Rank one perturbations supported by hybrid geometries and their deformations

Cite as: J. Math. Phys. **63**, 123505 (2022); https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0090401 Submitted: 06 March 2022 • Accepted: 18 November 2022 • Published Online: 07 December 2022

🔟 Fatih Erman, ២ Sema Seymen and ២ O. Teoman Turgut



Estimating bulk and edge topological indices in finite open chiral chains Journal of Mathematical Physics **63**, 121901 (2022); https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0096720

Limiting behaviors of generalized elephant random walks Journal of Mathematical Physics 63, 123504 (2022); https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0105789

The thermodynamic limit of an ideal Bose gas by asymptotic expansions and spectral $\zeta\text{-}$ functions

Journal of Mathematical Physics 63, 123302 (2022); https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0114640





J. Math. Phys. **63**, 123505 (2022); https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0090401 © 2022 Author(s).

Rank one perturbations supported by hybrid geometries and their deformations

Cite as: J. Math. Phys. 63, 123505 (2022); doi: 10.1063/5.0090401 Submitted: 6 March 2022 • Accepted: 18 November 2022 • Published Online: 7 December 2022



Fatih Erman,^{1,a)} 💿 Sema Seymen,^{2,b)} 💿 and O. Teoman Turgut^{2,c)} 💿

AFFILIATIONS

¹Department of Mathematics, İzmir Institute of Technology, Urla, 35430 İzmir, Turkey ²Department of Physics, Boğaziçi University, Bebek, 34342 İstanbul, Turkey

a) Author to whom correspondence should be addressed: fatih.erman@gmail.com
 b) seymen@itu.edu.tr
 c) turgutte@boun.edu.tr

ABSTRACT

We study the hybrid type of rank one perturbations in \mathbb{R}^2 and \mathbb{R}^3 , where the perturbation supported by a circle/sphere is considered together with the delta potential supported by a point outside of the circle/sphere. The construction of a self-adjoint Hamiltonian operator associated with formal expressions for the rank one perturbation supported by a circle and by a point is explicitly given. Bound state energies and scattering properties for each problem are also studied. Finally, we consider the rank one perturbation supported by a deformed circle/sphere and show that the first order change in bound state energies under small deformations of the circle/sphere has a simple geometric interpretation.

Published under an exclusive license by AIP Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0090401

I. INTRODUCTION

Dirac delta potentials, also known as point interactions, in general, are among the exactly solvable classes of potentials studied from both physical and mathematical points of view. A detailed review of the subject together with their mathematically rigorous constructions and spectral properties is given in the monographs.^{1,2}

Extension of point delta potentials to the ones whose support is a sphere—known as the delta shell potentials—is formally presented in some quantum mechanics textbooks,^{3–5} but its first precise mathematical treatment is given in Ref. 6 to the best of our knowledge. In that work, an additional delta potential supported by a point at the center of the sphere is also discussed in the zero angular momentum sector l = 0. Such circular/spherical singular interactions, also considered to be models for circular/spherical quantum billiards, have been recently studied analytically and numerically.^{7,8} Their higher-dimensional generalization has been worked out in the literature from the point of view of differential equations⁹ using the partial wave analysis. In addition to these specific geometrical objects as the supports of delta functions, more complicated contact-type interactions are studied by considering some regular curves or surfaces. The Schrödinger operators or Hamiltonians for such a class of singular interactions are formally given by

$$H_{\Gamma} = H_0 - \lambda \delta(\cdot - \Gamma), \tag{1.1}$$

$$H_{\Sigma} = H_0 - \lambda \delta(\cdot - \Sigma), \tag{1.2}$$

respectively. Here, H_0 is the free Hamiltonian. There are various ways to define the above Hamiltonians in a mathematically proper way. If the curve is planar, similarly if the surface is embedded in \mathbb{R}^3 (with some regularity conditions on the curves/surfaces), one way is to interpret these interactions expressed by the following quadratic forms $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \psi|^2 d^2 x - \lambda \int_{\Gamma} |\psi|^2 ds$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \psi|^2 d^3 x - \lambda \int_{\Sigma} |\psi|^2 dA$, respectively, and then prove that there exist associated self-adjoint Hamiltonians.^{10–14} Other ways are to impose proper boundary conditions (continuity and jump discontinuity conditions at Γ ; see Remark 4.1 in Refs. 10 and 15) or employ scaled potentials¹⁶ or direct construction of the resolvent^{10,17,18} (see also Ref. 19, where the support of the delta potential is a curve in three dimensions). The main physical motivation for studying such systems is to give a realistic model for trapped electrons due to interfaces between two different semiconductor materials, which are known as leaky graphs, curves, or surfaces in the literature.²⁰ Small geometric deformations of the support of delta potentials recently attract some attention,¹⁷ where the area preserving small deformations can give rise to isolated eigenvalues. Furthermore, the scattering theory for delta-potentials supported by locally deformed planes is constructed in Ref. 23. The generalizations to delta functions supported on curves and surfaces embedded in manifolds are presented in Refs. 21 and 22.

The aim of this paper is to address the issue of the bound state and scattering spectrum of the free Hamiltonian operators perturbed by the following rank one perturbations:

- (i) Rank one perturbation supported by a circle and by a point outside of the circle in \mathbb{R}^2 .
- (ii) Rank one perturbation supported by a sphere and by a point outside of the sphere in \mathbb{R}^3 .
- (iii) Rank one perturbation supported by a small deformation in the normal direction of a circle in \mathbb{R}^2 .
- (iv) Rank one perturbation supported by a small deformation in the normal direction of a sphere in \mathbb{R}^3 .

Actually, such perturbations are singular rank one perturbations in the sense described in Ref. 2, but we will simply call them rank one perturbations throughout this paper. For instance, the Hamiltonian for the first problem is formally given by

$$H_0 - \lambda_1 |\mathbf{a}\rangle \langle \mathbf{a}| - \lambda_2 |\Gamma\rangle \langle \Gamma|, \tag{1.3}$$

where $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^2$, Γ is the circle centered at the origin with radius R in \mathbb{R}^2 , and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0$. In this paper, we shall call discrete eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian below its essential spectrum the bound state energies, which is a common terminology in physics.

It is well known that the resolvent of such singular interactions (delta potential supported by a point, a curve, or a surface) can be expressed by some explicit formulas involving the resolvent of the free Hamiltonian. These expressions are commonly known as Krein's formula in the literature.^{1,2,20} To find the resolvent of the above hybrid type of potentials, we essentially follow an approach given in Ref. 24. For this, we first regularize the ill-defined interaction terms by finite rank projections acting on Hilbert space and then find the resolvent associated with these regularized Hamiltonians. Then, considering the strong limit of these resolvents, as we remove the regularization parameter, allows us to define a self-adjoint operator corresponding to their limits thanks to the Trotter–Kato theorem. If the support of the interaction is co-dimension two or three, then it is well-known that we need to renormalize the problem (see, e.g., Refs. 25 and 26 for the point interactions in two and three dimensions). In this case, we need to choose the coupling constants or strengths as functions of the regularization parameter such that the limit converges. It is important to emphasize that the second term in Hamiltonian (1.3) defines a (singular) rank one perturbation supported on the circle. It turns out that the Hamiltonian in Eq. (1.3) corresponds to the zero angular momentum sector of delta potentials supported on a circle. (Similar models together with some regular potentials have been studied in Ref. 27 using quasi boundary triples. For an alternative treatment of scattering applied to the co-existing point and line defects, see also the recent work.²⁸)

For the sake of brevity, we only present the construction of the self-adjoint operator associated with the first system (i) and skip the technical details of the construction of the self-adjoint Hamiltonian associated with the other systems (ii)–(iv) since the idea of construction is essentially the same. One of the main results of this paper is to give explicitly the bound state energies and differential cross sections for systems (i)–(ii). The physical motivation of considering systems in (i) and (ii) is based on the extension of previously leaky curves/surfaces described in Ref. 20 to the case where impurities in the semiconductor can be modeled by point delta interactions in the low energy approximation (we restrict the problem to the l = 0 sector) for simplicity. Moreover, we show that the change in the bound state energies under small deformations in the normal directions of the circle/sphere can be similarly studied to the first order in the deformation. An interesting observation here is that the first order perturbative calculation of the bound state energy gives the same result as the rank one perturbation supported by a circle/sphere with a radius increased by the average of the deformation. The method developed in this paper is in fact rather general and can also be applied to rank one perturbation supported by curves and surfaces, in principle.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we explicitly show that there exists a self-adjoint operator associated with the initial formal Hamiltonian where the interaction contains a rank one perturbation supported by a circle centered at the origin and a point outside of this circle (point being inside does not present any difficulties; it can equally be considered). Then, we briefly discuss the bound state analysis and scattering solutions. Section III deals with the bound state spectrum and scattering states for the rank one perturbation supported by a sphere centered at the origin and a point outside of the sphere. Moreover, we study how the small deformations of the circle and sphere in the normal directions change the bound state spectrum and scattering properties in Secs. IV and V. Finally, Appendix is devoted to the Trotter–Kato theorem, which is needed to prove the self-adjointness of the Hamiltonian.

Notation. In our formulas, we often use the Dirac notation for the inner products; however, this notation is particularly designed for selfadjoint operators (often, the distinction between symmetric and self-adjoint is ignored in physics literature). Here, we need to keep in mind that the operators appearing in our expressions always act on the right, unless specified otherwise. We shall also use notations * and \dagger for the complex conjugation of a complex number and an adjoint of an operator, respectively. The Dirac delta function supported by a point **a** is defined on the test functions ψ by $\langle \delta_{\mathbf{a}} | \psi \rangle := \psi(\mathbf{a})$. Similarly, the Dirac delta function δ_{Γ} supported by the curve Γ and the Dirac delta function δ_{Σ} supported by the surface Σ are defined by their action on ψ ,²⁹

$$\langle \delta_{\Gamma} | \psi \rangle = \langle \Gamma | \psi \rangle \coloneqq \frac{1}{L(\Gamma)} \int_{\Gamma} \psi \, ds, \tag{1.4}$$

$$\langle \delta_{\Sigma} | \psi \rangle = \langle \Sigma | \psi \rangle \coloneqq \frac{1}{A(\Sigma)} \int_{\Sigma} \psi \, dA,$$
 (1.5)

where ds is the integration element over curve Γ and dA is the integration element over the surface. For the circle $\Gamma = S^1$, $ds = Rd\theta$ and $L(\Gamma) = 2\pi R$. For the sphere $\Sigma = S^2$, $dA = R^2 \sin \theta d\theta d\phi$ and $A(\Sigma) = 4\pi R^2$. The volume elements in \mathbb{R}^n are denoted by $d^n r$. The formal expressions $|\mathbf{a}\rangle\langle \mathbf{a}|, |\Gamma\rangle\langle \Gamma|$, and $|\Sigma\rangle\langle \Sigma|$ are also written as $\langle \delta_{\mathbf{a}}, \cdot \rangle \delta_{\Gamma}$, and $\langle \delta_{\Sigma}, \cdot \rangle \delta_{\Sigma}$, respectively, in the literature.

II. RANK ONE PERTURBATION SUPPORTED BY A CIRCLE AND A POINT IN \mathbb{R}^2

We first consider the rank one perturbation supported by a circle and a point, given formally in Dirac's notation by

$$H_{a\Gamma} = H_0 - \lambda_1 |\mathbf{a}\rangle \langle \mathbf{a}| - \lambda_2 |\Gamma\rangle \langle \Gamma|, \qquad (2.1)$$

where Γ is the circle centered at the origin with radius *R* and $D(H_0) = H^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. We shall use units such that $\hbar = 2m = 1$ for simplicity. In order to make sense of the above expression, we first regularize the Hamiltonian $H_{a\Gamma}$ by heat kernel $K_{\epsilon/2}$ in the following way:

$$H_{a\Gamma, \epsilon} = H_0 - \lambda_1(\epsilon) |\mathbf{a}^{\epsilon}\rangle \langle \mathbf{a}^{\epsilon}| - \lambda_2 |\Gamma^{\epsilon}\rangle \langle \Gamma^{\epsilon}|, \qquad (2.2)$$

where

$$\langle \mathbf{a}^{\epsilon} | \psi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_{\epsilon/2}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{a}) \psi(\mathbf{r}) \ d^2 r, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\langle \Gamma^{\epsilon} | \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{L(S^1)} \int_{S^1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_{\epsilon/2}(\mathbf{r}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}(s)) \psi(\mathbf{r}) \ d^2 r \right) ds.$$
(2.4)

Here, $\epsilon > 0$ is the regularization parameter or cutoff and $\gamma(s) = (R \cos(s/R), R \sin(s/R))$ is the parameterization of the circle S^1 . The explicit form of the heat kernel in \mathbb{R}^n is given by³⁰

$$K_{\epsilon/2}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}') = \frac{1}{(2\pi\epsilon)^{n/2}} e^{-|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|^2/2\epsilon}.$$
(2.5)

The strength or coupling constant of the point Dirac delta interaction, denoted by λ_1 , is considered to be a function of $\epsilon > 0$, whose explicit form will be determined later. In this way, $H_{a\Gamma,\epsilon}$ becomes a finite rank perturbation of the free Hamiltonian so that it is self-adjoint on the domain of H_0 thanks to the Kato–Rellich theorem.³¹ This choice of the regularization is based on the fact that the heat kernel converges to the Dirac delta function as $\epsilon \to 0^+$ in the distributional sense. This is an especially natural choice if we consider delta potentials in manifolds,^{32,33} and here, it is not only useful for the regularization but also allows us to approximate a singular interaction supported by a circle with a more regular one. It is important to emphasize that expressions (2.3) and (2.4) are well defined for functions ψ in $H^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ using the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality and reproducing property of the heat kernel $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_{\epsilon/2}(\mathbf{r_1}, \mathbf{r}) K_{\epsilon/2}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r_2}) d^2 r = K_{\epsilon}(\mathbf{r_1}, \mathbf{r_2})$. For simplicity, let us define $|f_1(\epsilon)\rangle = |\mathbf{a}^{\epsilon}\rangle$ and $|f_2(\epsilon)\rangle = |\Gamma^{\epsilon}\rangle$. Then, we have the following first result.

Proposition 2.1. Let $\lambda_1(\epsilon)$ be a continuous function of ϵ , which converges to zero as $\epsilon \to 0^+$, and $\lambda_2 > 0$ be an arbitrary positive real number. The resolvent of the regularized Hamiltonian

$$H_{a\Gamma,\epsilon} = H_0 - \sum_{i=1}^{2} \lambda_i(\epsilon) |f_i(\epsilon)\rangle \langle f_i(\epsilon)|$$
(2.6)

is given by

$$R(\epsilon, E) = R_0(E) + R_0(E) \sum_{i,j=1}^2 |f_i(\epsilon)\rangle \left(\Phi^{-1}(\epsilon, E)\right)_{ij} \langle f_j(\epsilon)|R_0(E),$$
(2.7)

where

$$\Phi_{ij}(\epsilon, E) = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{\lambda_i(\epsilon)} - \langle f_i(\epsilon) | R_0(E) | f_j(\epsilon) \rangle, \qquad (2.8)$$

and its resolvent set is given by $\rho(H_{a\Gamma,\epsilon}) = \{E \in \rho(H_0) : \det(\Phi(\epsilon, E)) \neq 0 \text{ for all } \epsilon > 0\}.$

Remark 2.2. As emphasized in the Introduction, here, Dirac's notation $\langle \tilde{f}_i(\epsilon) | R_0(E) | \tilde{f}_i(\epsilon) \rangle$ should be interpreted as $\langle \tilde{f}_i(\epsilon) | R_0(E) \tilde{f}_i(\epsilon) \rangle$.

Proof. To find the resolvent of the regularized Hamiltonian (2.2), we need to solve the inhomogenous Schrödinger equation

$$(H_{a\Gamma, c} - E)|\psi\rangle = |\rho\rangle \tag{2.9}$$

for a given function $\langle \mathbf{r} | \rho \rangle = \rho(\mathbf{r}) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. The existence of the solution is guaranteed by the basic self-adjointness criteria Ran $(H_{a\Gamma, \epsilon} - E)$ = $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for at least one *E* in the upper half-plane and one in the lower half-plane.³¹ It is useful to express the interaction as the sum of the rescaled projection operators,

$$H_{a\Gamma, \epsilon} = H_0 - \sum_{j=1}^2 |\tilde{f}_j(\epsilon)\rangle \langle \tilde{f}_j(\epsilon)|, \qquad (2.10)$$

where $|\tilde{f}_i(\epsilon)\rangle = \sqrt{\lambda_i(\epsilon)}|f_i(\epsilon)\rangle$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Then, applying the free resolvent $R_0(E) = (H_0 - E)^{-1}$ defined on the resolvent set $\rho(H_0) = \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty)$ to Eq. (2.9), we find

$$|\psi\rangle = R_0(E)|\rho\rangle + R_0(E)\sum_{j=1}^2 |\tilde{f}_j(\epsilon)\rangle \langle \tilde{f}_j(\epsilon)|\psi\rangle.$$
(2.11)

The right-hand side of this expression involves unknown complex numbers $\langle \tilde{f}_j(\epsilon) | \psi \rangle$. In order to find them, we project this equation onto $\langle \tilde{f}_i(\epsilon) |$ and isolate the j = ith term in the summation to get the following matrix equation:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2} \tilde{\Phi}_{ij}(\epsilon, E) \langle \tilde{f}_{j}(\epsilon) | \psi \rangle = \langle \tilde{f}_{i}(\epsilon) | R_{0}(E) | \rho \rangle,$$
(2.12)

where

$$\tilde{\Phi}_{ij}(\epsilon, E) = \begin{cases} 1 - \langle \tilde{f}_i(\epsilon) | R_0(E) | \tilde{f}_i(\epsilon) \rangle, & i = j, \\ -\langle \tilde{f}_i(\epsilon) | R_0(E) | \tilde{f}_j(\epsilon) \rangle, & i \neq j. \end{cases}$$
(2.13)

Assume that the matrix $\hat{\Phi}$ is invertible for some subset of the free resolvent set to be determined below. Then, the solution of (2.12) exists and is unique. Substituting this solution into (2.11), we get

$$|\psi\rangle = R_0(E)|\rho\rangle + R_0(E)\sum_{i,j=1}^2 |\tilde{f}_i\rangle (\tilde{\Phi}^{-1}(\epsilon, E))_{ij} (\tilde{f}_j | R_0(E) | \rho).$$
(2.14)

Then, the resolvent of the regularized Hamiltonian can be directly read from the above result,

$$R(\epsilon, E) = R_0(E) + R_0(E) \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} |\tilde{f}_i(\epsilon)\rangle \left(\tilde{\Phi}^{-1}(\epsilon, E)\right)_{ij} \langle \tilde{f}_j(\epsilon) | R_0(E).$$

$$(2.15)$$

It is convenient to express the above sum in the following way:

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{2} |\tilde{f}_{i}(\epsilon)\rangle \big(\tilde{\Phi}^{-1}(\epsilon, E)\big)_{ij} \langle \tilde{f}_{j}(\epsilon)| = \operatorname{Tr}\big(\tilde{F}(\epsilon)\tilde{\Phi}^{-1}(\epsilon, E)\big),$$
(2.16)

where we have defined the matrix $\tilde{F}_{ij} := |\tilde{f}_i\rangle\langle \tilde{f}_j|$. If we define the diagonal matrix $D_{ij}(\epsilon) := \sqrt{\lambda_i(\epsilon)}\delta_{ij}$, we can decompose $\tilde{F} = DFD$, where $F_{ij} = |f_i\rangle\langle f_j|$. This helps us to write the summation term (2.16) as $\operatorname{Tr}(\tilde{F}\Phi^{-1}) = \operatorname{Tr}(DFD\Phi^{-1}) = \operatorname{Tr}(FD\Phi^{-1}D) = \operatorname{Tr}(F\Phi^{-1})$, where Φ is related

to $\tilde{\Phi}$ by a similarity transformation $\Phi = D^{-1}\tilde{\Phi}D^{-1}$ and given by

$$\Phi_{ij}(\epsilon, E) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\lambda_i(\epsilon)} - \langle f_i(\epsilon) | R_0(E) | f_i(\epsilon) \rangle, & i = j, \\ -\langle f_i(\epsilon) | R_0(E) | f_j(\epsilon) \rangle, & i \neq j. \end{cases}$$
(2.17)

Hence, we explicitly find the resolvent formula for the regularized Hamiltonian (2.7).

We now show that $E \in \rho(H_0)$ lies in the resolvent set for $(H_{a\Gamma,\epsilon} - E)$ if and only if the matrix $\Phi(\epsilon, E)$ is invertible. To prove this, we first assume that $\Phi(\epsilon, E)$ is invertible for some values of $E \in \rho(H_0)$. From the triangle inequality, we have

$$\|R(\epsilon, E)|\psi\rangle\| \le \|R_0(E)|\psi\rangle\| + 4\max_{1\le i,j\le 2} |(\Phi^{-1}(\epsilon, E))_{ij}| |\langle f_j(\epsilon)|R_0(E)|\psi\rangle| \|R_0(E)|f_i(\epsilon)\rangle\|.$$
(2.18)

We need to show that the right-hand side of this inequality is a bounded function of *E* where *E* must lie in $\rho(H_0)$ and satisfy det $\Phi(\epsilon, E) \neq 0$. Moreover, this bound must also be a regular function of ϵ since we will consider the limiting case as $\epsilon \to 0^+$ by appropriately choosing $\lambda_1(\epsilon)$, as we will show later on.

A direct application of the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality to the inner product in the right-hand side of the above inequality does not yield a regular estimate in ϵ since the norm of the function $f_i(\epsilon)$ is not regular. For this reason, we may think that the adjoint of the bounded free resolvent operator acts on the first entry in the inner product and then apply the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality,

$$|\langle f_i(\epsilon)|R_0(E)|\psi\rangle| \le ||R_0(E^*)|f_i(\epsilon)\rangle|| ||\psi|| < \infty,$$
(2.19)

where we have used the fact that $R_0^{\dagger}(E) = R_0(E^*)$. Since *E* is inside the resolvent set of H_0 , the expression $||R_0(E)|f_i(\epsilon)\rangle||$ and the inner product on the right-hand side of inequality (2.18) are finite as long as $|f_i(\epsilon)\rangle$ lies in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. However, we must also show that their bounds must be regular in ϵ as $\epsilon \to 0^+$. It is easy to see that

$$\|R_0(E^*)|\mathbf{a}^{\epsilon}\rangle\|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|\langle \mathbf{p}|\mathbf{a}^{\epsilon}\rangle|^2}{(p^2 - E)(p^2 - E^*)} \frac{d^2p}{(2\pi)^2}.$$
(2.20)

Using (2.3) and the explicit form of the heat kernel (2.5), we find that

$$\langle \mathbf{p} | \mathbf{a}^{\epsilon} \rangle = \frac{e^{-i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{a}}}{2\pi\epsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-i\mathbf{p}\cdot(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{a})} e^{-\frac{|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{a}|^2}{2\epsilon}} d^2 r.$$
(2.21)

By writing the integral in polar coordinates and using the integral representation of the Bessel function of the first kind $J_0(x)$,

$$J_0(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-ix \cos \theta} \, d\theta,$$
 (2.22)

and the formula³⁴

$$\int_0^\infty x^{\nu+1} e^{-\alpha x^2} J_\nu(\beta x) \ dx = \frac{\beta^\nu}{(2\alpha)^{\nu+1}} \ e^{-\frac{\beta^2}{4\alpha}},$$
(2.23)

we get

$$\langle \mathbf{p} | \mathbf{a}^{\epsilon} \rangle = e^{-i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{a}} \ e^{-\epsilon p^2/2}. \tag{2.24}$$

Substituting this result into (2.20) yields the following bound:

$$\|R_0(E^*)|\mathbf{a}^{\epsilon}\rangle\|^2 \le \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\epsilon p^2} p}{|p^4 - 2p^2 \operatorname{Re}(E) + (\operatorname{Re}(E)^2 + \operatorname{Im}(E)^2)|} \, dp.$$
(2.25)

J. Math. Phys. **63**, 123505 (2022); doi: 10.1063/5.0090401 Published under an exclusive license by AIP Publishing Except for the positive real *E* axis, the above integral converges and one can estimate its upper bound if Re(E) < 0 by

$$\|R_0(E^*)|\mathbf{a}^{\epsilon}\rangle\|^2 \le \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\epsilon p^2} p}{p^4 + A} \, dp,\tag{2.26}$$

where $A = \text{Re}(E)^2 + \text{Im}(E)^2$. Thanks to the result (3.354) given in Ref. 34, we can evaluate the above integral so that

$$\|R_0(E^*)|\mathbf{a}^{\epsilon}\rangle\|^2 \le \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{A}} \Big(\operatorname{ci}(\epsilon\sqrt{A})\sin(\epsilon\sqrt{A}) - \operatorname{si}(\epsilon\sqrt{A})\cos(\epsilon\sqrt{A})\Big),\tag{2.27}$$

where $si(x) = -\int_x^{\infty} \frac{\sin t}{t} dt$ is the sine integral function and $ci(x) = -\int_x^{\infty} \frac{\cos t}{t} dt$ is the cosine integral function. It is easy to see that this bound is a regular function of ϵ for all $A \neq 0$.

 $If \operatorname{Re}(E) > 0,$

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_{0}(E^{*})|\mathbf{a}^{\epsilon}\rangle\|^{2} &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\epsilon u}}{(u - \operatorname{Re}(E))^{2} + \operatorname{Im}(E)^{2}} \, du \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\operatorname{Re}(E)}^{0} \frac{e^{-\epsilon(v + \operatorname{Re}(E))}}{v^{2} + \operatorname{Im}(E)^{2}} \, dv + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\epsilon(v + \operatorname{Re}(E))}}{v^{2} + \operatorname{Im}(E)^{2}} \, dv \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\operatorname{Re}(E)}^{0} \frac{1}{v^{2} + \operatorname{Im}(E)^{2}} \, dv + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\epsilon v}}{v^{2} + \operatorname{Im}(E)^{2}} \, dv, \end{aligned}$$
(2.28)

which are finite and regular in ϵ by the same reason given above. We can similarly show that the norm

$$\|R_0(E^*)|\Gamma^{\epsilon}\rangle\|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|\langle \mathbf{p}|\Gamma^{\epsilon}\rangle|^2}{(p^2 - E)(p^2 - E^*)} \frac{d^2p}{(2\pi)^2}$$
(2.29)

is a bounded function of *E* on $\rho(H_0)$ and regular in ϵ . For this, we need to find

$$\langle \mathbf{p} | \Gamma^{\epsilon} \rangle = \frac{1}{L} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \left(\int_{S^1} K_{\epsilon/2}(\mathbf{r}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}(s)) \, ds \right) d^2 r.$$
(2.30)

Using the explicit expression of the heat kernel (2.5) and the integral representation of the modified Bessel function of the first kind,³⁵

$$I_0(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{x \cos \theta} d\theta,$$
 (2.31)

we get

$$\int_{S^1} K_{\epsilon/2}(\mathbf{r}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}(s)) \, ds = \frac{R}{\epsilon} \, e^{-\frac{(r^2 + R^2)}{2\epsilon}} \, I_0\left(\frac{R}{\epsilon}r\right). \tag{2.32}$$

Then, from the result (6.633) in Ref. 34,

$$\int_0^\infty x \, e^{-\alpha x^2} I_\nu(\beta x) J_\nu(\gamma x) \, dx = \frac{1}{2\alpha} e^{\frac{(\beta^2 - \gamma^2)}{4\alpha}} J_\nu\left(\frac{\beta \gamma}{2\alpha}\right),\tag{2.33}$$

we obtain

$$\langle \mathbf{p} | \Gamma^e \rangle = e^{-\frac{ep^2}{2}} J_0(pR).$$
(2.34)

Combining all these results yield

$$\|R_0(E^*)|\Gamma^{\epsilon}\rangle\|^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{p \, e^{-\epsilon p^2} J_0^2(pR)}{(p^2 - E)(p^2 - E^*)} \, dp.$$
(2.35)

Since $J_0^2(pR) \le 1$, we obtain the same form of estimates (2.27) and (2.28) as above. All these imply that $R(\epsilon, E)$ is bounded if $E \in \rho(H_0)$ and satisfies det $(\Phi(\epsilon, E)) \ne 0$; hence, $E \in \rho(H_{a\Gamma,\epsilon})$.

Conversely, if $E \in \rho(H_{a\Gamma,e})$, then det $(\Phi(e, E)) \neq 0$. For this, suppose that $E \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty)$ satisfies det $(\Phi(e, E)) = 0$. We need to show that $E \notin \rho(H_{a\Gamma,e})$ or E lies in the spectrum of $H_{a\Gamma,e}$ or, in particular, E is an eigenvalue of $H_{a\Gamma,e}$,

$$H_{a\Gamma,\,\epsilon}\left|\psi\right\rangle = E\left|\psi\right\rangle,\tag{2.36}$$

for some non-zero $|\psi\rangle \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. The above eigenvalue problem for the regularized Hamiltonian is equivalent to the problem of finding non-trivial solution $\langle \tilde{f}_j(\epsilon) | \psi \rangle$ of Eq. (2.12) with $| \rho \rangle = | 0 \rangle$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2} \tilde{\Phi}_{ij}(\epsilon, E) \langle \tilde{f}_{j}(\epsilon) | \psi \rangle = 0.$$
(2.37)

Since Eq. (2.37) is derived from the eigenvalue equation (2.36) of the regularized Hamiltonian, the set of *E* in (2.36) must also satisfy Eq. (2.37). To prove the converse, we first need to show that $\langle f_i(\epsilon) | R_0(E) | f_j(\epsilon) \rangle \neq 0$ for all *i*, *j*. Otherwise, $\tilde{\Phi}$ would be an identity matrix, which is clearly invertible. Then, Eq. (2.37) implies that $\langle \tilde{f}_j(\epsilon) | \psi \rangle = 0$ for all *j*. Expanding explicitly the form of the matrix $\tilde{\Phi}$ in (2.37) and using the above fact, it follows that *E* must satisfy the eigenvalue equation for the regularized Hamiltonian. Hence, we have a non-trivial solution of the above linear equation (2.37) for $\langle \tilde{f}_j(\epsilon) | \psi \rangle$ with some $| \psi \rangle \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ if and only if det $\tilde{\Phi}(\epsilon, E) = \det \Phi(\epsilon, E) = 0$.

Now, we consider the limiting case as $\epsilon \to 0$ to properly define the initial formal Hamiltonian. For this reason, we choose $\lambda_1(\epsilon)$ in such a way that the regularized Hamiltonian has a reasonable and non-trivial limit as we remove the cut-off parameter, that is, as $\epsilon \to 0^+$.

Proposition 2.3. Let $\Phi_{ij}(E) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \Phi_{ij}(\epsilon, E)$ and E be a real negative number that satisfies det $\Phi(E) \neq 0$. Then, the resolvent $R(\epsilon, E)$ of the regularized Hamiltonian $H_{a\Gamma,\epsilon}$ converges strongly to the expression R(E), given by

$$R(E) := R_0(E) + R_0(E) \sum_{i,j=1}^2 |f_i\rangle \left(\Phi^{-1}(E)\right)_{ij} \langle f_j | R_0(E),$$
(2.38)

as $\epsilon \to 0^+$. Here, for j = 1, $\langle \mathbf{a} | R_0(E)$ is an integral operator whose kernel is given by $R_0(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x} | E)$, and for j = 2, $\langle \Sigma | R_0(E)$ is an integral operator whose kernel is given by $\frac{1}{L(\Gamma)} \int_{\Gamma} R_0(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}(s) | E) ds$.

Proof. We begin the proof by calculating the matrix elements of $\Phi(\epsilon, E)$ in the limit $\epsilon \to 0^+$ for negative real values of *E*. The off-diagonal elements of the matrix $\Phi(\epsilon, E)$ for $E = -v^2$ with v > 0 in the limit $\epsilon \to 0^+$ can be directly calculated using the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem and the integral³⁴

$$\int_0^\infty J_{\xi}(ax) J_{\xi}(bx) \frac{x}{x^2 + c^2} \, dx = \begin{cases} K_{\xi}(ac) I_{\xi}(bc), & 0 < b < a, \\ K_{\xi}(bc) I_{\xi}(ac), & 0 < a < b, \end{cases}$$
(2.39)

for $\operatorname{Re}(\xi) > -1$, so that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \Phi_{12}(\epsilon, -\nu^2) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \Phi_{21}(\epsilon, -\nu^2) = -\lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \langle \mathbf{a}^\epsilon | R_0(-\nu^2) | \Gamma^\epsilon \rangle$$
$$= -\frac{1}{2\pi} K_0(a\nu) I_0(R\nu).$$
(2.40)

The limit of the second diagonal term of the matrix $\Phi(\epsilon, -\nu^2)$ as $\epsilon \to 0^+$ can be evaluated easily thanks to the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, so we have

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \Phi_{22}(\epsilon, -\nu^2) = \frac{1}{\lambda_2} - \langle \Gamma^{\epsilon} | R_0(\epsilon, -\nu^2) | \Gamma^{\epsilon} \rangle = \frac{1}{\lambda_2} - \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|\langle \mathbf{p} | \Gamma^{\epsilon} \rangle|^2}{p^2 + \nu^2} \frac{d^2 p}{(2\pi)^2}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\lambda_2} - \frac{1}{2\pi} I_0(\nu R) K_0(\nu R),$$
(2.41)

where we have used result (2.34) and the continuity of integral (2.39) in the limiting case $a \rightarrow b$. The $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ limit of the first diagonal element of the matrix $\Phi(\epsilon, E)$ in (2.17) includes the following term:

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \langle \mathbf{a}^{\epsilon} | R_0(-\nu^2) | \mathbf{a}^{\epsilon} \rangle = \int_0^\infty K_t(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}) e^{-t\nu^2} dt.$$
(2.42)

This is divergent due to the singular behavior of the heat kernel around t = 0 in two and three dimensions. In line with the well-known idea of renormalization in field theory models, we introduce a new parameter $\mu > 0$ and make the following choice of the coupling constant λ_1 as

a function of the regularization parameter ϵ :

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_1(\epsilon)} \coloneqq \int_0^\infty K_{t+\epsilon}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}) e^{-t\mu^2} dt.$$
(2.43)

After substituting (2.43) for the first diagonal element of the matrix (2.17) for $E = -v^2$ and then taking the limit as $\epsilon \to 0^+$, we get

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \Phi_{11}(\epsilon, -\nu^2) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \log(\nu^2/\mu^2).$$
(2.44)

Hence, we denote the limit of the matrix $\Phi(\epsilon, -\nu^2)$ as $\Phi(-\nu^2)$, and it is given by

$$\Phi(-v^{2}) := \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{4\pi} \log\left(\frac{v^{2}}{\mu^{2}}\right) & -\frac{1}{2\pi} K_{0}(va) I_{0}(vR) \\ -\frac{1}{2\pi} K_{0}(va) I_{0}(vR) & \frac{1}{\lambda_{2}} - \frac{1}{2\pi} K_{0}(vR) I_{0}(vR) \end{pmatrix}.$$
(2.45)

The formal limit of resolvent (2.7) of the regularized Hamiltonian as we take $\epsilon \to 0^+$ is given by (2.38) for $E = -v^2$, which satisfies det $\Phi(E) \neq 0$, and the matrix Φ is given by (2.45). Here, $|f_1\rangle = |\mathbf{a}\rangle$ and $|f_2\rangle = |\Gamma\rangle$.

It remains to show that the above regularized resolvent (2.7) converges strongly to expression (2.38) as $\epsilon \to 0^+$ for real negative values of *E* that satisfy det $\Phi(E) \neq 0$, that is,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \left\| \left(R(\epsilon, E) - R(E) \right) \right\| = 0 \tag{2.46}$$

for any $|f\rangle \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $E \in \rho(H_{a\Gamma,e})$. Since *E* is assumed to satisfy det $\Phi(E) \neq 0$, we conclude that det $\Phi(e, E) \neq 0$ for sufficiently small e > 0. Then, if we show that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \|R_0(E)|f_i(\epsilon)\rangle - R_0(E)|f_i\rangle\| = 0,$$
(2.47)

the strong convergence of the resolvent easily follows. The above condition is easy to check once we write it explicitly,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \|R_0(E)|f_i(\epsilon)\rangle - R_0(E)|f_i\rangle\|^2 = \begin{cases} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(1 + e^{-\epsilon p^2} - 2e^{-\epsilon p^2/2}\right) \frac{1}{(p^2 - E)^2} \frac{d^2 p}{(2\pi)^2} & \text{for } i = 1, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(1 + e^{-\epsilon p^2} - 2e^{-\epsilon p^2/2}\right) \frac{J_0^2(pR)}{(p^2 - E)^2} \frac{d^2 p}{(2\pi)^2} & \text{for } i = 2, \end{cases}$$
(2.48)

where we have used Eqs. (2.24) and (2.34). Then, the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem implies that this limit is zero.

Remark 2.4. Applying the method of renormalization to find well-defined results for point Dirac delta potentials in two and three dimensions is actually well known; see, e.g., Refs. 25 and 26.

Remark 2.5. If the point delta term is absent in the model, the resulting resolvent associated with $H_0 - \lambda_2 |\Gamma\rangle \langle \Gamma |$ is simply given by

$$R(E) = R_0(E) + R_0(E)|\Gamma\rangle\Phi^{-1}(E)\langle\Gamma|R_0(E),$$
(2.49)

where the function Φ is given by Eq. (2.41). Since the poles of the resolvent determine the bound state energies, zeroes of the function

$$\Phi(E = -v^2) = \frac{1}{\lambda_2} - \frac{1}{2\pi} K_0(vR) I_0(vR)$$
(2.50)

are the bound state energies of this system. This equation is exactly the same as Eq. (2.4) given in Ref. 15 written for the zero angular momentum sector up to rescaling of the coupling constant [$\alpha = \lambda_2/(2\pi R)$]. In Ref. 15, all angular momentum sectors are taken into account, whereas the second interaction term that we consider here is the rank one perturbation associated with the delta function supported on a circle.

It is now natural to ask whether the above limiting expression is the resolvent of some self-adjoint operator. This can be answered affirmatively by following the ideas given in Ref. 1 or in Ref. 36. Here, we essentially follow a similar argument presented in Ref. 24 developed for the point interactions in the plane.

Lemma 2.1. Let *E* be a real negative number that satisfies det $\Phi(E) \neq 0$. Then, R(E) is invertible.

Proof. We first show that the limit operator R(E) for the real negative values of E that satisfies det $\Phi(E) \neq 0$ is invertible (equivalently, $\text{Ker}(R(E)) = \{|0\rangle\}$). Suppose that $R(E)|f\rangle = |0\rangle$ for some $|f\rangle \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. From the explicit expression of the operator R(E) given by (2.38) and writing the equation in momentum representation, we find

$$\hat{f}(\mathbf{p}) = -\sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \frac{\langle \mathbf{p} | f_i \rangle}{p^2 - E} \Big[\Phi^{-1}(E) \Big]_{ij} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \langle f_j | R_0(E) | \mathbf{q} \rangle \langle \mathbf{q} | f \rangle \frac{d^2 q}{(2\pi)^2}.$$
(2.51)

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \langle \mathbf{a} | R_{0}(E) | \mathbf{q} \rangle \langle \mathbf{q} | \psi \rangle \frac{d^{2}q}{(2\pi)^{2}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{a}}}{q^{2} - E} f(\mathbf{q}) \frac{d^{2}q}{(2\pi)^{2}}$$

$$\leq \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{q}{(q^{2} - E)^{2}} \frac{dq}{2\pi} \right)^{1/2} \| f \| < \infty$$
(2.52)

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \langle \Gamma | R_{0}(E) | \mathbf{q} \rangle \langle \mathbf{q} | \psi \rangle \frac{d^{2}q}{(2\pi)^{2}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{J_{0}(qR)}{q^{2} - E} f(\mathbf{q}) \frac{d^{2}q}{(2\pi)^{2}}$$
$$\leq \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{q}{(q^{2} - E)^{2}} \frac{dq}{2\pi} \right)^{1/2} \| f \| < \infty.$$
(2.53)

With bounds (2.52) and (2.53), we show that

$$\hat{f}(\mathbf{p}) = -\left[e^{-i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{a}}\left[\Phi^{-1}(E)\right]_{11}C_1 + e^{-i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{a}}\left[\Phi^{-1}(E)\right]_{12}C_2 + J_0(pR)\left[\Phi^{-1}(E)\right]_{21}C_2 + J_0(pR)\left[\Phi^{-1}(E)\right]_{22}C_1\right],$$
(2.54)

where C_1 , C_2 are finite real numbers and E is a negative real number that satisfies det $\Phi(E) \neq 0$. However, this solution $\hat{f}(\mathbf{p})$ cannot be in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ unless $|f\rangle = |0\rangle$.

This lemma allows us to define an operator H depending on the parameter μ via

$$R(E) := (H_{a\Gamma}(\mu) - E)^{-1}$$
(2.55)

for the above values of E. From now on, we suppress the dependence of the Hamiltonian on the parameter μ for simplicity.

Theorem 2.6. For complex *E* not in det $\Phi(E) = 0$ and $[0, \infty)$, the resolvent $R(\epsilon, E)$ of regularized Hamiltonian $H_{a\Gamma,\epsilon}$ converges strongly to R(E). Furthermore, there exists a self-adjoint operator $H_{a\Gamma}$ such that $R(E) = (H_{a\Gamma} - E)^{-1}$.

Proof. Using the above preliminary steps together with a version of the Trotter–Kato theorem, quoted in Appendix (see also Ref. 24), it follows that the limit $R(\epsilon, E)$ converges strongly to R(E) as $\epsilon \to 0^+$ for all complex numbers E except for the interval $[0, \infty)$ and for the values satisfying det $\Phi(E) \neq 0$. Moreover, there exists a self-adjoint operator $H_{a\Gamma}$ such that $R(E) = (H_{a\Gamma} - E)^{-1}$ and the matrix Φ for complex values are defined through its analytic continuation, given by

$$\Phi(k^2) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{4\pi} \log\left(-\frac{k^2}{\mu^2}\right) & -\frac{1}{2\pi} K_0(-ika) I_0(-ikR) \\ -\frac{1}{2\pi} K_0(-ika) I_0(-ikR) & \frac{1}{\lambda_2} - \frac{1}{2\pi} K_0(-ikR) I_0(-ikR) \end{pmatrix},$$
(2.56)

where we parameterize $E = k^2$ with unambiguous square root k with Im(k) > 0 for convenience. We shall call this matrix as principal matrix from now on.

Theorem 2.7. The domain of the self-adjoint operator $H_{a\Gamma}$ defined by its resolvent $R(E) = (H_{a\Gamma} - E)^{-1}$ consists of all functions $\psi(\mathbf{r})$ in the following form for $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{\mathbf{a} \cup \Gamma\}$:

$$\psi(\mathbf{r}) = \phi_k(\mathbf{r}) + \sum_{i,j=1}^2 F_i(\mathbf{r}) \left[\Phi^{-1}(k^2) \right]_{ij} \langle f_j | \phi_k \rangle, \qquad (2.57)$$

ARTICLE

where $F_i(\mathbf{r}) = \langle \mathbf{r} | R_0(k^2) | f_i \rangle$, given explicitly by

$$F_{1}(\mathbf{r}) = \langle \mathbf{r} | R_{0}(k^{2}) | f_{1} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{r} | R_{0}(k^{2}) | \mathbf{a} \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{a})}}{p^{2}-k^{2}} \frac{d^{2}p}{(2\pi)^{2}} = \frac{i}{4} H_{0}^{(1)}(k|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{a}|), \qquad (2.58)$$

$$F_{2}(\mathbf{r}) = \langle \mathbf{r} | R_{0}(k^{2}) | f_{2} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{r} | R_{0}(k^{2}) | \Gamma \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}}}{p^{2}-k^{2}} J_{0}(pR) \frac{d^{2}p}{(2\pi)^{2}} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{pJ_{0}(pr)J_{0}(pR)}{p^{2}-k^{2}} \frac{dp}{(2\pi)} = \frac{i}{4} \Big(H_{0}^{(1)}(kr)J_{0}(kR)\theta(R-r) + H_{0}^{(1)}(kR)J_{0}(kr)\theta(r-R) \Big). \qquad (2.59)$$

Here, $\phi_k \in D(H_0) = H^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $k^2 \in \rho(H)$ with $\operatorname{Im}(k) > 0$. The above decomposition is unique and $(H_{a\Gamma} - k^2)|\psi\rangle = (H_0 - k^2)|\phi_k\rangle$. Moreover, suppose that $D(H_{a\Gamma}) \ni \psi(\mathbf{r}) = 0$ in an open set $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$. Then, $H_{a\Gamma}\psi(\mathbf{r}) = 0$ for all $\mathbf{r} \in U$.

Proof. Suppose $E = k^2$ with unambiguous square root k, where Im(k) > 0 and $\phi_k(\mathbf{r}) \in D(H_0) = H^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Thanks to the self-adjointness of H, we have

$$D(H_{a\Gamma}) = (H_{a\Gamma} - k^2)^{-1} L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) = (H_{a\Gamma} - k^2)^{-1} (H_0 - k^2) D(H_0).$$
(2.60)

Then, using the explicit form of the resolvent formula (2.38), we have the following characterization of the domain of $H_{a\Gamma}$:

$$D(H_{a\Gamma}) = \left(1 + \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} R_0(k^2) |f_i\rangle \left[\Phi^{-1}(k^2)\right]_{ij} \langle f_j | \right) D(H_0).$$
(2.61)

This means that the domain of $H_{a\Gamma}$ consists of all functions of the following form:

$$\psi(\mathbf{r}) = \phi_k(\mathbf{r}) + \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \langle \mathbf{r} | R_0(k^2) | f_i \rangle \left[\Phi^{-1}(k^2) \right]_{ij} \langle f_j | \phi_k \rangle, \qquad (2.62)$$

where $\langle \mathbf{a} | \phi_k \rangle = \phi_k(\mathbf{a}), \langle \Gamma | \phi_k \rangle = \frac{1}{L} \int_{S^1} \phi_k(\boldsymbol{y}(s)) \, ds$. Note that the point evaluation and integral over the curve here for $\phi_k \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ are well defined thanks to the Sobolev embedding theorem $H^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow C^l(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with the condition $2 > l + \frac{n}{2}, \frac{30,37}{2}$ that is, there exist unique continuous representatives for (equivalence classes of) functions in $H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and we use this representative for ϕ_k here. We have evaluated the last integral by the analytic continuation of result (2.39) and used the fact that $K_0(z) = \frac{i\pi}{2} H_0^{(1)}(e^{i\pi/2}z)$ and $I_0(z) = e^{-i\pi/2} J_0(e^{i\pi/2}z)$ for $-\pi < \arg(z) < \pi/2, 35$ where $H_0^{(1)}$ is the zeroth order Hankel function of the first kind. Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \psi(\mathbf{r}) &= \phi_{k}(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{i}{4} H_{0}^{(1)}(k|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{a}|) \Big(\left[\Phi^{-1}(k^{2}) \right]_{11} \phi_{k}(\mathbf{a}) + \left[\Phi^{-1}(k^{2}) \right]_{12} \Big(\frac{1}{L} \int_{S^{1}} \phi_{k}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}(s)) \ ds \Big) \Big) \\ &+ \frac{i}{4} \Big(H_{0}^{(1)}(kr) J_{0}(kR) \theta(R - r) + H_{0}^{(1)}(kR) J_{0}(kr) \theta(r - R) \Big) \\ &\times \Big(\left[\Phi^{-1}(k^{2}) \right]_{21} \phi_{k}(\mathbf{a}) + \left[\Phi^{-1}(k^{2}) \right]_{22} \Big(\frac{1}{L} \int_{S^{1}} \phi_{k}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}(s)) \ ds \Big) \Big). \end{split}$$
(2.63)

Indeed, this decomposition (2.63) is unique. For this, let us set $\psi(\mathbf{r}) = 0$ identically. Then, it follows from the above decomposition that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{k}(\mathbf{r}) &= -\frac{i}{4} H_{0}^{(1)}(k|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{a}|) \left(\left[\Phi^{-1}(k^{2}) \right]_{11} \phi_{k}(\mathbf{a}) + \left[\Phi^{-1}(k^{2}) \right]_{12} \left(\frac{1}{L} \int_{S^{1}} \phi_{k}(\mathbf{y}(s)) \ ds \right) \right) \\ &- \frac{i}{4} \left(H_{0}^{(1)}(kr) J_{0}(kR) \theta(R - r) + H_{0}^{(1)}(kR) J_{0}(kr) \theta(r - R) \right) \\ &\times \left(\left[\Phi^{-1}(k^{2}) \right]_{21} \phi_{k}(\mathbf{a}) + \left[\Phi^{-1}(k^{2}) \right]_{22} \left(\frac{1}{L} \int_{S^{1}} \phi_{k}(\mathbf{y}(s)) \ ds \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$
(2.64)

Since the functions $H_0^{(1)}(k|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{a}|)$ and $H_0^{(1)}(kr)J_0(kR)\theta(R - r) + H_0^{(1)}(kR)J_0(kr)\theta(r - R)$ in each term are discontinuous at $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{a}$ and r = R, the function $\phi_k(\mathbf{r})$ can only be continuous if

$$\left[\Phi^{-1}(k^2)\right]_{11}\phi_k(\mathbf{a}) + \left[\Phi^{-1}(k^2)\right]_{12}\left(\frac{1}{L}\int_{S^1}\phi_k(\boldsymbol{\gamma}(s))\ ds\right) = 0,$$
(2.65)

$$\left[\Phi^{-1}(k^2)\right]_{21}\phi_k(\mathbf{a}) + \left[\Phi^{-1}(k^2)\right]_{22}\left(\frac{1}{L}\int_{S^1}\phi_k(\boldsymbol{\gamma}(s))\ ds\right) = 0.$$
(2.66)

Therefore, these conditions imply that decomposition (2.63) is unique. It is also straightforward to show that $(H_{a\Gamma} - k^2)^{-1}(H_0 - k^2)|\phi_k\rangle = |\psi\rangle$, which is equivalent to $(H_{a\Gamma} - k^2)|\psi\rangle = (H_0 - k^2)|\phi_k\rangle$.

After showing the existence of a self-adjoint operator $H_{a\Gamma}$ associated with the resolvent R(E), we may not guarantee that $H_{a\Gamma}$ must be of the form $H_0 + V$ with some operator V. Nevertheless, we can show that $H_{a\Gamma}$ is a local operator in the sense that $\psi(\mathbf{r}) = 0$ in an open set $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ implies that $H_{a\Gamma}\psi(\mathbf{r}) = \langle \mathbf{r}|H_{a\Gamma}|\psi \rangle = 0$. For this, let $\psi(\mathbf{r}) = 0$ for all $\mathbf{r} \in U$. Then, the function $\phi_k(\mathbf{r})$ for $\mathbf{r} \in U$ is given by Eq. (2.64). If $U \cap \{\mathbf{a} \cup \Gamma\} = \emptyset$, the action of $H_0 - k^2$ onto the function $\phi_k(\mathbf{r})$ vanishes. Since $H_0^{(1)}$ is the Green's function of the Helmholtz equation in two dimensions and $J_0(kr)$ satisfies the Helmholtz equation, we get $H_{a\Gamma}\psi(\mathbf{r}) = k^2\psi(\mathbf{r}) + (H_0 - k^2)\phi_k(\mathbf{r}) = 0$ in U. For the case $\mathbf{a} \in U$, the continuity of the function ϕ_k at $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{a}$ from Eq. (2.64) implies that $\left[\Phi^{-1}(k^2)\right]_{11}\phi_k(\mathbf{a}) + \left[\Phi^{-1}(k^2)\right]_{12}\left(\frac{1}{L}\int_{S^1}\phi_k(\mathbf{y}(s))\,ds\right)$ must vanish. Hence, we obtain $H_{a\Gamma}\psi(\mathbf{r}) = 0$ in U.

A. Bound state analysis

Theorem 2.8. Let $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and Γ be the circle centered at the origin with radius R < a. Then, the essential spectrum of $H_{a\Gamma}$ associated with the point delta and the rank one perturbation supported by Γ coincides with the essential spectrum of the free Hamiltonian, i.e., $\sigma_{ess}(H_{a\Gamma}) = \sigma_{ess}(H_0) = [0, \infty)$. Furthermore, the point spectrum $\sigma_p(H_{a\Gamma})$ of $H_{a\Gamma}$ lies in the negative real axis and $H_{a\Gamma}$ has at least one and at most two negative eigenvalues (counting multiplicity). Let $\operatorname{Re}(k) = 0$ and $\operatorname{Im}(k) > 0$. Then, $k^2 \in \sigma_p(H_{a\Gamma})$ if and only if $\det \Phi(k^2) = 0$, and multiplicity (degeneracy) of the eigenvalue k^2 is the same as the multiplicity of this zero eigenvalue of the matrix $\Phi(k^2)$. Moreover, let $E = -v_*^2 < 0$ be an eigenvalue of $H_{a\Gamma}$; then, the eigenfunction $|\psi_{ev}\rangle$ associated with this eigenvalue is given by

$$\psi_{ev}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \langle \mathbf{r} | R_0(-v_*^2) | f_i \rangle A_i,$$

where (A_1, A_2) is an eigenvector corresponding to a zero eigenvalue of $\Phi(-v_*^2)$.

Proof. It is well known that the point spectrum σ_p of an operator $H_{a\Gamma}$ consists of the set of complex numbers E such that $\text{Ker}(H_{a\Gamma} - E) \neq \{|0\rangle\}$. From the explicit expression of the resolvent $R(k^2)$ given by (2.38) for $E = k^2$, the poles of the resolvent for $k^2 < 0$ can only appear if the matrix $\Phi(k^2)$ is singular, that is, if

$$\det \Phi(k^2) = 0. \tag{2.67}$$

Let $|\psi_{ev}\rangle$ be an eigenvector of $H_{a\Gamma}$ with the corresponding eigenvalue $E_{ev} = k_{ev}^2$, i.e.,

$$H_{a\Gamma} |\psi_{ev}\rangle = E_{ev} |\psi_{ev}\rangle, \tag{2.68}$$

where $|\psi_{en}\rangle \in D(H_{a\Gamma})$. Since any function in the domain of $H_{a\Gamma}$ can be decomposed according to Theorem 2.7, we have

$$|\psi_{ev}\rangle = |\phi_k\rangle + \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} R_0(k^2) |f_i\rangle \Big[\Phi^{-1}(k^2) \Big]_{ij} \langle f_j | \phi_k \rangle$$
(2.69)

for some $k^2 \in \rho(H_{a\Gamma})$ with Im(k) > 0 and $|\phi_k\rangle \in D(H_0)$. Actually, Theorem 2.7 provides us another relation between $|\psi_{ev}\rangle$ and $|\phi_k\rangle$,

$$|\phi_k\rangle = (k_{ev}^2 - k^2)R_0(k^2)|\psi_{ev}\rangle.$$
(2.70)

J. Math. Phys. **63**, 123505 (2022); doi: 10.1063/5.0090401 Published under an exclusive license by AIP Publishing Substituting Eq. (2.69) into (2.70), we find

$$|\phi_k\rangle = (k_{ev}^2 - k^2) \left(R_0(k^2) |\phi_k\rangle + \sum_{i,j=1}^2 R_0(k^2) R_0(k^2) |f_i\rangle \left[\Phi^{-1}(k^2) \right]_{ij} \langle f_j |\phi_k\rangle \right).$$
(2.71)

By acting $H_0 - k^2$ on this vector, it yields

$$(H_0 - k_{ev}^2) |\phi_k\rangle = (k_{ev}^2 - k^2) \sum_{i,j=1}^2 R_0(k^2) |f_i\rangle \left[\Phi^{-1}(k^2) \right]_{ij} \langle f_j |\phi_k\rangle.$$
(2.72)

The solution of this in the momentum representation is given by

$$\hat{\phi}_{k}(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{(k_{ev}^{2} - k^{2})}{p^{2} - k_{ev}^{2}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \frac{\langle \mathbf{p} | f_{i} \rangle}{p^{2} - k^{2}} \Big[\Phi^{-1}(k^{2}) \Big]_{ij} \langle f_{j} | \phi_{k} \rangle.$$
(2.73)

If $E_{ev} = k_{ev}^2 \ge 0$, then this equation has no nontrivial solution since $\hat{\phi}_k(\mathbf{p})$ cannot lie in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ unless it is identically zero. This implies that $|\phi_k\rangle \notin L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ thanks to the Plancherel theorem. Hence, $\psi_{ev}(\mathbf{r}) = 0$ for all $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^2$, which proves that there is no non-negative eigenvalue of $H_{a\Gamma}$.

However, if $E_{ev} = k_{ev}^2 = -v_*^2 < 0$ with v > 0, it is legitimate to apply $R_0(-v_*^2)$ on each side of Eq. (2.72) and get

$$|\phi_k\rangle = \left(R_0(-v_*^2) - R_0(k^2)\right)\sum_{i,j=1}^2 |f_i\rangle \left[\Phi^{-1}(k^2)\right]_{ij} \langle f_j |\phi_k\rangle.$$
(2.74)

Inserting this solution into (2.69), we formally find the eigenfunctions of $H_{a\Gamma}$,

$$\psi_{ev}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \langle \mathbf{r} | R_0(-v_*^2) | f_i \rangle \left[\Phi^{-1}(k^2) \right]_{ij} \langle f_j | \phi_k \rangle.$$
(2.75)

This formal solution includes unknown factors $\langle f_j | \phi_k \rangle$. In order to find them, we first note that the principal matrix Φ can also be expressed purely in terms of the free resolvent kernels, that is,

$$\Phi(k^{2}) = \begin{pmatrix} \langle f_{1} | (R_{0}(-\mu^{2}) - R_{0}(k^{2})) | f_{1} \rangle & -\langle f_{1} | R_{0}(k^{2}) | f_{2} \rangle \\ -\langle f_{2} | R_{0}(k^{2}) | f_{1} \rangle & \frac{1}{\lambda_{2}} - \langle f_{2} | R_{0}(k^{2}) | f_{2} \rangle \end{pmatrix}.$$
(2.76)

Then, it is easy to check that

$$\langle f_i | (R_0(-\nu_*^2) - R_0(k^2)) | f_j \rangle = \Phi_{ij}(k^2) - \Phi_{ij}(-\nu_*^2).$$
(2.77)

Using this result in (2.74) after taking the projection onto $\langle f_{i'} |$, we obtain

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2} \Phi_{ij} (-v_{*}^{2}) A_{j} = 0, \qquad (2.78)$$

where $A_j = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left[\Phi^{-1}(k^2) \right]_{j_i} \langle f_i | \phi_k \rangle$. This equation tells us that A_i is an eigenvector of the matrix $\Phi(-v_*^2)$ with a zero eigenvalue. Conversely, let us suppose that

$$|\psi_{ev}\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{2} R_0(-v_*^2) |f_i\rangle A_i,$$
 (2.79)

where $A_i = \sum_{j=1}^2 \left[\Phi^{-1}(k^2) \right]_{ij} \langle f_j | \phi_k \rangle$ is an eigenvector of $\Phi(-v_*^2)$ with eigenvalue zero. We will show that $|\psi_{ev}\rangle \in D(H_{a\Gamma})$ and $H_{a\Gamma} |\psi_{ev}\rangle = -v_*^2 |\psi_{ev}\rangle$. First, we need to show that $|\psi_{ev}\rangle \in D(H_{a\Gamma})$. For this, we define

$$|\phi_k\rangle = (k_{ev}^2 - k^2)R_0(k^2)|\psi_{ev}\rangle$$
(2.80)

for some $k^2 \in \rho(H_{a\Gamma})$ with Im(k) > 0. Then, it follows easily that $|\phi_k\rangle \in D(H_0)$, and inserting (2.79) into (2.80) and using the first resolvent identity for the free resolvent, we obtain

$$|\phi_k\rangle = \left(R_0(-\nu_*^2) - R_0(k^2)\right)\sum_{i=1}^2 |f_i\rangle A_i$$
(2.81)

or

$$|\phi_k\rangle + R_0(k^2) \sum_{i=1}^2 |f_i\rangle A_i = |\psi_{ev}\rangle.$$
 (2.82)

Moreover, by taking the projection of (2.80) onto $\langle f_j |$ and using the above result (2.77), $|\psi_{en}\rangle \in D(H_{a\Gamma})$ by Theorem 2.7. Finally, using the result $(H_0 - k^2)|\phi_k\rangle = (H_{a\Gamma} - k^2)|\psi_{ev}\rangle$ in Theorem 2.7 for the eigenstate $|\psi_{ev}\rangle$ and Eq. (2.80), we deduce that

$$H_{a\Gamma}|\psi_{ev}\rangle = (H_0 - k^2)|\phi_k\rangle + k^2|\psi_{ev}\rangle = -\nu_*^2|\psi_{ev}\rangle.$$
(2.83)

It is useful to express condition (2.67) in terms of a real positive parameter v, defined by v = -ik > 0. Then, the solutions of the equation det $\Phi(-v^2) = 0$ determine the point spectrum of $H_{a\Gamma}$ or bound state spectrum of $H_{a\Gamma}$. However, finding the roots of Eq. (2.67) is analytically not possible. Nevertheless, we may obtain some information about the bound states as follows. First, suppose that the principal matrix Φ has an eigenvector A associated with the eigenvalue ω ,

$$\Phi A = \omega A. \tag{2.84}$$

The eigenvalues can be explicitly calculated,

$$\omega_{1}(\nu) = \frac{1}{4\pi\lambda} \left\{ 2\pi + \lambda \log\left(\frac{\nu}{\mu}\right) - \lambda I_{0}(\nu R) K_{0}(\nu R) - \left[\lambda^{2} I_{0}^{2}(\nu R) \left(4K_{0}^{2}(\nu a) + K_{0}^{2}(\nu R)\right) + \left(\lambda \log\left(\frac{\nu}{\mu}\right) - 2\pi\right)^{2} + 2\lambda I_{0}(\nu R) K_{0}(\nu R) \left(\lambda \log\left(\frac{\nu}{\mu}\right) - 2\pi\right)^{1/2} \right\}$$

$$(2.85)$$

and

$$\omega_{2}(v) = \frac{1}{4\pi\lambda} \Biggl\{ 2\pi + \lambda \log\left(\frac{v}{\mu}\right) - \lambda I_{0}(vR)K_{0}(vR) + \Biggl[\lambda^{2}I_{0}^{2}(vR)\left(4K_{0}^{2}(va) + K_{0}^{2}(vR)\right) + \left(\lambda \log\left(\frac{v}{\mu}\right) - 2\pi\right)^{2} + 2\lambda I_{0}(vR)K_{0}(vR)\left(\lambda \log\left(\frac{v}{\mu}\right) - 2\pi\right)\Biggr]^{1/2}\Biggr\}.$$

$$(2.86)$$

Finding zeroes of the determinant of the matrix Φ is equivalent to finding the zeroes of its eigenvalues. We now show that these are increasing functions of v by expressing the principal matrix Φ in its closed form. Suppose for simplicity that the eigenvectors A are normalized. We can determine how the eigenvalues change with respect to v according to the Feynman-Hellman theorem,³

$$\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \nu} = A^{*T} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \nu} A, \qquad (2.87)$$

where \star and T denote the complex conjugation and transpose, respectively. Here, it is convenient to express the derivative of the principal matrix in the following form:

scitation.org/journal/jmp

by taking the derivative of Φ under the integral sign thanks to the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem. Then, one can show that

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \Phi_{11}}{\partial \nu} &= \frac{1}{2\pi\nu},\\ \frac{\partial \Phi_{12}}{\partial \nu} &= \frac{\partial \Phi_{21}^*}{\partial \nu} = (2\nu) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{a}}}{(p^2 + \nu^2)^2} J_0(pR) \frac{d^2p}{(2\pi)^2}, \end{split}$$

 $\frac{\partial \Phi_{22}}{\partial v} = (2v) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{J_0^2(pR)}{(p^2 + v^2)^2} \frac{d^2 p}{(2\pi)^2},$

$$\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \nu} = (2\nu) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| A_1 e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{a}} + A_2 J_0(pR) \right|^2 \frac{1}{(p^2 + \nu^2)^2} \frac{d^2 p}{(2\pi)^2} > 0$$
(2.91)

for all $\nu > 0$, that is, all the eigenvalues ω of the principal matrix Φ are strictly increasing functions of ν .

The solutions of (2.67) can also be considered as the zeroes of the eigenvalues of the principal matrix Φ , so all the bound state energies can be found from the zeroes of the eigenvalues, say ν_* , for which

$$E = -\nu_*^2.$$
 (2.92)

The positivity condition (2.91) implies that there are at most two bound state energies since each eigenvalue can cross the *v* axis only once. The zero of the eigenvalue ω_1 corresponds to the ground state energy. This bound state always exists for all values of the parameter since $\lim_{v\to 0^+} \omega_1 = -\infty$, and it is an increasing function of *v* and positive for sufficiently large values of *v*. However, the second eigenvalue ω_2 may not have any zeroes if it is not negative around v = 0.

It follows from Weyl's theorem³⁹ that the essential spectra of $H_{a\Gamma}$ and H_0 coincide, that is, $\sigma_{ess}(H_{a\Gamma}) = \sigma_{ess}(H_0) = [0, \infty)$ if we show that $R(E) - R_0(E)$ is compact for some $E \in \rho(H_{a\Gamma}) \cap \rho(H_0)$. Note that we have $R(E) - R_0(E)$ given by an explicit formula (2.38). Here, Φ_{ij} is invertible for a sufficiently negative E_* on the real axis, and all its eigenvalues become positive. Therefore,

$$R(E_*) - R_0(E_*) = R_0(E_*) \sum_{i,j=1}^2 |f_i\rangle \Phi_{ij}^{-1}(f_j | R_0(E_*))$$
(2.93)

indeed becomes a finite rank operator. For this, note that the principal matrix has a spectral decomposition $\Phi^{-1}(E_*) = \sum_k \omega_k^{-1}(E_*)A^{(k)}(E_*)A^{(k)*}(E_*)$, with $A^{(k)}$ representing the *k*th eigenvector of $\Phi(E)$ and ω_k being the corresponding eigenvalue. All the eigenvalues become positive for E_* . We therefore need to observe that all the vectors

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2} \omega_{k}^{-1/2}(E_{*}) A_{i}^{(k)} R_{0}(E_{*}) | f_{i} \rangle$$
(2.94)

for k = 1, 2 have a finite norm, as can be seen as follows:

$$\|\sum_{i=1}^{2}\omega_{k}^{-1/2}(E_{*})A_{i}^{(k)}R_{0}(E_{*})|f_{i}\rangle\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{2}|\omega_{k}^{-1/2}(E_{*})A_{i}^{(k)}\||R_{0}(E_{*})|f_{i}\rangle\|.$$
(2.95)

Hence, we have shown that $R(E) - R_0(E)$ is a trace class operator, which is compact.

Remark 2.9. Figure 1 shows how the eigenvalues change with respect to v for the particular values of parameters. One can also numerically calculate the bound state energies and plot them as a function of a and R, respectively, for the fixed given values of the parameters, as shown in Figs. 2 and 3.

B. Stationary scattering problem

The stationary scattering problem for such singular potentials is well defined, that is, the wave operators Ω_{\pm} exist and are complete thanks to the Birman–Kuroda theorem.⁴⁰ This theorem states that if the difference between the resolvent $(H - E)^{-1}$ of the self-adjoint operator Hand the resolvent of the free self-adjoint Hamiltonian $(H_0 - E)^{-1}$, this difference being defined on their common resolvent set, is a trace class, then wave operators exist and are complete. We have already shown above that $R(E) - R_0(E)$ is a trace class. Therefore, the wave operators for defining scattering phenomena exist. Once we have well-defined wave operators, we can study physically measurable quantities (e.g., cross section) of a scattering experiment by finding the scattering amplitudes. For this reason, we first need to determine the boundary values of the

(2.88)

(2.89)

(2.90)

ARTICLE



FIG. 1. Eigenvalues of the principal matrix Φ vs ν for $\lambda = 10$, $\mu = 1$, R = 1, a = 2 units.



FIG. 2. Bound state energies vs a for $\lambda = 10$, R = 1, $\mu = 1$ units. (a) Ground state energy vs a. (b) Excited state energy vs a.



operator T(E) as E approaches to the positive real axis from above. This is accomplished from the explicit formula of the resolvent written on the complex plane. For convenience, let $E = E_k + i\epsilon$ where $E_k = k^2$ with k > 0. The relation between the resolvent and operator T is given by⁴¹

$$R(E) = R_0(E) - R_0(E)T(E)R_0(E).$$
(2.96)

Then, we have the following result for the differential cross section.

Theorem 2.10. The differential cross section for the rank one perturbation supported by a circle of radius R centered at the origin and by a point at **a** outside of the circle is given by

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\theta} = |f(\mathbf{k} \to \mathbf{k}')|^2 = \frac{1}{8\pi k} |e^{i(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}')\cdot\mathbf{a}} (\Phi^{-1}(E_k+i0))_{11} + J_0(kR) (e^{-i\mathbf{k}'\cdot\mathbf{a}} + e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{a}}) (\Phi^{-1}(E_k+i0))_{12} + J_0^2(kR) (\Phi^{-1}(E_k+i0))_{22}|^2,$$
(2.97)

where $\Phi(E_k + i0)$ is defined by the analytic continuation of (2.56).

Proof. Since we have the explicit expression for resolvent (2.38) extended onto the complex plane, we can read off the boundary values of operator T(E) on the positive real axis,

$$T(E_k + i0) = -\sum_{i,j=1}^{2} |f_i\rangle (\Phi^{-1}(E_k + i0))_{ij} \langle f_j |,$$
(2.98)

where

$$\Phi(E_k + i0) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(-\frac{i\pi}{2} + \log\left(\frac{k}{\mu}\right) \right) & -\frac{i}{4} H_0^{(1)}(ka) J_0(kR) \\ -\frac{i}{4} H_0^{(1)}(ka) J_0(kR) & \frac{1}{\lambda_2} - \frac{i}{4} H_0^{(1)}(kR) J_0(kR) \end{pmatrix}.$$
(2.99)

Here, we have used $K_0(z) = \frac{i\pi}{2}H_0^{(1)}(e^{i\pi/2}z)$ and $I_0(z) = e^{-i\pi/2}J_0(e^{i\pi/2}z)$ for $-\pi < \arg(z) < \pi/2$.³⁵ The scattering amplitude denoted by f and the boundary values of the operator T in two dimensions are related by

$$f(\mathbf{k} \to \mathbf{k}') = -\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi k}} \langle \mathbf{k}' | T(E_k + i0) | \mathbf{k} \rangle, \qquad (2.100)$$

where $|\mathbf{k}\rangle$ is the generalized Dirac ket vector and $|\mathbf{k}'| = |\mathbf{k}|$. (We note that there is another choice for the scattering amplitude by ignoring the factor $\sqrt{i/k}$ to get some desirable properties;⁴² here, we use the conventional version.) Substituting the result (2.98) into

$$\langle \mathbf{k}' | T(E_k + i0) | \mathbf{k} \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x} - i\mathbf{k}'\cdot\mathbf{x}'} \langle \mathbf{x}' | T(E_k + i0) | \mathbf{x} \rangle \ d^2x \ d^2x'$$
(2.101)

and using the integral representation of the Bessel function $J_0(x)$ given in (2.22), we find

$$\langle \mathbf{k}' | T(E_k + i0) | \mathbf{k} \rangle = e^{i(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') \cdot \mathbf{a}} \left(\Phi^{-1}(E_k + i0) \right)_{11} + J_0(kR) \left(e^{-i\mathbf{k}' \cdot \mathbf{a}} + e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{a}} \right) \left(\Phi^{-1}(E_k + i0) \right)_{12} + J_0^2(kR) \left(\Phi^{-1}(E_k + i0) \right)_{22},$$

$$(2.102)$$

where $(\Phi^{-1}(E_k + i0))_{ii}$ is the *ij*th element of the inverse of the matrix $\Phi(E_k + i0)$ given in Eq. (2.99).

The differential cross section is plotted as a function of θ in Fig. 4. Here, we assume that the support of the point defect is at x = a without loss of generality and θ is the angle between \mathbf{k}' and \mathbf{k} chosen to be along the positive x axis. One can also plot the differential cross section as a function of k for different choice of parameters, as shown in Figs. 5(a) and 5(b). The behavior near k = 0 of the differential cross section is consistent with the fact that the differential cross section for two-dimensional low energy scatterings blows up with decreasing energy, as emphasized in Ref. 43.

III. RANK ONE PERTURBATION SUPPORTED BY A SPHERE AND A POINT IN \mathbb{R}^3

In this section, we will consider the rank one perturbation supported by a sphere and by a point in three dimensions. Since all the techniques and results are similar to the case discussed in Sec. II, we will summarize some results without giving detailed proofs.

The regularized Hamiltonian for this model is given by

$$H_{a\Sigma,\epsilon} = H_0 - \lambda_1(\epsilon) |\mathbf{a}^{\epsilon}\rangle \langle \mathbf{a}^{\epsilon}| - \lambda_2 |\Sigma^{\epsilon}\rangle \langle \Sigma^{\epsilon}|, \qquad (3.1)$$

where Σ is the sphere centered at the origin with radius *R* and



dσ

FIG. 5. Differential cross section vs k. (a) Differential cross section vs k for $\theta = 0$, $\lambda_2 = 20$, a = 2, R = 1, $\mu = 10$ units. (b) Differential cross section vs k for $\theta = 0$, $\lambda_2 = 20$, a = 20, R = 1, $\mu = 10$ units.

$$\langle \mathbf{a}^{e} | \psi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} K_{e/2}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{a}) \psi(\mathbf{r}) \ d^{3}r, \qquad (3.2)$$

$$\langle \Sigma^{\epsilon} | \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{A(S^2)} \int_{S^2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_{\epsilon/2}(\mathbf{r}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}(\theta, \phi)) \psi(\mathbf{r}) \ d^3 r \right) dA.$$
(3.3)

Here, $\boldsymbol{\sigma}: (0, 2\pi) \times (0, \pi) \to S^2$ is the local parameterization given by

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\theta, \phi) \coloneqq (R \sin \theta \cos \phi, R \sin \theta \sin \phi, R \cos \theta).$$
(3.4)

Proceeding analogously to the previous construction of the resolvent for the circular defect accompanied by a point defect problem, we obtain the resolvent essentially in the same form (2.38). In this case, the first diagonal element of the matrix Φ for $E = -v^2$ can be calculated similarly,

$$\Phi_{11}(-\nu^2) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \int_0^\infty K_{t+\epsilon}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}) \Big(e^{-t\mu^2} - e^{-t\nu^2} \Big) dt = \frac{(\nu - \mu)}{4\pi},$$
(3.5)

by choosing the bare coupling constant $\lambda_1(\epsilon)$ of the point interaction to be of the same type as (2.43) except that the heat kernel here is written in three dimensions. Choosing the support of the point defect along the *z* axis, we find the off-diagonal matrix elements of Φ by going to spherical coordinates and evaluating the radial part of the integral by the residue theorem, Similarly,

The

where we have used

resolvent of the model is formally given by Eq. (2.38), where
$$|f_2\rangle = |\Sigma\rangle$$
, and the matrix Φ can be defined on the complex plane by artic continuation of the above expressions. It is easy to see that the matrix elements of above matrix look similar to our two-dimensional

analytic continuation of the above expressions. It is easy to see that the matrix elements of above matrix look similar to our two-dimension version if we express its entries in terms of the Bessel functions using $I_{1/2}(z) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi z}} \sinh z$ and $K_{1/2}(z) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2z}} e^{-z}$,

 $\Phi_{22}(-\nu^2) = \frac{1}{\lambda_2} - \langle \Sigma | R_0(-\nu^2) | \Sigma \rangle = \frac{1}{\lambda_2} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{p^2 + \nu^2} \frac{\sin^2(pR)}{(pR)^2} \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3}$

 $=\frac{1}{1}-\frac{1}{4-r^{2}}e^{-\nu R}\sinh(\nu R).$

$$\Phi(-v^{2}) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{4\pi}(v-\mu) & -\frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{aR}}K_{1/2}(va) I_{1/2}(vR) \\ -\frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{aR}}K_{1/2}(va) I_{1/2}(vR) & \frac{1}{\lambda_{2}} -\frac{1}{4\pi R}K_{1/2}(vR) I_{1/2}(vR) \end{pmatrix}.$$
(3.9)

A. Bound state problem

Bound state analysis of this problem is performed exactly in the same manner as in the case of rank one perturbation supported by a circle and a point. For this reason, we are not going to derive the analogous expressions for the flow of the eigenvalues with respect to v. Positivity of the flow of eigenvalues equally holds in this case, so we conclude that there are at most two bound states (and at least one bound state).

One can plot the eigenvalues as a function of *v* for particular values of the parameters. As shown in Sec. II A, zeroes v_* of the eigenvalues correspond to the bound state energies $E = -v_*^2$. It is interesting to note that there is only one bound state if we choose the same values of the parameters for the circular defect perturbed by a point defect problem, as shown in Fig. 6(a). The reason for this may be based on the fact that particle has more freedom to escape from the spherical defect compared to the circular defect. If we increase the strength of the spherical defect, the second bound state appears, as shown in Fig. 6(b).

One can find how the bound state energies change with respect to the parameters *R* and *a* by numerically solving the zeroes of the eigenvalues ω_1 and ω_2 . They are plotted in Figs. 7 and 8. By following the same line of arguments, we have the following theorem.



$$= -\frac{1}{4\pi v a R} e^{-va} \sinh(vR),$$
(3.6)

$$\langle \mathbf{p} | \Sigma \rangle = \frac{\sin(pR)}{pR}.$$
(3.7)

$$\Phi_{12}(-\nu^2) = \Phi_{21}(-\nu^2) = -\langle \mathbf{a} | R_0(-\nu^2) | \Sigma \rangle = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{a}}}{(p^2 + \nu^2)} \frac{\sin(pR)}{pR} \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3}$$

scitation.org/journal/jmp

(3.8)





FIG. 7. Bound state energies E_B vs a for $\lambda_2 = 20$, R = 1, $\mu = 1$ units. (a) Ground state energy vs a. (b) Excited state energy vs a.



FIG. 8. Bound state energies vs R for $\lambda_2 = 150$, a = 10.1, $\mu = 1$ units. (a) Ground state energy vs R. (b) Excited state energy vs R.

Theorem 3.1. Let $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and Σ be the sphere centered at the origin with radius R < a. Then, the essential spectrum of $H_{a\Sigma}$ associated with rank one perturbation supported by Σ and a point coincides with the essential spectrum of the free Hamiltonian, i.e., $\sigma_{ess}(H_{a\Sigma}) = \sigma_{ess}(H_0) = [0, \infty)$. Furthermore, the point spectrum $\sigma_p(H_{a\Sigma})$ of $H_{a\Sigma}$ lies in the negative real axis and $H_{a\Sigma}$ has at most two negative eigenvalues (counting multiplicity) and always has one. Let $\operatorname{Re}(k) = 0$ and $\operatorname{Im}(k) > 0$. Then, $k^2 \in \sigma_p(H_{a\Sigma})$ if and only if $\det \Phi(k^2) = 0$ and multiplicity (degeneracy) of the eigenvalue k^2 is the same as the multiplicity of the zero eigenvalue of the matrix $\Phi(k^2)$. Moreover, let $E = -v_*^2 < 0$ be an eigenvalue of $H_{a\Sigma}$. Then, the eigenfunction $|\psi_{ev}\rangle$ associated with this eigenvalue is given by

$$\psi_{ev}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \langle \mathbf{r} | R_0(-v_*^2) | f_i \rangle A_i,$$

where (A_1, A_2) is an eigenvector with a zero eigenvalue of $\Phi(-v_*^2)$ and $|f_1\rangle = |\mathbf{a}\rangle, |f_2\rangle = |\Sigma\rangle$.

B. Stationary scattering problem

For the scattering problem, we similarly find the boundary values of the principal operator by analytical continuation,

$$\Phi(E_k + i0) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{4\pi}(-ik - \mu) & -\frac{1}{4\pi aRk}e^{ika}\sin(kR) \\ -\frac{1}{4\pi aRk}e^{ika}\sin(kR) & \frac{1}{\lambda_2} - \frac{e^{ikR}}{4\pi R^2k}\sin(kR) \end{pmatrix}$$
(3.10)



and

$$\langle \mathbf{k}' | T(E_k + i0) | \mathbf{k} \rangle = -\sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \langle \mathbf{k}' | f_i \rangle \left(\Phi^{-1}(E_k + i0) \right)_{ij} \langle f_j | \mathbf{k} \rangle$$

$$= - \left(e^{i(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') \cdot \mathbf{a}} \left(\Phi^{-1}(E_k + i0) \right)_{11} + \frac{\left(e^{-i\mathbf{k}' \cdot \mathbf{a}} + e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{a}} \right) \sin(kR)}{kR} \left(\Phi^{-1}(E_k + i0) \right)_{12} + \frac{\sin^2(kR)}{k^2 R^2} \left(\Phi^{-1}(E_k + i0) \right)_{22} \right) .$$
(3.11)

Hence, we find the scattering amplitude from the formula $f(\mathbf{k} \to \mathbf{k}') = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \langle \mathbf{k}' | T(E_k + i0) | \mathbf{k} \rangle$, and the graph of the differential cross section $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = |f(\mathbf{k} \to \mathbf{k}')|^2$ as a function of θ is given in Fig. 9. Let us summarize the result.

Theorem 3.2. The differential cross section for the rank one perturbation supported by a sphere of radius R centered at the origin and by a point at **a** outside of the sphere is given by

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = |f(\mathbf{k} \to \mathbf{k}')|^2 = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \left| e^{i(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') \cdot \mathbf{a}} \left(\Phi^{-1}(E_k + i0) \right)_{11} + \frac{\left(e^{-i\mathbf{k}' \cdot \mathbf{a}} + e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{a}} \right) \sin(kR)}{kR} \left(\Phi^{-1}(E_k + i0) \right)_{12} + \frac{\sin^2(kR)}{k^2 R^2} \left(\Phi^{-1}(E_k + i0) \right)_{22} \right|^2,$$
(3.12)

where $\Phi(E_k + i0)$ is given by (3.10).

For the forward scattering, the differential cross section is plotted as a function of k for the below values of the parameters, as shown in Figs. 10(a) and 10(b).

IV. SMALL DEFORMATIONS OF A CIRCLE IN \mathbb{R}^2

It would be interesting to ask how the bound state spectrum and scattering cross section for the above (or similar) problems change under small deformation of the support of the rank one perturbations. Let us first briefly define the normal deformations of a general curve in two dimensions. We consider a regular planar curve Γ parameterized with its arc length *s* with finite length. The Serret–Frenet equations for this curve are given by $\mathbf{t} = \frac{dy}{ds}, \frac{dt}{ds} = \kappa \mathbf{n}$, and $\frac{dn}{ds} = -\kappa \mathbf{t}$, where \mathbf{t} , \mathbf{n} are the tangent and normal vectors and κ is the curvature of the curve Γ .⁴⁴ The small deformation along a normal direction of the curve Γ is defined by

$$\tilde{\mathbf{y}}(s) = \mathbf{y}(s) + \epsilon h(s)\mathbf{n}(s),$$
(4.1)

where *h* is assumed to be a smooth function of *s*. It is worth pointing out that *e* here is a small deformation parameter, *not the same parameter* used for regularization.



FIG. 10. Differential cross section vs k. (a) Differential cross section vs k for $\theta = 0$, $\lambda_2 = 5$, a = 2, R = 1, $\mu = 1$ units. (b) Differential cross section vs k for $\theta = 0$, $\lambda_2 = 20$, a = 2, R = 1, $\mu = 1$ units.

The length of the deformed curve $\tilde{\Gamma}$ up to order ϵ is given by

$$L(\tilde{\Gamma}) = \int_{0}^{L} \frac{d\tilde{s}}{ds} \, ds = \int_{0}^{L} \left(\frac{d\tilde{y}}{ds} \cdot \frac{d\tilde{y}}{ds}\right)^{1/2} \, ds = \int_{0}^{L} \left(\left(1 - \epsilon\kappa(s)h(s)\right)^{2} + \epsilon^{2} \left(\frac{dh(s)}{ds}\right)^{2}\right)^{1/2} \, ds$$
$$= \int_{0}^{L} \left(1 - \epsilon\kappa(s)h(s) + O(\epsilon^{2})\right) \, ds = L(\Gamma) - \epsilon \int_{0}^{L} \kappa(s)h(s)ds + O(\epsilon^{2}). \tag{4.2}$$

If Γ is a circle of radius R, $\kappa = 1/R$ so that

$$L(\tilde{\Gamma}) = 2\pi R - \frac{\epsilon}{R} \int_0^L h(s) ds + O(\epsilon^2).$$
(4.3)

When we do reparameterization of the curve by the angle θ , we will use the same notation for the functions *h*, *y*, and **n**.

A. Perturbative first order calculation of the bound state energy

We consider here that the interaction is formally represented by $\lambda |\tilde{\Gamma}\rangle \langle \tilde{\Gamma}|$ —rank one perturbation supported on a deformed circle. Since the support of the defect has co-dimension one, the renormalization is not required for this model and the resolvent of the Hamiltonian Hassociated with a deformed circular defect can be found by using similar arguments summarized previously; as a result, we find

$$R(E) = R_0(E) + R_0(E) |\tilde{\Gamma}\rangle \frac{1}{\tilde{\Phi}(E)} \langle \tilde{\Gamma} | R_0(E), \qquad (4.4)$$

where we denote the deformation of the circle $\tilde{S^1}$ by $\tilde{\Gamma}$ for notational simplicity. For the bound state, we need to calculate

$$\tilde{\Phi}(-\nu^2) = \frac{1}{\lambda} - \langle \tilde{\Gamma} | R_0(-\nu^2) | \tilde{\Gamma} \rangle = \frac{1}{\lambda} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|\langle \tilde{\Gamma} | \mathbf{p} \rangle|^2}{p^2 + \nu^2} \frac{d^2 p}{(2\pi)^2}.$$
(4.5)

Using

$$\langle \tilde{\Gamma} | \mathbf{p} \rangle = \frac{1}{L(\tilde{\Gamma})} \int_{0}^{L} e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\tilde{\mathbf{y}}(s)} | \tilde{\mathbf{y}}'(s) | ds$$
(4.6)

and expanding the exponential $e^{i\epsilon h(\theta)\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{n}(\theta)}$ in ϵ and the fact $|\tilde{\mathbf{y}}'(s)| = 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{R}h(s) + O(\epsilon^2)$, it is easy to show that

$$\tilde{\Phi}(-\nu^{2}) = \frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2}} \left(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{\pi R} \int_{0}^{2\pi} h(\theta) d\theta \right) \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \left(\int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot(\mathbf{y}(\theta_{1})-\mathbf{y}(\theta_{2}))}}{p^{2}+\nu^{2}} \times \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon}{R} (h(\theta_{1})+h(\theta_{2})) + i\epsilon((\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{n}(\theta_{1}))h(\theta_{1}) - (\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{n}(\theta_{2}))h(\theta_{2})) \right) d\theta_{1} d\theta_{2} \right) \right] \frac{d^{2}p}{(2\pi)^{2}} + O(\epsilon^{2}).$$

$$(4.7)$$

Let us consider the first integral in the square bracket above,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot (\mathbf{y}(\theta_1) - \mathbf{y}(\theta_2))}}{p^2 + \nu^2} d\theta_1 d\theta_2 \right) \frac{d^2 p}{(2\pi)^2}.$$
(4.8)

The uniformly convergent plane wave expansion in two dimensions⁴²

$$e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_m i^m J_m(pr) \cos(m\theta), \qquad (4.9)$$

with $\varepsilon_0 = 1$, $\varepsilon_m = 2$ if m > 0, and θ being the angle between **p** and **r**, helps us to compute the above integral with respect to the angle variables easily and left with the integration over the variable *p* only,

$$(2\pi) \int_0^\infty \frac{J_0^2(pR)}{p^2 + v^2} p \, dp, \tag{4.10}$$

where we have used $\int_0^{2\pi} \cos(m(\theta - \theta_k))d\theta = 2\pi\delta_{m0}$. Thanks to the integral representation,³⁴

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{x}{x^2 + a^2} J_0^2(x) dx = I_0(a) K_0(a), \tag{4.11}$$

we find that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot(\mathbf{y}(\theta_1) - \mathbf{y}(\theta_2))}}{p^2 + \nu^2} d\theta_1 d\theta_2 \right) \frac{d^2p}{(2\pi)^2} = (2\pi) I_0(\nu R) K_0(\nu R).$$
(4.12)

For the second integral in Eq. (4.7), it is sufficient to consider

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot (\mathbf{y}(\theta_1) - \mathbf{y}(\theta_2))}}{p^2 + v^2} h(\theta_1) d\theta_1 d\theta_2 \right) \frac{d^2 p}{(2\pi)^2}.$$
(4.13)

With the help of the plane wave expansion (4.9) and formula (4.11), the above integral becomes

$$I_0(\nu R)K_0(\nu R)\bigg(\int_{S^1} h(\theta)d\theta\bigg).$$
(4.14)

The last integral in (4.7) can be computed similarly by first rewriting the expression $i(\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{n}(\theta))e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{y}(\theta)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial R}(e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{y}(\theta)})$ and $\frac{dJ_0(x)}{dx} = -J_1(x)$, and we find

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot(\mathbf{y}(\theta_1)-\mathbf{y}(\theta_2))}}{p^2+\nu^2} i\left(\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{n}(\theta_1)\right)h(\theta_1)d\theta_1d\theta_2 \right) \frac{d^2p}{(2\pi)^2} = -\left(\int_{\mathcal{S}^1} h(\theta)d\theta\right) \int_0^\infty J_0(pR)J_1(pR) \frac{p^2}{p^2+\nu^2} dp.$$
(4.15)

Rewriting $\frac{p^2}{p^2+v^2}$ as $1 - \frac{v^2}{p^2+v^2}$ and using formula (6.512) in Ref. 34,

$$\int_0^\infty J_\nu(\alpha x) J_{\nu-1}(\alpha x) dx = \frac{1}{2\alpha},\tag{4.16}$$

and formula (6.577) in Ref. 34,

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{J_{0}(pR)J_{1}(pR)}{p^{2} + v^{2}} dp = \frac{1}{v} I_{1}(vR)K_{0}(vR), \qquad (4.17)$$

it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot(\mathbf{y}(\theta_1)-\mathbf{y}(\theta_2))}}{p^2+\nu^2} i\left(\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{n}(\theta_1)\right)h(\theta_1)d\theta_1d\theta_2 \right) \frac{d^2p}{(2\pi)^2} = -\left(\frac{1}{2R}-\nu I_1(\nu R)K_0(\nu R)\right) \left(\int_0^{2\pi} h(\theta)d\theta\right).$$
(4.18)

J. Math. Phys. 63, 123505 (2022); doi: 10.1063/5.0090401 Published under an exclusive license by AIP Publishing After combining (4.12), (4.14), and (4.18), we finally obtain

$$\tilde{\Phi}(-\nu^{2}) = \frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{2\pi} I_{0}(\nu R) K_{0}(\nu R) + \frac{\epsilon}{2\pi^{2}} \left(-\frac{1}{2R} + \nu I_{0}(\nu R) K_{1}(\nu R) \right) \left(\int_{0}^{2\pi} h(\theta) d\theta \right) + O(\epsilon^{2}),$$
(4.19)

where we have used $I_1(x)K_0(x) + I_0(x)K_1(x) = 1/x$.

When there is no deformation ($\epsilon = 0$), we have only one bound state. This can be seen by simply expressing the second term $I_0(\nu R)K_0(\nu R)$ using its integral representation (4.11),

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} = \frac{1}{2\pi} I_0(\nu R) K_0(\nu R) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{x}{x^2 + \nu^2 R^2} J_0^2(x) dx.$$
(4.20)

Then, by taking the derivative of the right-hand side with respect to v under the integral sign, it is easy to see that the right-hand side of the above equation is a decreasing function of v for given parameters λ and R. Therefore, there is a unique solution, say v_0 , to the above equation.

It is important to note that deformations satisfying $\int_0^{2\pi} h(\theta) d\theta = 0$ do not change the bound state energies up to first order in ϵ . Since we evaluate the deformation to order ϵ , we can actually solve the bound state energy for the deformed curve to the same order. In Refs. 33 and 45, we derived a general formula for perturbations of eigenvalues for small perturbations of the principal matrix Φ ; here, we have a one-dimensional version of this formula, so we can directly use the expansion above. Let $v = v_0 + \epsilon v_1 + O(\epsilon^2)$, where v_0 denotes the solution to the original unperturbed circle case. Then, the bound state energy $E_B = -(v_0 + \epsilon v_1)^2$ for the deformed circular defect can be found by the zeroes of Φ . This is achieved up to order ϵ by simply expanding its first term around v_0 ,

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{2\pi} I_0((v_0 + \epsilon v_1)R) K_0((v_0 + \epsilon v_1)R) + \frac{\epsilon}{2\pi^2} \left(-\frac{1}{2R} + v_0 I_0(v_0 R) K_1(v_0 R) \right) \left(\int_0^{2\pi} h(\theta) d\theta \right) = 0,$$
(4.21)

and using the fact that the zeroth order term cancels out $\frac{1}{\lambda}$ above to get the solution v_1 . Hence, we obtain an explicit formula for the bound state energy up to order ϵ ,

$$E_{B} = -v_{0}^{2} - \epsilon \frac{2v_{0}}{\pi R} \left(\frac{\left(\frac{1}{2R} - v_{0}I_{0}(v_{0}R)K_{1}(v_{0}R)\right)}{I_{1}(v_{0}R)K_{0}(v_{0}R) - I_{0}(v_{0}R)K_{1}(v_{0}R)} \right) \left(\int_{0}^{2\pi} h(\theta)d\theta \right) + O(\epsilon^{2}),$$
(4.22)

which can be further simplified into the following.

Theorem 4.1. Under the small deformation of the circle described by (4.1), the bound state energy of the system up to first order in ϵ is given by

$$E_B = -v_0^2 - \epsilon \frac{v_0^2}{\pi R} \left(\int_0^{2\pi} h(\theta) d\theta \right) + O(\epsilon^2).$$
(4.23)

The simplicity of the first order result is remarkable and hints at a geometric interpretation. Suppose that instead of the original circle with radius *R* we replace the circle with a circle of radius $R - \epsilon \langle h \rangle$ where $\langle h \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi R} \int_0^{2\pi} h(\theta) R d\theta$ (note that the normal in the curvature description is inward). Because we are now using a delta function supported by a circle, we do have the same eigenvalue equation,

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{2\pi} I_0((\nu_0 + \epsilon \nu_1)(R - \epsilon \langle h \rangle)) K_0((\nu_0 + \epsilon \nu_1)(R - \epsilon \langle h \rangle)) = 0.$$
(4.24)

If we expand all the terms to order ϵ , we find the relation $Rv_1 = v_0 \langle h \rangle$. By using $E_B = -(v_0 + \epsilon v_1)^2 = -v_0^2 - 2\epsilon v_0 v_1$, we find exactly the above result. Hence, we state this observation as follows.

Corollary 4.2. A small deformation in the normal direction of a given circle, which supports an attractive delta function, leads to a perturbation of the original bound state energy; to first order, the resulting change can be obtained as follows: increase the initial radius by an amount equal to the average of the deformation over the given circle and then compute the first order perturbation of the bound state energy corresponding to this new circle with the same coupling constant.

Remark 4.3. It is tempting to push this to the second order and search for, if there is any, a geometric interpretation of the result. However, the calculations are rather involved, so we postpone it for future work. Note that the circle problem per se can be solved by elementary methods, that is, by choosing polar coordinates at the center and writing the delta function along the radial direction. However, a general curve cannot be solved by this approach as there is no natural coordinate system to choose. In the case of small deformation, one can think of rank one perturbation supported on this curve as a rank one perturbation supported on the original circle plus a series of perturbations. This idea leads to, even to first order, a term of the form of $\epsilon \frac{d\delta(r-R)}{dr} \int_0^{2\pi} h(\theta) d\theta$ and some additional ones coming from the change of arc-length and the change of total length. Here, the derivative of the delta function term is important since the wave function is of the form (disregarding the normalization)

$$I_0(rv_0)K_0(Rv_0)\theta(R-r) + I_0(Rv_0)K_0(rv_0)\theta(r-R),$$

and the usual first order perturbation of energy, which is found by evaluating the expectation value in the state of interest, leads to a divergence (here, we need to use the symmetric choice for the theta function as often used in distribution theory).

The single bound state energy E_B for the original circular defect can be numerically plotted as a function of R with fixed values of λ . For a particular deformation $h(\theta) = h_0 \sin^2 \theta$ with $h_0 = 1$ unit, we can plot how the bound state energy E_B changes with respect to R numerically with the help of Mathematica, as shown in Fig. 11. For a given R, it is easy to see that the function $\tilde{\Phi}$ is a decreasing function of λ for all $\nu > 0$. This implies that the bound state energies decrease with increasing strength λ , as expected.

Remark 4.4. In Ref. 16, the authors considered an infinite curve in the plane as the support of the delta potential and gave a precise meaning to the formal Hamiltonian via introducing a locally orthogonal system in the vicinity of the curve Γ under some assumptions and curvilinear coordinates are given by $\mathbf{x}(s, u) = \mathbf{y}(s) + u\mathbf{n}(s)$, which is similar to our deformation formula (4.1). However, this expression has a rather different purpose: Given any function in $L^{\infty}(-1,1)$, one defines a family of scaled potentials in the straightened strip conveniently expressed in the local coordinates given above. Then, resolvents of the Hamiltonians associated with these scaled potentials are constructed. Finally, one shows that Hamiltonians associated with the scaled potentials converge to the formal Hamiltonian associated with a delta potential supported on Γ in the norm resolvent sense. Our aim here is to study how the deformation of the rank one potential supported on the circle changes the spectrum of the problem.

Remark 4.5. A slightly different version of our deformed circle problem, in which the discrete spectrum of delta potentials is supported by a circle with a varying coupling constant, in particular, a step function on the circle, has been studied in Ref. 15. The problem of the ring delta potential with a variable coupling constant can be considered as an equivalent problem of the delta function supported by a deformed circle at first glance. Note, for instance, that given $h(\theta)$, one may find a function $\alpha(\theta)$ such that $\delta(r - (R + \epsilon h(\theta))) = \alpha(\theta)\delta(r - R)$. However, under the transformation $r' = r - \epsilon h(\theta)$ and $\theta' = \theta$, the Laplacian must also be transformed, which makes the problem complicated and not quite identical to the usual free Hamiltonian.

Remark 4.6. There are also works on more general curves chosen for the support of the delta functions. For instance, the asymptotic behavior of the bound state energies of the attractive delta potentials supported by any closed Jordan curve in \mathbb{R}^2 as the coupling constant tends to infinity has been studied in Ref. 12 and the asymptotic expansions of the bound state energies are found for two attractive delta potentials supported by two concentric circles as their distance tends to zero and to infinity in Ref. 14.

B. Perturbative first order stationary scattering problem

The function $\tilde{\Phi}$ can be analytically continued onto the complex plane using (4.19), and $\tilde{\Phi}(E_k + i0)$ can be evaluated in terms of the variable k > 0,

$$\tilde{\Phi}(E_k + i0) = \frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{i}{4} J_0(kR) H_0^{(1)}(kR) + \frac{\epsilon}{2\pi^2} \left(-\frac{1}{2R} + \frac{i\pi k}{2} J_0(kR) H_1^{(1)}(kR) \right) \left(\int_0^{2\pi} h(\theta) d\theta \right) + O(\epsilon^2).$$
(4.25)

Let θ' be the angle between \mathbf{k}' and \mathbf{k} , which is the momentum of the incoming particle chosen to be parallel to the *x* axis for simplicity. Then, we get



FIG. 11. Bound state energy for the circular defect and for the deformed circular defect vs R with $\epsilon = 0.1$, $\lambda = 10$ units.



FIG. 12. Differential cross sections as a function of k from a circular defect and deformed circular defect (red curve) for $h(\theta) = \sin^2 \theta$ and R = 5, $\lambda = 40$, and $\epsilon = 0.1$ units.

$$\langle \mathbf{k}' | \tilde{\Gamma} \rangle = \left(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2\pi R} \int_{0}^{2\pi} h(\theta) d\theta \right) \left(J_{0}(kR) - \frac{\epsilon}{2\pi R} \int_{0}^{2\pi} e^{-ikR \cos(\theta - \theta')} h(\theta) d\theta - \frac{ik\epsilon}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} e^{-ikR \cos(\theta - \theta')} \cos(\theta - \theta') h(\theta) d\theta \right) + O(\epsilon^{2}).$$

$$(4.26)$$

Hence, using (4.25) and (4.26), and the formula for the scattering amplitude $\tilde{f}(\mathbf{k} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}') = \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi k}} \langle \mathbf{k}' | \tilde{\Gamma} \rangle (\tilde{\Phi}(E_k + i0))^{-1} \langle \tilde{\Gamma} | \mathbf{k} \rangle$, we get the following.

Theorem 4.7. Under the small deformation of the circle described by (4.1), the scattering amplitude up to first order in ϵ is given by

$$\tilde{f}(\mathbf{k} \to \mathbf{k}') = \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi k}} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{i}{4} J_0(kR) H_0^{(1)}(kR) \right)^{-1} \\ \times \left[J_0^2(kR) + \epsilon \left(\frac{2}{R} J_0^2(kR) \langle h \rangle - J_0(kR) \int_0^{2\pi} \left[g(\theta - \theta') + g^*(\theta) \right] h(\theta) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi R} \right] \\ + J_0^2(kR) \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{i}{4} J_0(kR) H_0^{(1)}(kR) \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi R} - \frac{ik}{2} J_0(kR) H_1^{(1)}(kR) \right) \langle h \rangle \right] + O(\epsilon^2),$$
(4.27)

where we introduce a function $g(\phi) = e^{-ikR\cos(\phi)} [1 + ikR\cos(\phi)]$ to simplify our expressions.

Note that we have an expansion in the form $\tilde{f} = \tilde{f}^{(0)} + \epsilon \tilde{f}^{(1)}$; here, that implies the total scattering cross section can be found as

$$\sigma(\mathbf{k}) = \int_0^{2\pi} |\tilde{f}^{(0)}|^2 \, d\theta + \epsilon \int_0^{2\pi} \left[(\tilde{f}^{(0)})^* \tilde{f}^{(1)} + \tilde{f}^{(0)} (\tilde{f}^{(1)})^* \right] d\theta + O(\epsilon^2).$$
(4.28)

The differential cross sections as a function of *k* for the circular defect and deformed circular defect for a particular deformation $h(\theta) = h_0 \sin^2 \theta$ are plotted in Fig. 12, with $h_0 = 1$ unit.

V. SMALL DEFORMATIONS OF A SPHERE

We consider a particular regular surface, a sphere S^2 centered at the origin with radius *R*. Let $\boldsymbol{\sigma} : (0, \pi) \times (0, 2\pi) \rightarrow S^2$ be a local chart, given by (3.4). Suppose that $\tilde{\Sigma}$ is the small deformation of the sphere along its normal direction, defined by

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}(\theta,\phi) \coloneqq \boldsymbol{\sigma}(\theta,\phi) + \epsilon h(\theta,\phi) \mathbf{N}(\theta,\phi), \tag{5.1}$$

J. Math. Phys. **63**, 123505 (2022); doi: 10.1063/5.0090401 Published under an exclusive license by AIP Publishing

ARTICLE

scitation.org/journal/jmp

where ϵ is a small deformation parameter, **N** is the normal vector field on the sphere, and *h* is a smooth function on the sphere. If $|\epsilon|$ is sufficiently small, it is well known that the deformed sphere $\bar{\Sigma}$ is a regular surface⁴⁶ and its surface area up to order ϵ is given by

$$A(\tilde{\Sigma}) = A(\Sigma) - 2\epsilon \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} H(\theta, \phi) h(\theta, \phi) R^2 \sin \theta d\theta d\phi + O(\epsilon^2),$$
(5.2)

where H = 1/R is the mean curvature of the sphere. To simplify the notation, we will write $d\Omega$ instead of $\sin \theta d\theta d\phi$ and Ω as the argument of the functions on the sphere.

A. Perturbative first order calculation of the bound state energy

We consider here that the interaction is formally represented by $\lambda | \tilde{\Sigma} \rangle \langle \tilde{\Sigma} |$ —rank one perturbation supported on a deformed sphere. The resolvent can be similarly constructed for the deformed spherical defect by following the same line of arguments discussed above. The explicit form of the resolvent operator is given by

$$R(E) = R_0(E) + R_0(E) |\tilde{\Sigma}\rangle \tilde{\Phi}^{-1}(E) \langle \tilde{\Sigma} | R_0(E),$$
(5.3)

where

$$\tilde{\Phi}(E) = \frac{1}{\lambda} - \langle \tilde{\Sigma} | R_0(E) | \tilde{\Sigma} \rangle.$$
(5.4)

For this part, we assume that the sphere problem has a bound state solution. We will choose $E = -v^2$, as we will be interested in a bound state to begin with. If we use the realization in the Fourier domain, the resolvent kernel is given by

$$R_0(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'|-\nu^2) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}')}}{p^2+\nu^2} \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3}.$$
 (5.5)

Our aim is to calculate the function $\tilde{\Phi}(-v^2)$ up to order ϵ . Using (5.2) and expanding the terms up to order ϵ , we have

$$\tilde{\Phi}(-\nu^2) = \frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \left(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{\pi R} \int_{S^2} h(\Omega) d\Omega \right) \int_{S^2 \times S^2} R_0 \left(\tilde{\sigma}(\Omega), \tilde{\sigma}(\Omega') | -\nu^2 \right) \left(1 - \frac{2\epsilon}{R} \left(h(\Omega) + h(\Omega') \right) \right) d\Omega d\Omega' + O(\epsilon^2).$$
(5.6)

The resolvent kernel up to order ϵ can be calculated using (5.5),

$$R_{0}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}(\Omega), \tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}(\Omega') | -v^{2}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\Omega)} e^{-i\mathbf{p}\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\Omega')} \frac{\left(1 + \epsilon(i\mathbf{p}\cdot(h(\Omega)\mathbf{N}(\Omega) - h(\Omega')\mathbf{N}(\Omega'))\right)}{p^{2} + v^{2}} \frac{d^{3}p}{(2\pi)^{3}} + O(\epsilon^{2}).$$
(5.7)

Substituting this into (5.6) and keeping the first order terms in ϵ for the surface integrals of the resolvent kernel, we obtain

$$\tilde{\Phi}(-\nu^{2}) = \frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{2}} \left(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{\pi R} \int_{S^{2}} h(\Omega) d\Omega \right) \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \left(\int_{S^{2} \times S^{2}} e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\Omega) - \boldsymbol{\sigma}(\Omega'))} d\Omega d\Omega' \right) \\
\times \frac{1}{p^{2} + \nu^{2}} \frac{d^{3}p}{(2\pi)^{3}} + \epsilon \left(2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \left(\int_{S^{2} \times S^{2}} e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\Omega) - \boldsymbol{\sigma}(\Omega'))} (i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{N}(\Omega)) h(\Omega) d\Omega d\Omega' \right) \frac{1}{p^{2} + \nu^{2}} \frac{d^{3}p}{(2\pi)^{3}} \\
- \frac{4}{R} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \left(\int_{S^{2} \times S^{2}} e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\Omega) - \boldsymbol{\sigma}(\Omega'))} h(\Omega) d\Omega d\Omega' \right) \frac{1}{p^{2} + \nu^{2}} \frac{d^{3}p}{(2\pi)^{3}} \right) \right] + O(\epsilon^{2}).$$
(5.8)

We have already computed the above first integral in evaluating the second diagonal element of the matrix Φ in Eq. 3.9, and the result can be expressed as

$$\begin{split} \langle \Sigma | R_0(-\nu^2) | \Sigma \rangle &= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\int_{S^2 \times S^2} e^{i \mathbf{p} \cdot (\sigma(\Omega) - \sigma(\Omega'))} d\Omega d\Omega' \right) \frac{1}{p^2 + \nu^2} \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi R} K_{1/2}(\nu R) I_{1/2}(\nu R). \end{split}$$
(5.9)

For the second integral, we will use the identity $(i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{N}(\Omega))e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\Omega)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial R}e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\Omega)}$. The exponential factors can be expressed in terms of the spherical Bessel functions of first kind and spherical harmonics using the well-known expansion of the plane waves into spherical harmonics,⁴⁷

$$e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\Omega)} = 4\pi \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} i^{l} j_{l}(pR) Y_{lm}^{*}(\Omega_{p}) Y_{lm}(\Omega).$$
(5.10)

J. Math. Phys. **63**, 123505 (2022); doi: 10.1063/5.0090401 Published under an exclusive license by AIP Publishing

Here, Ω_p and Ω are the polar angles of the vector **p** and σ , respectively. Hence, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \left(\int_{S^{2} \times S^{2}} e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\Omega) - \boldsymbol{\sigma}(\Omega'))} (i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{N}(\Omega)) h(\Omega) \, d\Omega d\Omega' \right) \frac{1}{p^{2} + \nu^{2}} \frac{d^{3}p}{(2\pi)^{3}} \\
= (4\pi)^{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{S^{2}} \left(\int_{S^{2} \times S^{2}} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} i^{l} \frac{\partial j_{l}(pR)}{\partial R} Y_{lm}^{*}(\Omega_{p}) Y_{lm}(\Omega) h(\Omega) \\
\times \sum_{l'=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m'=-l}^{l} (-i)^{l'} \frac{\partial j_{l'}(pR)}{\partial R} Y_{l'm'}(\Omega_{p}) Y_{l'm'}^{*}(\Omega') d\Omega d\Omega' \right) \frac{d\Omega_{p}p^{2} dp}{(2\pi)^{3}}.$$
(5.11)

By the orthonormality of the spherical harmonics $\int_{S^2} Y_{lm}(\Omega) Y_{l'm'}(\Omega) d\Omega = \delta_{ll'} \delta_{mm'}$, integrations over Ω_p and Ω' lead to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\int_{S^2 \times S^2} e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\Omega) - \boldsymbol{\sigma}(\Omega'))} (i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{N}(\Omega)) h(\Omega) \, d\Omega d\Omega' \right) \frac{1}{p^2 + v^2} \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3}$$
$$= \frac{(4\pi)^2}{(2\pi)^3} \int_0^\infty j_0(pR) (-j_1(pR)) \frac{p^3}{p^2 + v^2} dp \left(\int_{S^2} h(\Omega) d\Omega \right), \tag{5.12}$$

where we have used $Y_{00}(\Omega) = 1/\sqrt{4\pi}$ and the relation $\frac{dj_0(x)}{dx} = -j_1(x)$. We now use $j_l(x) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2x}}J_{l+1/2}(x)$ and decompose $\frac{p^2}{p^2+\nu^2}$ as $1 - \frac{\nu^2}{p^2+\nu^2}$ together with formulas (6.512) and (6.577) in Ref. 34 for the integrals of the Bessel functions,

$$\int_0^\infty J_{1/2}(pR)J_{3/2}(pR)dp = \frac{1}{2R},$$
(5.13)

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} J_{3/2}(pR) J_{1/2}(pR) \frac{dp}{p^{2} + \nu^{2}} = \frac{1}{\nu} I_{3/2}(\nu R) K_{1/2}(\nu R),$$
(5.14)

to get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \left(\int_{S^{2} \times S^{2}} e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\Omega) - \boldsymbol{\sigma}(\Omega'))} (i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{N}(\Omega)) h(\Omega) \, d\Omega d\Omega' \right) \frac{1}{p^{2} + \nu^{2}} \frac{d^{3}p}{(2\pi)^{3}} \\ = -\frac{1}{R} \left(\int_{S^{2}} h(\Omega) d\Omega \right) \left(\frac{1}{2R} - \nu K_{1/2}(\nu R) I_{3/2}(\nu R) \right).$$
(5.15)

By applying similar arguments, we can find easily the last integral,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\int_{S^2 \times S^2} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\Omega) - \boldsymbol{\sigma}(\Omega'))} h(\Omega) \ d\Omega d\Omega' \right) \frac{1}{k^2 + \nu^2} \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} = \frac{2}{R} K_{1/2}(\nu R) I_{1/2}(\nu R) \left(\int_{S^2} h(\Omega) d\Omega \right).$$
(5.16)

Combining (5.9), (5.15), and (5.16), we obtain

$$\tilde{\Phi}(-\nu^2) = \frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{4\pi R} I_{1/2}(\nu R) K_{1/2}(\nu R) + \frac{\epsilon}{8\pi^2 R} \left(-\frac{1}{2R} + \nu I_{1/2}(\nu R) K_{3/2}(\nu R) \right) \left(\int_{S^2} h(\Omega) d\Omega \right),$$
(5.17)

where we have used $I_{1/2}(x)K_{3/2}(x) + I_{3/2}(x)K_{1/2}(x) = 1/x$.

It is important to note that the formula for the function $\tilde{\Phi}$ is very similar to the one obtained for the deformed circular defect case; however, there is a difference. The eigenvalue flow can be obtained again by writing $I_{1/2}(\nu R)K_{1/2}(\nu R)$ as [from formula (6.577) in Ref. 34]

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} = \frac{1}{4\pi R} I_{1/2}(\nu R) K_{1/2}(\nu R) = \frac{1}{4\pi R} \int_0^\infty \frac{x}{x^2 + \nu^2 R^2} J_{1/2}^2(x) dx.$$
(5.18)

As one can see, the right-hand side of the above equation is a decreasing function of v for given parameters λ and R. Yet, the product $I_{1/2}(vR)K_{1/2}(vR)$ is finite as $v \to 0^+$, so there may not always be a solution if λ is small enough. If there is a solution, then it is unique, say v_0 , to the above equation. We assume that this is the case.

Let $v = v_0 + \epsilon v_1 + O(\epsilon^2)$. Then, the bound state energy up to order ϵ can be found by solving the zeroes of $\tilde{\Phi}$ by expanding terms around $v = v_0$. Hence, we find the following.

Theorem 5.1. Under the small deformation of the sphere described by (5.1), the bound state energy of the system up to the first order in ϵ is given by

$$E_{B} = -v_{0}^{2} - \epsilon v_{0}^{2} \left(\frac{\frac{1}{2v_{0}R} - I_{1/2}(v_{0}R)K_{3/2}(v_{0}R)}{I_{3/2}(v_{0}R)K_{1/2}(v_{0}R) - I_{1/2}(v_{0}R)K_{3/2}(v_{0}R) + \frac{1}{v_{0}R}I_{1/2}(v_{0}R)K_{1/2}(v_{0}R)} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\pi R} \int_{S^{2}} h(\Omega) d\Omega \right) + O(\epsilon^{2}).$$
(5.19)

Not surprisingly, *this result has the same geometric interpretation as in the case of circle*; we replace the original sphere with another sphere of slightly different radius $R - \epsilon \langle h \rangle$ with $\langle h \rangle = \frac{1}{4\pi R^2} \int_{S^2} h(\Omega) R^2 d\Omega$ and then look for the small change in the energy because of this alteration; as a result of this computation, we recover the above expression. Hence, we have the following.

Corollary 5.2. A small deformation in the normal direction of a given sphere, which supports an attractive delta function, leads to a perturbation of the original bound state energy; to first order, the resulting change can be obtained as follows: increase the initial radius by an amount equal to the average of the deformation over the given sphere and then compute the first order perturbation of the bound state energy corresponding to this new sphere with the same coupling constant.

For a particular deformation $h(\theta) = h_0 \sin \theta$ with $h_0 = 1$ unit, one can numerically plot how the bound state energies change with respect to *R* for a given λ , as shown in Fig. 13.

Remark 5.3. Other types of deformations of the support of delta potentials are introduced and analyzed in Ref. 13. In that work, the asymptotic expansions of the eigenvalues for the deformation of a surface in n dimensions for a Hamiltonian are given by $-\Delta - \beta \delta(\cdot - \Sigma \setminus S_{\varepsilon})$, where S_{ε} is a family of measurable subsets of a chosen surface Σ , each one of which has size vanishing by $O(\varepsilon)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. However, such deformations are different from the ones that we consider in the present work. We assume small deformations of a sphere, in the normal direction, and study how the bound state energies change.

Remark 5.4. A particular class of small and normal deformations of a sphere is the area-preserving ones. In Ref. 17, if the Hamiltonian for the delta potential supported by a sphere satisfies a critical property, namely, if it has an empty discrete spectrum and a threshold resonance, it is then shown that any sufficiently small smooth area preserving radial deformation leads to isolated eigenvalues. Here, our aim is to look at sufficiently small smooth radial deformations of a sphere supporting a rank one perturbation.

B. Perturbative first order stationary scattering problem

For the deformed spherical defect, the function $\tilde{\Phi}$ can be analytically continued onto the complex plane using (5.17) and $\tilde{\Phi}(E_k + i0)$ can then be evaluated in terms of the variable k > 0,

$$\tilde{\Phi}(E_k+i0) = \frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{i}{8R} J_{1/2}(kR) H_{1/2}^{(1)}(kR) + \frac{\epsilon}{8\pi^2 R} \left(-\frac{1}{2R} + \frac{i\pi k}{2} J_{1/2}(kR) H_{3/2}^{(1)}(kR) \right) \left(\int_{S^2} h(\Omega) d\Omega \right) + O(\epsilon^2).$$
(5.20)



FIG. 13. Bound state energy for the spherical defect and for the deformed spherical defect (red curve) vs R with $\epsilon = 0.1$, $\lambda = 10$ units.



FIG. 14. Differential cross sections as a function of k from a spherical defect and deformed spherical defect (red curve) for $h(\theta) = \sin \theta$ and R = 1, $\lambda = 100$, and $\epsilon = 0.1$ units.

For the scattering amplitude, we need to find the expression $\langle \hat{\Sigma} | \mathbf{k} \rangle$ in terms of the deformation function $h(\Omega)$,

$$\langle \tilde{\Sigma} | \mathbf{k} \rangle = \frac{1}{A(\tilde{\Sigma})} \int_{S^2} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}(\Omega)} R^2 \left(1 - \frac{2\epsilon}{R} h(\Omega) \right) d\Omega.$$
(5.21)

By expanding the exponential $e^{i\epsilon h(\Omega)\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{N}(\Omega)}$ in ϵ and expanding $A(\tilde{\Sigma})$ in ϵ from formula (5.2), it is easy to show that

$$\langle \tilde{\Sigma} | \mathbf{k} \rangle = \left(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2\pi R} \int_{S^2} h(\Omega) d\Omega \right) \left(\frac{\sin(kR)}{kR} - \frac{\epsilon}{2\pi R} \int_{S^2} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\Omega)} h(\Omega) d\Omega + \frac{i\epsilon}{4\pi} \int_{S^2} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\Omega)} (\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{N}(\Omega)) h(\Omega) d\Omega \right) + O(\epsilon^2).$$
(5.22)

For simplicity, we consider a particular class of deformations, where $h(\Omega) = h(\theta)$. In this case, let θ' be the angle between \mathbf{k}' and \mathbf{k} , which is the momentum of the incoming particle chosen to be parallel to the *z* axis. Then, using $\tilde{f}(\mathbf{k} \to \mathbf{k}') = \frac{1}{4\pi} \langle \mathbf{k}' | \tilde{\Sigma} \rangle (\tilde{\Phi} (E_k + i0))^{-1} \langle \tilde{\Sigma} | \mathbf{k} \rangle$, we get the explicit expression for the scattering amplitude for a given deformation *h*.

Theorem 5.5. Under the small deformation of the sphere described by (5.1), the scattering amplitude up to the first order in ϵ is given by

$$\tilde{f}(\mathbf{k} \to \mathbf{k}') = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{i}{8R} J_{1/2}(kR) H_{1/2}^{(1)}(kR) \right)^{-1} \\ \times \left\{ \frac{\sin^2 kR}{k^2 R^2} + \epsilon \left[\frac{4\langle h \rangle \sin^2 kR}{k^2 R^3} - \frac{\sin kR}{kR^2} \int_0^\pi (g(\theta - \theta') + g^*(\theta)) \sin \theta h(\theta) \ d\theta \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\sin^2 kR}{k^2 R^2} \left(\frac{1}{4\pi R^2} - \frac{ik}{4R} J_{1/2}(kR) H_{3/2}^{(1)}(kR) \right) \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{i}{8R} J_{1/2}(kR) H_{1/2}^{(1)}(kR) \right)^{-1} \langle h \rangle \right] \right\} + O(\epsilon^2),$$
(5.23)

where $g(\phi) := e^{-ikR \cos \phi} \left(1 + \frac{ikR}{2} \cos \phi\right)$.

The differential cross sections as a function of k for the spherical defect and deformed spherical defect for a particular deformation $h(\theta) = \sin \theta$ are plotted in Fig. 14.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

O. T. Turgut would like to thank A. Michelangeli for many informative discussions on mathematical aspects of singular interactions, in general, and A. Mostafazadeh for his interest in these problems. The authors are also grateful to the anonymous referee for her/his useful remarks and suggestions.

AUTHOR DECLARATIONS

Conflict of Interest

The authors have no conflicts to disclose.

Author Contributions

Fatih Erman: Writing – original draft (equal). Sema Seymen: Writing – original draft (equal). O. Teoman Turgut: Writing – original draft (equal).

DATA AVAILABILITY

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analyzed in this study.

APPENDIX: TROTTER-KATO THEOREM

This is a slightly different version of the Trotter-Kato theorem than typically found in the literature,⁴⁸ as also stated in Ref. 24.

Theorem. Suppose that H_n be a sequence of self-adjoint operators with resolvents $R_n(E) = (H_n - E)^{-1}$ defined for all complex numbers E except a closed proper subset U of \mathbb{R} . Furthermore, assume that $R_n(E)$ converges strongly for some $E \notin U$, and this limit is invertible. Then, there exists a self-adjoint operator H with resolvents $R(E) = (H - E)^{-1}$ such that $R_n(E)$ converges strongly to R(E) for all complex numbers $E \notin U$.

The idea of the proof is essentially the same as the original Trotter–Kato theorem. In our problem, we choose a sequence $\epsilon_n = 1/n$. Suppose that det $\Phi(E) \neq 0$. If *n* is sufficiently large, then det $\Phi(\epsilon, E) \neq 0$. Then, $R(\epsilon_n, E)$ is defined for all complex *E* except a closed proper subset *U* of \mathbb{R} , namely, $U = \{E \in [0, \infty) : \det \Phi(E) = 0\}$. Since we have shown that $R(\epsilon_n, E)$ converges strongly for some $E \notin U$ (e.g., choose *E* to be a sufficiently large negative real number) and the limit is invertible, we conclude that there exists a self-adjoint operator *H* with resolvents $R(E) = (H - E)^{-1}$ such that $R(\epsilon_n, E)$ converges strongly to R(E) for all complex numbers $E \notin U$ thanks to the above theorem.

REFERENCES

¹S. Albeverio, F. Gesztesy, R. Hoegh-Krohn, and H. Holden, Solvable Models in Quantum Mechanics, 2nd ed. (American Mathematical Society, 2004).

²S. Albeverio and P. Kurasov, *Singular Perturbations of Differential Operators* (Cambridge University Press, 2000).

³Yu. N. Demkov and N. V. Ostrovskii, Zero-Range Potentials and Their Applications in Atomic Physics (Springer, 2013).

⁴K. Gottfried, *Quantum Mechanics, Volume I: Fundamentals* (Benjamin, New York, 1966).

⁵D. J. Griffiths, Introduction to Quantum Mechanics (Prentice-Hall, 2005).

⁶J.-P. Antoine, F. Gesztesy, and J. Shabani, "Exactly solvable models of sphere interactions in quantum mechanics," J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 20, 3687-3712 (1987).

⁷A. C. Maioli and A. G. M. Schmidt, "Exact solution to Lippmann-Schwinger equation for a circular billiard," J. Math. Phys. 59(12), 122102 (2018).

⁸P. C. Azado, A. C. Maioli, and A. G. M. Schmidt, "Quantum scattering by a spherical barrier with an arbitrary coupling strength," Phys. Scr. 96(8), 085205 (2021).

⁹E. Demiralp and H. Beker, "Properties of bound states of the Schrödinger equation with attractive Dirac delta potentials," J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 36(26), 7449 (2003).

¹⁰J. F. Brasche, P. Exner, Yu. A. Kuperin, and P. Seba, "Schrödinger-operators with singular interactions," J. Math. Anal. Appl. 184(1), 112–139 (1994).

¹¹P. Exner and S. Kondej, "Bound states due to a strong δ interaction supported by a curved surface," J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **36**(2), 443 (2002).

¹²P. Exner and K. Yoshitomi, "Asymptotics of eigenvalues of the Schrödinger operator with a strong δ-interaction on a loop," J. Geom. Phys. **41**(4), 344–358 (2002).

 13 P. Exner and K. Yoshitomi, "Eigenvalue asymptotics for the Schrödinger operator with a δ -interaction on a punctured surface," Lett. Math. Phys. 65, 19 (2003); Erratum 67, 81 (2004).

¹⁴S. Kondej, "Spectral asymptotics induced by approaching and diverging planar circles," in *Functional Analysis and Operator Theory for Quantum Physics: The Pavel Exner Anniversary Volume*, edited by J. Dittrich, H. Kovarik, and A. Laptev (European Mathematical Society, 2017).

¹⁵P. Exner and M. Tater, "Spectra of soft ring graphs," Waves Random Media 14(1), S47 (2003).

¹⁶P. Exner and T. Ichinose, "Geometrically induced spectrum in curved leaky wires," J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 34(7), 1439 (2001).

¹⁷P. Exner and M. Fraas, "On geometric perturbations of critical Schrödinger operators with a surface interaction," J. Math. Phys. 50(11), 112101 (2009).

¹⁸A. Posilicano, "A Krein-like formula for singular perturbations of self-adjoint operators and applications," J. Funct. Anal. 183(1), 109–147 (2001).

¹⁹ P. Exner and S. Kondej, "Curvature-induced bound states for a δ interaction supported by a curve in \mathbb{R}^3 ," Ann. Henri Poincare 3(5), 967–981 (2002).

²⁰P. Exner and H. Kovarik, *Quantum Waveguides* (Springer, 2015).

²¹ B. T. Kaynak and O. T. Turgut, "Singular interactions supported by embedded curves," J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 45, 265202 (2012).

²²B. T. Kaynak and O. T. Turgut, "Compact submanifolds supporting singular interactions," Ann. Phys. 339, 266–292 (2013).

²³C. Cacciapuoti, D. Fermi, and A. Posilicano, "Scattering theory for delta-potentials supported by locally deformed planes," in *Mathematical Challenges of Zero-Range Physics: Models, Methods, Rigorous Results, Open Problems*, edited by A. Michelangeli (Springer International Publishing, 2021).

²⁴J. Dimock and S. G. Rajeev, "Multi-particle Schrödinger operators with point interactions in the plane," J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **37**(39), 9157–9173 (2004).

25 K. Huang, Quarks, Leptons and Gauge Fields (World Scientific, Singapore, 1982).

²⁶R. Jackiw, "Delta-function potentials in two- and three-dimensional quantum mechanics," in M. A. B. Bég Memorial Volume (World Scientific, Singapore, 1991).

²⁷J. Behrndt, L. Matthias, and V. Lotoreichik, "Schrödinger operators with δ and δ' -potentials supported on hypersurfaces," Ann. Henri Poincare 14, 385 (2013).

²⁸ H. V. Bui, F. Loran, and A. Mostafazadeh, "Scattering by a collection of δ-function point and parallel line defects in two dimensions," Ann. Phys. **434**, 168649 (2021).

²⁹W. Appel, Mathematics for Physics and Physicists (Princeton University Press, 2007).

³⁰L. C. Evans, Partial Differential Equations, Graduate Series in Mathematics Vol. 19, 2nd ed. (American Mathematical Society, RI, 2008).

³¹ M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics II: Selfadjointness* (Academic Press, New York, 1975).

- ³²B. İ. Altunkaynak, F. Erman, and O. T. Turgut, "Finitely many Dirac-delta interactions on Riemannian manifolds," J. Math. Phys. 47(8), 082110-1–082110-23 (2006).
- 33 F. Erman and O. T. Turgut, "Point interactions in two and three dimensional Riemannian manifolds," J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 43(33), 335204 (2010).
- ³⁴I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, *Table of Integrals, Series, and Products* (Academic Press, 2014).
- ³⁵N. N. Lebedev, Special Functions and Their Applications (Prentice-Hall, 1965).
- ³⁶ Ç. Doğan, F. Erman, and O. T. Turgut, "Existence of Hamiltonians for some singular interactions on manifolds," J. Math. Phys. 53(4), 043511 (2012).
- ³⁷D. Haroske and H. Triebel, *Distributions, Sobolev Spaces, Elliptic Equations* (European Mathematical Society, 2007), Vol. 4.

³⁸W. Thirring, Quantum Mathematical Physics: Atoms, Molecules and Large Systems (Springer, 2013).

³⁹M. Reed and B. Simon, Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, Volume IV, Analysis of Operators (Academic Press, New York, 1978).

- ⁴⁰J. Blank, P. Exner, and M. Havlicek, *Hilbert Space Operators in Quantum Physics* (Springer, 2008).
- ⁴¹J. R. Taylor, Scattering Theory: The Quantum Theory of Nonrelativistic Collisions (John Wiley & Sons, 1972), p. 134.
- ⁴²S. K. Adhikari, "Quantum scattering in two dimensions," Am. J. Phys. 54(4), 362–367 (1986).
- ⁴³L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Quantum Mechanics: Non-Relativistic Theory*, 3rd ed. (Butterworth-Heinemann, 1981), Vol. 3.
- ⁴⁴M. P. Do Carmo, Differential Geometry of Curves and Surfaces: Revised and Updated Second Edition (Courier Dover Publications, 2016).

⁴⁵F. Erman and O. T. Turgut, "A perturbative approach to the tunneling phenomena," Front. Phys. 7, 69 (2019).

⁴⁶C. Bar, *Elementary Differential Geometry* (Cambridge University Press, 2010).

- ⁴⁷M. Stone and P. Goldbart, Mathematics for Physics: A Guided Tour for Graduate Students (Cambridge University Press, 2009).
- ⁴⁸M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, Volume I* (Elsevier, 1972).