1 H), 4.09-4.00 (m, 1 H), 3.42 (ddd, $J=0.8,3.1,11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.31 (dt, $J=1.2$, 9.6 Hz, 1 H), 3.19-3.66 (br. s, 2 H ), 2.99 (q, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.46 (q, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.24 - $1.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.79(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta=146.9$, 135.4, 134.3, 129.7, 128.9, 127.7, 126.3, 125.7, 72.7, 66.5, 48.1, 37.6, 20.5, 13.7. MS (EI, m/z) 254 ( $1>, \mathrm{M}^{+}$), 223 (23), 205 (7), 167 (20), 125 (70), 115 (100), 103 (19), 89 (6), 77 (36), 57 (58), 43 (60), 41 (90). FTIR ( $\nu_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3354, 2926, 2871, 1595, 1571, 1077, 1028, 971, 874, 782, 696.


Figure 3.55. (E)-5-(4-(Trifluoromethyl) phenyl) oct-3-ene-1,2-diol

3am: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.89$ (ddd, $J=1.4,7.7,15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.47(\mathrm{ddd}, J=1.2,6.3,15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.24$
$-4.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.61\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{A X}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.45\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{B X}=\right.$ $3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.33(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.76-1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.35-1.12$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=148.4$, 148.4, 136.4, $128.6,128.4,127.9,125.4,72.8,66.5,48.2,37.7,20.6,13.9 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta=7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.78-5.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.36-5.26$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.10-3.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.46-3.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.34-3.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{q}, J=7.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{td}, J=7.5,15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.20-0.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.80(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta=148.6,135.2,129.0,128.9,127.8,125.3,123.4,72.6,66.5$, 48.1, 37.6, 20.5, 13.7. MS (EI, m/z) 269 (11), 257 (100), 237 (11), 213 (7), 201 (43), 181 (18), 165 (10), 159 (71), 145 (12), 127 (12), 115 (12), 95 (1), 87 (10), 71 (5), 57 (30), 43 (10), 31 (8). FTIR ( $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3381, 2926, 1324, 1120, 1067, 972, 835.


Figure 3.56. (E)-3-(4-(Trifluoromethyl) phenyl) oct-4-ene-1,2-diol
4am: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta=7.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.56(\mathrm{tdd}, J=1.5,8.8,15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.35(\mathrm{td}, J=6.7,15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{dt}, J=$ $3.5,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.25\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{A X}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.18(\mathrm{t}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.11$ $\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{B X}=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 1.85(\mathrm{dq}, J=1.6,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{q}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 0.80(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{EI}, \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}) 228$ (70), 208 (65), 185 (100), 172 (93), 165 (35), 159 (54), 145 (11), 129 (20), 103 (4), 91 (6), 69 (12), 61 (54), 55 (18), 43 (20), 31 (6). FTIR ( $\left.\nu_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3388,2925,2854,1464,1326,1125,1068,836$.


Figure 3.57. ( $E$ )-5-(( $E$-Styryl) oct-3-ene-1,2-diol

3an: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta=7.33-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.09-7.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ (minor), $6.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ (major), 6.19 (dd, $J=7.8,16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ) (minor), 6.05 (dd, $J=7.8,16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ) (major), 5.75 (ddd, $J=1.2,7.4,15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.48$ (ddd, $J=1.2,6.0,15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.29-4.16$ (m, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.57\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{A X}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.46\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{B X}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, 1 H ), 2.78 (quin, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.45-1.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.36-1.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ) (major), $0.86\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}\right.$ ) (minor), ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta=$ $135.2,132.9,129.8,128.6,128.4,127.0,126.3,126.2,73.0,66.7,45.7,37.1,20.4,13.9$, MS (EI, m/z) 228 (2), 215 (6), 197 (10), 185 (78), 167 (18), 155 (30), 143 (65), 129 (60), 115 (50), 107 (20), 91 (100), 77 (15), 55 (10), 43 (10). FTIR ( $\left.v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : 3378, 2924, 2852, 1464, 1260, 1092, 1025, 799.


Figure 3.58. (E)-3-((E)-Styryl) oct-4-ene-1,2-diol

4an: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=7.39-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.66(\mathrm{td}, J=6.7,15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{t}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.64$ - 3.57 (m, 1H), 3.04 (q, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{sxt}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=137.0,134.6,131.8,128.6$, 128.5, 128.2, 127.4, 126.2, 110.0, 73.7, 64.5, 50.1, 34.8, 22.4, 13.7. MS (EI, m/z) 246 (1, $\mathrm{M}^{+}$), 215 (2), 185 (72), 165 (4), 155 (10), 143 ( 95 ), 129 (66), 115 (42), 105 (12), 91 (100), 77 (12), 65 (8), 55 (10), 43 (10), 31 (4).


Figure 3.59. (3E,6E)-5-Propyldeca-3,6-diene-1,2-diol

3ao: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta=5.67(\mathrm{ddd}, J=1.2,7.4,14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.43(\mathrm{q}$, $J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.39(\mathrm{t}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15-4.06(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.48\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{A X}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.37\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{B X}=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.17(\mathrm{q}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{td}, J=6.8,13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.55(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.96(\mathrm{q}, J$ $=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.42-1.25(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.98-0.81(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta=$ 136.1, 133.1, 130.0, 128.1, 73.0, 66.7, 45.3, 37.3, 34.7, 22.7, 20.4, 13.9, 13.5. MS (EI, $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}) 195$ (1), 181 (26), 151 (27), 107 (29), 95 (38), 91 (22), 81 (43), 67 (79), 55 (66), 43 (79), 41 (100). FTIR ( $\left.\nu_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3368,2926,2871,1458,1378,1074,1029,970,874$.


Figure 3.60. (E)-1-Methoxy-5-phenyloct-3-en-2-ol
3ba: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.32-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.92$ (dd, $J=7.8,15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.44(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.3,15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.32-4.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.41-3.36$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.29-3.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.37(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{s} ., 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.68(\mathrm{q}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.31-$ $1.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 144.5,137.2$, $128.4,127.6,127.6,126.1,76.7,71.1,59.0,48.3,38.0,20.6,14.0 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{DC}_{6}$ ) $\delta: 7.21-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.01(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.93$ (ddd, $\left.J=1.4,7.8,15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right)$ (major), 5.92 (ddd, $J=1.4,7.8,15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ) (minor), 5.45 (ddd, $J=0.8,6.3,15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.16(\mathrm{q}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.08\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{A X}=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right)$, $3.10\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{B X}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$ (minor), $2.99(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$ (major), 2.29 (br, s., 1H), $1.60(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.28-1.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.83(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta: 144.7,136.1,128.4,128.4,127.6,126.0,76.8,70.7,58.2,48.5$, 38.1, 20.6, 13.8. MS (EI, m/z): 234 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 1$ ), 216 (1), 189 (54), 171 (29). 145 (15), 134 (24), 129 (44), 115 (32), 105 (20), 101 (18), 91 (100), 77 (7), 69 (6), 57 (13), 45 (26). FTIR ( $\left.v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3435,2926,1601,1452,1120,969,699,614$.


Figure 3.61. (E)-1-Methoxy-3-phenyloct-4-en-2-ol

4ba: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 7.34-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.76$ (dd, $J=9.8,15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.61(\mathrm{td}, J=6.6,15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.98-3.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.36$ (t, $J=$ $8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.33-3.26(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.8,9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.39 (sex, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 141.8$, $134.0,129.4,128.6,127.9,126.6,74.5,73.2,59.0,52.4,34.7,22.4,13.7 .{ }^{1} H$ NMR ( 400 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{DC}_{6}$ ) $\delta: 7.22-7.03(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.94(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ (major), 5.68 (dd, $J$ $=8.4,15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ) (minor), $5.48(\mathrm{td}, J=7.0,15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ (minor), 3.99 $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ (major), $3.43(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.18\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{A X}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.10$ $\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{B X}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$ (minor), 2.97 (s, 3 H ) (major), 2.25 (br, s., 1H), 1.93 (q, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{qd}, J=7.2,14.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.82(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta: 142.5,132.7,130.3,128.4,128.2,126.3,74.8,73.2,58.2$, 52.2, 34.7, 22.4, 13.4. MS (EI, m/z): 217 (48), 199 (5), 185 (15), 173 (26), 159 (34), 119 (52), 113 (7), 104 (100), 91 (11), 81 (8), 67 (7), 53 (10), 45 (20). FTIR ( $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3447, 2923,2852, 1733, 1454, 1123, 971, 700.


Figure 3.62. (E)-1-(Benzyloxy)-5-phenyloct-3-en-2-ol

3ca: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=7.42-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 5.94 (dd, $J=7.8,15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.47 (dd, $J=6.5,15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.57$ (s, 2H), $4.38-4.30$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{A X}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.37\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{B X}=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, 1 H ), 3.28 (q, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.55 (br, s., 1H), 1.70 (q, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.40-1.17$ (m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=144.5,137.9,137.2,128.5$, $128.4,127.8,127.8,127.7,127.6,126.2,74.3,73.3,71.3,48.4,38.0,20.7,14.1 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta=7.21-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.12-7.03(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.93$ (ddd, $J=1.2,7.8$, $15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.45$ (ddd, $J=1.2,5.9,15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.29-4.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.23\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{A X}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.19\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{B X}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.15$ (q, J=7.4 Hz, 1 H ), $2.39(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{s} ., 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.59(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.31-1.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.82$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta=144.7,138.3,136.0,128.5,128.4$,
128.2, 127.6, 127.5, 126.0, 74.5, 72.8, 70.9, 48.5, 38.1, 20.7, 13.8, MS (EI, m/z) 310 (1>, $\mathrm{M}^{+}$), 189 (14), 177 (15), 133 (22), 91 (100). FTIR ( $\mathrm{v}_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3436, 2925, 2857, 1453, 1103, 970, 698.


Figure 3.63. (E)-1-(Benzyloxy)-3-phenyloct-4-en-2-ol
4ca: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=7.38-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $5.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.58(\mathrm{td}, J=6.8,15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.49\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{A B}=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1\right.$ H), $4.45\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{A B}=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.04-3.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45-3.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.27(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $6.7,9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.03(\mathrm{dq}, J=1.2,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{qd}, J=7.4,14.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=141.9,138.0,133.9,129.5,128.6,128.4$, $128.0,127.7,127.7,126.6,73.3,73.3,72.2,52.3,34.7,22.5,13.7,{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta=7.22-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.11-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.92(\mathrm{tdd}, J=1.4,8.4,15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.46(\mathrm{dtd}, J=1.2,6.7,15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{A B}=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.18\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{A B}=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{dt}, J=3.5,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.33\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{A X}=\right.$ $6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.24\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{B X}=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 2.22(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{s} ., 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.92(\mathrm{dq}, J=1.2$, $7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{qd}, J=7.2,14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.81(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta=142.5,138.5,132.8,130.2,128.4,128.3,128.2,126.3,73.4,72.9,72.5$, 52.2, 34.7, 22.4, 13.4. MS (EI, m/z) 310 (1>, M ${ }^{+}$), 160 (60), 159 (53), 117 (100), 104 (27), 91 (99). FTIR ( $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3453, 2924, 2857, 1453, 1364, 1260, 1099, 734, 698.


Figure 3.64.(E)-1-((tert-Butyldimethylsilyl) oxy)-5-phenyloct-3-en-2-ol
3da: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=7.33-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $5.91(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.42(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.5,15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18-4.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60$
$\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{A X}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.41\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{B X}=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.26(\mathrm{q}, J$ $=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.69(\mathrm{dq}, J=2.7,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.39-1.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.06(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=144.5,137.2,128.4,127.8$, $127.6,126.1,72.7,67.2,48.4,38.0,25.9,20.7,18.3,14.0,-5.3,-5.4 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta=7.21-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.96(\mathrm{ddd}, J=1.4,7.8,15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.48(\mathrm{ddd}, J=1.2,5.9,15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.19-4.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.47\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{A X}=\right.$ $7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.38\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{B X}=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.18(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.39-$ 2.29 (bs., 1H), 1.62 (q, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.23 ( $\mathrm{sptd}, J=7.0,28.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 0.89 (s, 9H), $0.83(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}),-0.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta=136.2$, 128.7, 128.4, 127.6, 126.0, 72.5, 67.5, 48.6, 38.1, 25.7, 20.7, 18.1, 13.8, -5.7, -5.7. MS (EI, m/z) 275 (5), 186 (11), 185 (50), 159 (27), 143 (78), 129 (64), 117 (41), 91 (90), 75 (100), 73 (82). FTIR ( $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3453, 2926, 2855, 1463, 1108, 836, 777, 699.


Figure 3.65. (E)-1-((tert-Butyldimethylsilyl) oxy)-3-phenyloct-4-en-2-ol
4da: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=7.35-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $5.79(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.53(\mathrm{td}, J=6.7,15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{spt}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.48(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.5,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.34(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.0,9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{q}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.38 (sxt, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.97-0.76(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}),-0.01(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=142.1,133.2,129.8,128.5,128.0,126.5,74.6,65.0,51.8,34.8,30.3,25.9$, $22.5,18.2,13.7,1.0,-5.4,-5.4 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ): $\delta=7.21-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.13$ - 7.20 (m, 2H), 7.07 (d, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.97 (ddt, $J=15.3,8.3,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.51$ (dt, $J$ $=15.3,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86-3.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{A X}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.48$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.44\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{B X}=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 1.94(\mathrm{q}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $1.28(\mathrm{sxt}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.82(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}),-0.02 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta=142.6,132.7,130.4,128.4,128.3,126.3,74.6,65.3$, $51.9,34.7,25.7,22.5,18.1,13.5,1.0,-5.7,-5.7$, MS (EI, m/z) 277 (5), 117 (72), 105 (70), 103 (32), 75 (100), 73 (62). FTIR ( $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3483, 2927, 2857, 1463, 1256, 1116, 835, 777, 700.


Figure 3.66. (E)-5-Phenylhex-3-ene-1,2-diol

3fa: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta=7.21-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.11-7.03(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.79$ (ddd, $J=1.6,6.8,15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.31 (ddd, $J=1.4,6.1,15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.95-3.87$ (m, 1 H), 3.36-3.30 (m, 1 H ), 3.29-3.17 (m, 2 H ), $1.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta=145.9,137.6,128.9,127.6,126.6,73.1,66.9,42.5,21.4$. MS (EI, $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}): 192\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 1>\right), 174(10), 161(22), 143$ (100), 128 (32), 115 (31), 105 (27), 91 (55), 77 (40), 55 (26), 43 (23).


Figure 3.67. (E)-2-Methyl-6-phenylnon-4-ene-2,3-diol

3ga: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta=7.22-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.03(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 5.80 (ddd, $J=1.2,7.8,15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.51 (ddd, $J=1.2,7.0,15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.77 (dd, $J$ $=1.0,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.17(\mathrm{q}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(b r . \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.61(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.32-1.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.84(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta=144.7,137.0,128.6,128.4,127.5,126.0,79.2,72.5,48.4,37.9,26.2$, 23.5, 20.7, 13.8. MS (EI, m/z): 231 (1>), 214 (1>), 145 (2), 133 (6), 129 (6), 115 (19), 91 (20), 77 (2), 59 (100), 43 (17). FTIR ( $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3401, 2928, 2871, 1453, 1164, 972, 699.


Figure 3.68.(E)-2-methyl-4-phenylnon-5-ene-2,3-diol
4ga: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=7.34-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.81 (dd, $J=9.4,15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.62(\mathrm{td}, J=6.7,15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
3.49 (dd, $J=5.9,9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.30 (br. s., 1 H ), 2.16 (br. s., 1 H ), 2.03 (q, $J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2$ H), 1.39 ( $\mathrm{sxt}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.22-1.19(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.08-1.02(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=143.2,134.5,130.0,128.7,127.9,126.5,79.7$, 51.7, 34.7, 29.7, 27.1, 25.3, 22.4, 13.7. MS (EI, m/z): 248 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 1>$ ), 160 (36), 117 (41). 104 (22), 91 (21), 77 (7), 71 (10), 59 (100), 43 (37). FTIR ( $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3422, 2926, 2858, 1453, 1378, 1160, 973, 700.


Figure 3.69. Ethyl-(E)-5-hydroxy-4-phenyloct-2-enoate
4ha: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta=7.55(\mathrm{ddd}, J=1.4,8.8,15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12-$ $6.95(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.96$ (d, $J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.02$ (q, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.57 (br. s., 1 H ), 3.21 - 3.11 (m, 1 H), 1.48-1.30 (m, 2 H), $1.24-1.13$ (m, 3 H ), 0.96 (t, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.73$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101MHz, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta=148.4,140.7,128.6,128.2$, 126.7, $123.3,73.7,59.8,55.6,37.1,18.8,13.9,13.6$. FTIR $\left(v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3476,2926,2873,1739$, $1465,1380,1221,1139,701$.


Figure 3.70.(E)-8-methoxy-7-phenyloct-5-en-4-ol
3ja: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta=7.22-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.11-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.85 (ddd, $J=1.2,7.4,15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.54$ (ddd, $J=1.2,6.7,15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.97(\mathrm{q}, J=$ $5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.57(\mathrm{q}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.46\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{A X}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.42$ $\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{A B}=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{B X}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.53-1.26(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.83(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=142.2,135.4,131.0,128.4,128.1,126.5,76.5$, 72.2, 58.2, 48.5, 39.6, 18.8, 13.9. MS (EI, m/z) 172 (6), 130 (31), 129 (16), 115 (10), 91 (17), 77 (6), 71 (44), 45 (100), 43 (57), 41 (34). FTIR ( $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3422, 2928, 2971, 1453, 1111, 968, 699.


Figure 3.71. (E)-8-Methoxy-5-phenyloct-6-en-4-ol

4ja: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=7.35-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 6.03 (ddd, $J=0.8,9.0,15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.70(\mathrm{td}, J=5.9,15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{q}, ~ J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.36-3.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.54-1.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.37-1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.85(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=141.8$, 133.1, 129.7, 128.7, 128.0, 126.6, 74.0, 72.8, 57.9, 55.9, 36.7, 18.9, 14.0.


Figure 3.72. (E)-2-Methyl-5-phenyloct-3-en-2-ol
3ka: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=7.36-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $5.75(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4,15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.22(\mathrm{q}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.66$ (q, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.35-1.14$ (m, 2 H ), 1.29 (d, $J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) 0.88$ (t, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3$ H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=145.0,137.5,130.9,128.4,127.5,126.0,70.7,48.1$, 38.2, 29.9, 29.8, 20.7, 14.0. MS (EI, m/z) 218 (1>, M ${ }^{+}$), 175 (4), 157 (6), 149 (12), 115 (10), 91 (14), 77 (7), 71 (8), 59 (19), 43 (100). FTIR ( $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3368, 2959, 2927, 2871, 1453, 1149, 970, 910, 760, 698.


Figure 3.73(E)-8-phenyldodec-6-en-5-ol

3ma: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta=7.23-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.10-7.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.72 (dd, $J=7.6,15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.45(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.5,15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{q}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $3.16(\mathrm{q}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.66(\mathrm{q}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.49-1.13(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.87-0.81$ (m, 6 H$).{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta=144.9,134.2,133.3,128.4,127.5,126.0,72.2$, 48.6, 37.2, 35.6, 29.8, 27.6, 22.7, 22.6, 13.9, 13.8. MS (EI, m/z) 203 (2), 185 (3), 145 (6),

118 (18), 91 (42), 85 (40), 57 (62), 41 (100). FTIR ( $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3420, 2928, 2975, 1445, 1098, 967, 704.


Figure 3.74(E)-6-phenyldodec-7-en-5-ol
4ma: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=7.35-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $5.76-5.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.78-3.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{q}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2$ H), 1.84 (br. s., 1 H ), $1.49-1.17$ (m, 10 H ), 0.86 (td, $J=7.0,15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=142.4,134.7,129.5,128.6,127.9,126.4,74.0,56.4,34.0,32.3$, 31.5, 27.9, 22.6, 22.2, 14.0, 13.9.

## CHAPTER 4

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this study, the Pd-catalyzed 1,3-substitution reactions of alkenyl oxiranes with organoborons were studied. For this purpose, the optimization conditions were thoroughly examined


Figure 4.1. A method for the synthesis of montabuphine (Source: Guan et al. 2012)

It was recently disclosed that as one of the synthesis step that was applied in synthesis of a biologically active compound, Pd-catalyzed coupling of an organoboronic acid with a cyclic vinyl epoxide proceeded stereo- and regio-selectively to give a 1,3substituted major product (Figure 4.1). Hence, we have preferred to perform our first trial over the conditions reported therein for an acyclic vinyl epoxide compound $\mathbf{1 b}$, that is with phenyl boronic acid, using $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3} \cdot \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ as the Pd -complex, $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ as a base, in dried THF, and $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The result was rather disappointing in fact, proceeded with poor regio- and diastereo-selectivity. (Table 4.1, No 1). However, it should be noted that the regio-isomers could be isolated from each other by silica gel column chromatography. In the same conditions, the usage of $\mathrm{NaBPh}_{4}$ as a boron source decreased the regioselectivity further (Table 4.1, No 2). While the reaction with phenyl boroxine ( PhBO$)_{3}$ resulted in low yields, with $\mathrm{KPhBF}_{3}$ the reactant was recovered as unreacted (Table 4.1, No 3 and 4). The use of phenyl boronic acid neopentyl glycol ester (Phneop) improved the regio-selectivity of the products in favor of 1,3-substitution product, however, diastereo-selectivity was significantly diminished. (Table 4.1, No 5). No significant improvement for the selectivity was observed with the use of an organic base $\left((i-\operatorname{Pr})_{2} \mathrm{NEt}\right)$
(Table 4.1, No 6). It was intriguing to observe however that the existence of water in the reaction mixture drastically improved the stereoselectivity and also caused the shift of this selectivity in favor of other diastereomeric structure. However, this improvement was accompanied by a decrease in regio-isomeric ratio (Table 4.1, No 7). With phenylboronic acid, the reaction gave nearly a similar result (Table 4.1, No 8).

The presence of a bidentate phosphine ligand DPEPhos could not further improve the process (Table 4.1, No 9-13).

Table 4.1. The Effect of conditions on reaction of vinyl epoxide (1b) with phenylboron compounds ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

It was suprising for us to find that the vinyl oxirane 1a with an unprotected pendant hydroxyl group afforded much better regio-selectivity in the presence of DPEphos ligand and organic base as compared to its unprotected form $\mathbf{1 b}$, producing $\mathbf{3 a}$ and $\mathbf{4 a}$ in $69 \%$ and $6 \%$ isolated yields, respectively. The compound containing oxygen group might have affect the activity of the metal as a result of coordination of free hydroxyl group with the palladium core.

In the next stage of the optimization studies, the ligand activity was surveyed. It should be noted that since the tedious column isolation work-up is highly time consuming, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR technique has been the usual preferred technique for the quantitative analysis of the crude products for fast screening of the optimum conditions. However, this technique allowed us only the determination of the 3aa product accurately and the accurate analysis of the 4aa product has not been possible since its related proton signals were overlapped with those of other reagents existing within the mixture

The variation of DPEPhos amount had no remarkable influence on the reaction selectivity (Table 4.2, No 2 and 3) and therefore, the ratio of P/Pd was kept nearly $4: 1$ for the next experiments.

However, it should be noted that no further improvements have been possible by use of any of mono- or bidentate phosphine ligands tested for this study. The bidentate ligands xantphos, dppb, and significant number of electron rich and poor ligands resulted in intricate mixture. No conversion would be possible with the use of dppp and $\mathrm{P}(2-$ $\left.\mathrm{MeOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3}$ ligands. On the other hand, among the other ligands tested only dppf ligand afforded a comparable result with respect to that which was obtained with DPEphos.

At last part of optimization of ligands, several non-phosphorous ligands were examined. The product 3a formation was lowered significantly with the use of $2,2^{\prime}$ bipyridyl, whereas relatively moderate product formation has been possible with the use of an N -heterocyclic carbene precursor (1,3-bis(2,6-di-i-propylphenyl)imidazol-2ylidene) (Table 4.2, No 25 and 26) On the other hand it has been delightful to find that the best selectivity could be obtained with the presence of $\mathrm{AsPh}_{3}$ within the reaction medium, which produced 3aa and 4aa in $78 \%$ and $6 \%$ isolated yields, respectively in the presence of $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ base (Table 4.2, No 27). A comparative result was obtained with (i$\operatorname{Pr})_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ base (No 33), with its use 3aa and 4aa formations being $79 \%$ and $10 \%$, respectively.

It is apparent that the method is quite component to the number of other organic and inorganic bases. The variation of the base type had modest influence on the activity
of the system. (Table 4.2, No 28-34). However, a relatively lower yield was obtained without presence of any base and also without any ligands the reaction was obtained at $30 \%$ isolated yield. (Table 4.2, No 35 and No 36).

Table 4.2.Effect of the ligand on pd-catalyzed reaction of alkenyl epoxide (1a) with PhBneop

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No | Ligand | Base | t , hour(s) | \% $\mathbf{3 a}^{\text {a }}$ (dr) | \%4a |
| 1 | DPEPhos | $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Et})_{3}$ | 3 | $77-69^{\text {b }}$ (30:1) | $6^{\text {b }}$ |
| 2 | DPEPhos ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Et})_{3}$ | 1 | 66 | N.D. |
| 3 | DPEPhos ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Et})_{3}$ | 1 | 71 | N.D. |
| 4 | Xanthphos | $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Et})_{3}$ | 3 | Complex |  |
| 5 | $t$-Bu-Xantphos | $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Et})_{3}$ | 1 | 63 | N.D. |
| 6 | dppe | $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Et})_{3}$ | 5 days | 44 | N.D. |
| 7 | dppp | $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Et})_{3}$ | 20 | - | - |
| 8 | dppb | $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Et})_{3}$ | 1 | Complex |  |
| 9 | dppf | $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Et})_{3}$ | 2 | 77 | N.D. |
| 10 | $\pm$ BINAP | $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Et})_{3}$ | 20 | 22 | N.D. |
| 11 | $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Et})_{3}$ | 1.5 | Complex |  |
| 12 | $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ | $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Et})_{3}$ | 2.5 | Complex |  |
| 13 | $\mathrm{P}\left(4-\mathrm{MeOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3}$ | $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Et})_{3}$ | 2.5 | Complex |  |
| 14 | $\mathrm{P}\left(2-\mathrm{MeOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3}$ | $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Et})_{3}$ | 20 | - | - |
| 15 | $\mathrm{P}\left(4-\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Et})_{3}$ | 2.5 | Complex |  |
| 16 | $\mathrm{Ph}\left(2\right.$-furyl) ${ }_{3}$ | $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Et})_{3}$ | 1.5 | Complex |  |
| 17 | $\left[(t-\mathrm{Bu})_{3} \mathrm{PH}\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Et})_{3}$ | 4.5 | 56 | N.D. |
| 18 | $\left[\mathrm{Cy}_{3} \mathrm{PH}\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Et})_{3}$ | 8 | 69 | N.D. |
| 19 | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{Bu})_{3}$ | $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Et})_{3}$ | 1.5 | 44 | N.D. |

(cont. on next page)

Table 4.2 (cont.)

| 19 | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{Bu})_{3}$ | $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Et})_{3}$ | 1.5 | 44 | N.D. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{Bn}\right)_{3}$ | $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Et})_{3}$ | 20 | 49 | N.D. |
| 21 | $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right)_{3}$ | $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Et})_{3}$ | 20 | 32 | N.D. |
| 22 | Xphos | $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Et})_{3}$ | 3 days | 57 | N.D. |
| 23 | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OBu})_{3}$ | $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Et})_{3}$ | 1.75 | 62 | N.D. |
| 24 | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OPh})_{3}$ | $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Et})_{3}$ | 3 days | 54 | N.D. |
| 25 | 2,2'-Bipyridyl | $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Et})_{3}$ | 5 days | 38 | N.D. |
| 26 | SIPr | $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Et})_{3}$ | 1 | 68 | N.D. |
| 27 | $\mathbf{P h}_{3} \mathbf{A s}$ | $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Et})_{3}$ | 2.5 | 84-78 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $6^{\text {b }}$ |
| 28 | $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{As}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | 3 | 80 | N.D. |
| 29 | $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{As}$ | $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | 2.75 | 83 | N.D. |
| 30 | $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{As}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | 3.5 | 78 | N.D. |
| 31 | $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{As}$ | KF | 3.5 | 80 | N.D. |
| 32 | $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{As}$ | KOH | 1.5 | 82 | N.D. |
| 33 | $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathbf{A s}$ | (i-Pr)2NH | 2 | 84-79 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $10^{\text {b }}$ |
| 34 | $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{As}$ | $(i-\mathrm{Pr})_{2} \mathrm{NEt}$ | 4 | 82 | N.D. |
| 35 | $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{As}$ | - | 3 | 72 | N.D. |
| 36 | - | $(i-\mathrm{Pr})_{2} \mathrm{NEt}$ | 1.5 | $33-30^{\text {b }}$ | N.D. |

${ }^{a}$ Determined by internal standard using $p$-anisaldehyde by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR} .{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Isolated yield ${ }^{\mathrm{c}} \% 10 \mathrm{P} .{ }^{\mathrm{d}} \% 15 \mathrm{P}$

Reducing the reaction concentration to the half or doubling it caused a decrease of the reaction yield (Table 4.3, No 1 and 2). While the ratio of water in the reaction mixture directly affected the reaction time, the NMR yield of these reactions were not affected significantly (Table 4.3, No 3and 4). According to all results obtained so far, for 0.1 mmol reactant, the available solvent system was set to be $2 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{THF} / 0.5 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

The various organic solvents were tested (Table 4.3, No 5-12) and among the solvents tried, only was acetone that led to the formation of a comparable yield, albeit the reaction rate was highly diminished and took 2 days for a complete conversion (Table 4.3, No 9). The lower yields were obtained with the use of other solvents, such as DMF, isopropanol, DCM, dioxane, DME, toluene, and acetonitrile.

At $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reaction rate was relatively accelerated, but the NMR yield was slightly lowered to $78 \%$ (Table 4.3 , No 13). On the other hand, at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the reaction rate was reasonably decelerated though the reaction yield did not change (Table 4.3, No 14). It is obvious that doubling the reaction scale was tolerated by the method (Table 4.3, No 15). The less usage of PhBneop than 3 eqv. caused a decrease of the yield (Table 4.3, No 16 and 17).

Table 4.3. Optimization of solvent system of pd-catalyzed reaction with PhBneop


| No | Solvent | Solvent/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ <br> $(\mathrm{mL})$ | PhBneop <br> $($ eqv.) | $\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{C}$ | t, hour(s) | $\% 3 \mathrm{aa}^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $\% 4 \mathrm{aa}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | THF | $4 / 1$ | 3 | 25 | 5.5 | 66 | N.D. $^{\mathrm{f}}$ |
| 2 | THF | $1 / 0.25$ | 3 | 25 | 2.5 | 58 | N.D. |
| 3 | THF | $2 / 0.25$ | 3 | 25 | 5 | 80 | N.D. |
| 4 | THF | $2 / 1$ | 3 | 25 | 2.25 | 82 | N.D. |
| 5 | DCM | $2 / 0.5$ | 3 | 25 | 5.2 | 36 | N.D. |
| 6 | Toluene | $2 / 0.5$ | 3 | 25 | 5.2 | 50 | N.D. |
| 7 | DMF | $2 / 0.5$ | 3 | 25 | O.N. | 65 | N.D. |
| 8 | Isopropanol | $2 / 0.4$ | 3 | 25 | O.N. | 74 | N.D. |
| $9^{\text {c }}$ | Acetone | $2 / 0.4$ | 3 | 25 | 2 days | 82 | N.D. |
| $10^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $1.4-$ Dioxane | $2 / 0.5$ | 3 | 25 | 5.5 | 73 | N.D. |
| 11 | Acetonitrile | $2 / 0.5$ | 3 | 25 | O.N. | $48^{\mathrm{e}}$ | N.D. |
| 12 | DME | $2 / 0.5$ | 3 | 25 | O.N. | 76 | N.D. |
| 13 | THF | $2 / 0.5$ | 3 | 50 | $<1$ | 78 | N.D. |
| 14 | THF | $2 / 0.5$ | 3 | 10 | O.N. | 83 | N.D. |
| $15^{\text {d }}$ | THF | $4 / 1$ | 3 | 25 | 3 | $83-76^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 12 $2^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| $16^{\text {d }}$ | THF | $4 / 1$ | 2 | 25 | 3.5 | 70 | N.D. |
| $17^{\text {d }}$ | THF | $4 / 1$ | 1.5 | 25 | 5 | 51 | N.D. |

[^0]An another type of organoboron ester, the pinacol ester of phenylboronic acid was also reacted with alkenyl oxirane 1a. The reaction kinetic was slowed by this change and the desired product was obtained with lower yield as $71 \%$ (Figure 2.2).


Figure 4.2.The reaction of alkenyl oxirane with pinacol ester of phenylboronic acid

In the arylation reactions, catalytic effects of some of pd complexes were also examined and it was observed that palladium acetate and $\pi$-allyl palladium chloride dimer which are $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$-complexes were not effective as compared to $\mathrm{Pd}(0)$-complexes. These catalysts slowed the reaction for a full conversion of the reactant and the lover yields were gained (Table 4.4, No 1 and 2). Non-solvent coordinated $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}$ complex showed a lower catalytic activity than $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3} \cdot \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ (Table 4.4, No 3 and Table 4.2, No 27).

Table 4.4. Arylation reactions of the vinyl epoxide 1a with various Pd-catalysts


| No | Pd | T, hour(s) | \% 3aa ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | 2 days | 66 |
| 2 | $\left[\mathrm{PdCl}\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\right]_{2}$ | 2 days | 51 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}$ | O. N. | 77 |

${ }^{a}$ Determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR using $p$-anisaldehyde as the internal standard.

The table 4.5 includes the results of arylation reaction of the vinyl epoxide $\mathbf{1 a}$ with various RBneop reagents. The method was effectively applicable to electron-rich arylborons (Table 4.5, No 1-3 and 7-8) and 2-napthylboron reagent (Table 4.5, No 5 and 6). The desired product 3a was formed with these organoborons at high yields and diastereomeric ratios. Although the ortho-substituted methyl, methoxy and 1-napthyl organoborons substrates which are relatively sterically hindered reagents (Table 4.5, No

2,6 and 8) slowed down the reaction rate, their performance from the point of allylic substitution yield was more or less comparable to other isomers.

It was noticed that the organoborons having electron-withdrawing groups showed a weak performance in the reaction. Longer reaction periods are required for complete conversion of the vinyl epoxide with these reagents and moderate yields were recovered with $p$ - and $m$ - substituted ones (Table 4.5, No 9,10 and 12). A low yield was obtained with a $o$-substituted electron poor organoboron reagent $\mathbf{2 m}$ (Table 4.5), No 11). This reactivity difference might be resulted from in the less reactivity of the electron poor organoborons toward transmetallation step with the intermediate of $\pi$-allyl palladium complex.

The reaction with an alkenyl boron derivative containing a phenyl group resulted in the formation of product at $80 \%$ yield and with relatively lower 10:1 diastereomeric ratio (Table 4.5, No 13). On the other hand, alkenyl boron compound containing propyl group showed lower activity and the product 3ap was formed at $30 \%$ yield (Table 4.5, No 14).

During a reaction with thiophenylboron ester $\mathbf{2 r}$ palladium black formation was observed in first hour of the reaction and as a result the vinyl epoxide was recovered as it is. (Table 4.5, No 15).

Table 4.5.Reaction of vinyl epoxide 1a with various neopentyl glycol esters
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Table 4.5 (cont.)

O. N.: Overnight. N. D.: Not determined. Dr: Diastereomeric ratio.

Next, the substrate scope of the method was also surveyed over various alkenyl oxiranes. The use of vinyl epoxide with phenyl group attached to the far alkenyl carbon as the reactant in the reaction resulted in a complex mixture. Although the desired product 3ea compound could not be isolated, the simple substitution product 4ea was determined to be $30 \%$ yield by NMR technique (Table 4.6, No 1). The reaction with DPEphos ligand of $\mathbf{1 e}$ also did not alter the reaction fate at all (Table 4.6, No 2).

When the hydroxyl group was protected by methyl (1c) and silyl (1d) groups, the ratio of regio-selectivities of reactions were decreased (Table 4.6, No 3 and 4). It was possible that the coordination of the oxygen containing group which was next to the oxirane ring with the Pd-metal somehow influences the regio-selectivity on the reaction. The reaction's efficiency was not affected with by the presence of a methyl group at $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ and at $\mathrm{R}_{3}$. Therefore, the yields of these reactions were obtained at high level (Table 4.6, No 5 and 6).

The reactant having an ester group at $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ led to only the formation of the simple substitution product 4ha exclusively (Table 4.6, No 7). While the presence of a hydroxyl group within R1 lowered the regio-selectivity of the process the methyl protection of this group even further worsened the selectivity and lower total yields were obtained (Table 4.6, No 8 and 9).

Table 4.6. The pd-catalyzed reaction of various alkenyl epoxides with PhBneop

No N ,
${ }^{a}$ Determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR using $p$-anisaldehyde as the internal standard. ${ }^{b}$ The reaction was performed using DPEPhos ligand (22.5 P).

In Figure 4.3, with the oxirane having fully alkyl groups, the reaction was resulted in $65 \%$ isolated yield after overnight reaction period. And in figure 4.5, the reaction of mono alkyl substituted oxiranes gave $44 \%$ isolated yield for 3ma and $16 \%$ isolated yield for $\mathbf{4 m a}$ product.


Figure 4.3. Syntesis of (E)-2-methyl-5-phenyloct-3-en-2-ol
The reaction also was tried on a cyclic structure. Conversely, the reaction with 3,4-epoxycyclohexene was complete in 1 hour, but a complex mixture was obtained instead of the desired arylated product.


Figure 4.4. The reaction of 3,4-epoxy cyclohexene


Figure 4.5 The reaction of (E)-2-butyl-3-(hex-1-en-1-yl)oxirane

## CHAPTER 5

## CONCLUSION

As a consequence, a new 1,3-substitution selective arylation reaction of vinyl oxiranes was performed with aryl or alkenyl neopentyl glycol boron esters in the presence of triphenyl arsine ligated tris(dibenzylideneacetone)dipalladium (0) chloroform adduct. The products were obtained with highly diastereomeric ratios

The advantages of reaction are the applicability at room temperature, the use of various stable boron esters in aqueous medium.
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## APPENDIX A

## ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR AND ${ }^{13}$ CNMR SPECTRUMS OF REACTANTS
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## APPENDIX B

## ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR AND ${ }^{13}$ CNMR SPECTRUMS OF PRODUCTS
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## APPENDIX C

## MASS SPECTRUMS OF PRODUCTS




































[^0]:    ${ }^{a}$ Determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR using $p$-anisaldehyde as the internal standard. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Isolated yield. ${ }^{{ }^{\circ}}$ Not dried solvents.
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