

Enhanced thermostability of the immobilized thermoalkalophilic esterase onto magnetic-cornstarch nanoparticle

Yasin Öz¹ | Yusuf Sürmeli^{2,3} | Gülşah Şanlı-Mohamed^{1,2} 

¹ Department of Chemistry, İzmir Institute of Technology, İzmir, Turkey

² Department of Biotechnology and Bioengineering, İzmir Institute of Technology, İzmir, Turkey

³ Department of Agricultural Biotechnology, Tekirdağ Namık Kemal University, Tekirdağ, Turkey

Correspondence

Gülşah Şanlı-Mohamed, İzmir Institute of Technology, Science Faculty, Department of Chemistry, Urla, İzmir, Turkey.
Email: gulsahsanli@iyte.edu.tr, gulsahsanli@hotmail.com

Abstract

The immobilization of the biocatalysts onto magnetic nanoparticles has been extensively applied as the external magnetic field facilitates the enzyme recovery from the reaction mixture. In the present study, glutaraldehyde-modified magnetite-cornstarch nanoparticles (MCNs) were successfully synthesized, elaborately characterized by ZetaSizer and surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy, and used for the immobilization of a thermoalkalophilic esterase from *Geobacillus* sp. The optimal immobilization conditions were obtained at 65°C, 2:3 molar ratios of Fe²⁺:Fe³⁺, and 1 g cornstarch resulted in approximately 90 nm magnetic particles in size. Also, immobilization yield and immobilization efficiency of the esterase were found as 74% and 82%, respectively. Scanning electron microscopy micrographs showed that MCNs were uniform, spherical in shape, and well dispersed and esterase immobilized MCNs displayed similar morphology as free MCNs. The maximum activity of free and immobilized esterase was obtained at 65°C and pH 9. Immobilization onto glutaraldehyde-modified MCNs significantly enhanced the esterase thermostability. Additionally, the immobilized esterase kept its residual activity of 75% after three sequential cycles, suggesting that it has favorable operational stability.

KEYWORDS

Geobacillus sp, immobilization, magnetic nanoparticles, thermoalkalophilic esterase

1 | INTRODUCTION

Biocatalysts (enzymes) have been largely performed in many bioprocess technologies such as biomedical, biotechnological, and pharmaceutical areas because they possess important catalytic properties including specificity, mild reaction efficacy, and great production yield. Nevertheless, some difficulties continue in terms of their

utilization. For instance, the challenges in reusability and recovery of the enzymes are present. Additionally, they have weak stability against reaction conditions (e.g., temperature, pH) and weak activity/selectivity to substrates. To reduce these challenges, an immobilization technique can be used as a powerful strategy to promote these features in biological processes. An immobilized enzyme over free enzyme possesses higher thermostability, operational stability, straightforward enzyme

Abbreviation: MCNs, magnetite cornstarch nanoparticles.

© 2021 International Union of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Inc.

recovery, quick reaction ending, and controlled final product synthesis.^{1–3}

Various physical and chemical immobilization techniques have been reported using diverse support materials.⁴ Recently, among these techniques, the immobilization of the biocatalysts onto magnetic nanoparticles (MNPs) has been extensively applied because of their advantageous properties.^{5–7} MNPs enable us to quick and straightforward recovery of the enzyme from the reaction mixture through the external magnetic field. Relative to centrifugation or filtration, the magnetic field creates lower mechanical stress on the immobilized enzyme.^{8–10} Immobilization on MNPs also involves several advantages such as a larger surface area to bind more enzyme, lower mass transfer resistance, minor diffusion problem, reduced fouling, lesser operation cost, long-term storage, and reusability.^{11–15} So far, micro- or nano-sized MNPs including chitosan/SiO₂,¹⁶ chitosan,¹⁷ cellulose,¹⁸ and silica¹⁹ have been applied for enzyme immobilization. Cornstarch could be a good candidate as a support material to obtain MNPs for the immobilization of an enzyme.²⁰

Esterase enzymes (EC 3.1.1.1), which belong to a class of hydrolase, have a bifunctional role. They convert the lipids into carboxylic acid and alcohol, degrading the ester linkages and produce carboxylic ester bonds. The esterases are synthesized by a wide range of organisms including animals, plants, and microorganisms.¹ They act as a substantial part of some applications in biotechnology, industry, environment, and pharmaceuticals as they have many beneficial properties.^{21,22} Especially extremozyme esterases possessing exclusive characteristics are of special interest in various reactions.^{23–25} Due to their high alkaline and temperature stability, thermoalkalophilic esterases can function at greater performance in some commercial operations.²⁶

In literature, many studies have been reported various practices of enzyme immobilization using MNPs with various functional groups.²⁷ Among these, lipases have been immobilized using functionalized MNPs with different groups such as APTES, 2,3-epoxypropyltrimethylammoniumchloride, citric acid, ammonium sulfate, MPTMS, and aniline and ammonium persulfate.^{28–33} However, a few reports have been found on esterase immobilization by MNPs. Regarding this, a novel solvent-stable esterase from *Pseudozyma* sp. NII 08165 was immobilized on aminosilane-modified MNPs for biodiesel production.³⁴ Also, an esterase from *Bacillus pumilus* was immobilized on silane functionalized superparamagnetic iron nanoparticles to synthesize ethyl pyruvate.³⁵ In addition, another esterase from *Zunongwangia* sp. was immobilized onto magnetite–cellulose nanocomposite.³⁶ Beside this, a

recombinant esterase from *Pseudomonas putida* IFO12996 was covalently bound to MNPs through glutaraldehyde³⁷ and hexa-arginine-tagged esterase was immobilized on gold-coated MNPs.³⁸ Another esterase from *Mucor miehei* was immobilized on core–shell magnetic beads through adsorption and covalent binding for the synthesis of esters.³⁹

Currently, thermoalkalophilic recombinant *Geobacillus* sp. esterase from Balçova geothermal area has been comprehensively characterized.⁴⁰ In addition, it has been immobilized on silicate-coated Ca-alginate and chitosan/calcium/alginate-blended beads using the entrapment method.^{26,41} Nevertheless, to the best of our knowledge, a thermophilic esterase has not been immobilized on glutaraldehyde-modified magnetite-cornstarch nanoparticles (MCNs) in the literature so far. Here, glutaraldehyde-modified MCNs were successfully obtained, characterized in detail by Zeta-Sizer and SERS, and utilized for the immobilization of a thermoalkalophilic esterase from *Geobacillus* sp. Then, the immobilized esterase was biochemically characterized.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Materials

Unless otherwise stated, all chemicals were purchased from Sigma.

2.2 | Preparation and characterization of MCNs

2.2.1 | Preparation of MCNs

Preparation of MCNs was performed via the method by Wang et al.²⁰ with some modifications. For this purpose, FeCl₃ and FeCl₂ with 2:3 molar ratio were dissolved in 90 ml of dH₂O. One gram of cornstarch was also dissolved in 10 ml of dH₂O. The cornstarch solution was poured into the FeCl₃/FeCl₂ solution and heated in a water bath at 65°C. The mixture was then adjusted to pH 12–13 by 4 M NaOH and incubated in ultrasonic wave for 20 min. It was stirred at 65°C for 2 h, cooled to room temperature, and neutralized using acetic acid. Then, the formed precipitate was twice washed using 95% ethanol, gathered via magnetic decantation, and freeze-dried by vacuum freeze-drier. It was stored at 4°C for further analyses. Coprecipitation of ferrous and ferric ions formed magnetite (Fe₃O₄),



FIGURE 1 Magnetic aggregation of MCNs by magnets

which gives the magnetic character to the particles⁴² (Figure 1).

2.2.2 | Binding of glutaraldehyde on MCNs

Ten milliliters of 5% of glutaraldehyde solution was prepared in 0.01 M Na-P buffer (pH 7) and 1 g MCNs were supplemented into this solution. The mixture was incubated at 30°C, 300 rpm for 20 min for the cross-linking process. The precipitate was magnetically collected and washed using 0.01 M Na-P buffer (pH 7). It was then dried via vacuum freezing and stored at 4°C.

2.2.3 | Optimal size distribution of MCNs

The optimal size distribution of MCNs was investigated using DLS (Malvern Zetasizer 3000) by changing different parameters such as temperature, molar ratios of FeCl₃/FeCl₂, and quantity of cornstarch. For this purpose, the synthesis of MCNs was performed at four different temperature points (including room temperature, 50, 65, and 80°C), three different molar ratios of FeCl₃/FeCl₂ (1:1, 2:1, and 2:3), and three different quantities of

cornstarch (0.8, 1.0, and 1.2 g). Hundred microliters of the precipitate was mixed with dH₂O and the sample was analyzed.

2.2.4 | SERS analysis of glutaraldehyde-modified MCNs

The composition of glutaraldehyde-modified MCNs was investigated by surface-enhanced raman spectroscopy (SERS) analysis using Raman spectroscopy (Horiba) and the analysis was performed for cornstarch, magnetite, MCNs, and glutaraldehyde-modified MCNs.

2.3 | Enzyme preparation

In this study, thermoalkalophilic *Geobacillus* sp. esterase (Est2) previously characterized by Tekedar and Şanlı-Mohamed⁴⁰ was utilized. Heterologous expression of esterase in *Escherichia coli* BL21 (λDE3) and its purification by affinity chromatography were performed as shown in Tekedar and Şanlı-Mohamed.⁴⁰ The further purification of recombinant esterase was carried out by size-exclusion chromatography using a Sephadex G-75 column (Sigma). The purified enzyme was displayed by 15% SDS-PAGE.⁴³ Enzyme concentration was quantitatively determined by the Bradford method, using bovine serum albumin solutions.⁴⁴

2.4 | Immobilization of recombinant esterase on glutaraldehyde-modified MCNs

One gram of glutaraldehyde-modified MCNs was dissolved in 10 ml of 0.01 M sodium phosphate buffer (pH 8.0) and incubated at 30°C for 30 min. 0.5 mg ml⁻¹ esterase was gently supplemented into this solution including MCNs and the mixture was incubated at 30°C, 100 rpm for 4 h. MCNs with esterase were obtained using magnetic decantation, washed with 0.01 M Na-P buffer (pH 7.0), and stored at 4°C until further analyses. Immobilization efficiency (IE) and immobilization yield (IY) (at 55°C) of the enzyme was determined using equations below:

$$IE = \frac{\text{total esterase} - \text{free esterase}}{\text{total esterase}} \times 100 \quad (1)$$

$$IY = \frac{\text{specific activity of immobilized esterase}}{\text{specific activity of free esterase}} \times 100 \quad (2)$$

2.5 | Characterization of the immobilized esterase

2.5.1 | Determination of the activity of the free and immobilized esterase

The activity of the free and immobilized esterase was spectrophotometrically detected by *p*-nitrophenyl acetate (*p*NPA) substrate, which was selected among various *p*-nitrophenyl (*p*-NP) esters having different acyl chain lengths (C2–C16) and kept one of the highest activity.⁴⁰ For free enzyme, the assay mixture contained 9 μl of 50 mM *p*NPA, 990 μl of 0.1 M Tris–Cl buffer (pH 9.0), and 1 μl of 1 mg ml⁻¹ enzyme. For immobilized enzyme activity, the reaction mixture possessed 10 μl of 50 mM *p*NPA, 980 μl of Tris–Cl buffer (pH 8.0), and 10 μl of 1 mg ml⁻¹ enzyme. The activity of the free and immobilized esterases was determined at 55°C, pH 7.2 for 5 min by absorbance measurement at 420 nm. One unit of esterase activity was defined as the amount of enzyme releasing 1 nmol of *p*-nitrophenol per minute.

2.5.2 | The influence of pH and temperature

The influence of pH and temperature on the free and immobilized recombinant esterase was analyzed at 90 rpm. The pH effect on the free and immobilized enzymes was studied ranging from 4 to pH 12 at 55°C. The temperature effect on the free and immobilized enzyme was investigated in a range of temperatures (25–90°C) using 0.1 M Tris–Cl buffer (pH 8.0). Standard activity assay was applied to determine the relative activity of the free and immobilized enzyme.

2.5.3 | Thermal and pH stability

The analysis for thermal stability was carried out, following incubation for 60 min in a range of temperatures (4–80°C) at pH 8. Also, and pH stability analysis was performed in a range of pH (4–11) at 55°C upon incubation of 60 min. Standard activity assay was applied to determine the residual enzyme activity.

2.5.4 | The influence of chemicals

The influence of chemicals including various metal ions at 1 mM concentration (CaCl₂, ZnCl₂, MgCl₂, CuSO₄) and two surfactants (1% SDS and 1% Triton X-100) was studied upon incubation of 10 immobilized enzyme beads in 2 ml

of 0.1 M Tris–HCl (pH 8.0). Standard activity assay conditions were applied to determine the relative activity of the immobilized enzyme.

2.5.5 | Operating stability analysis

Operating stability assay was carried out by practices of seven subsequent standard activity assays of the immobilized enzyme. The beads were washed three times by dH₂O between two consecutive analyses.

2.5.6 | Scanning electron microscopy micrograph analysis

The surface morphologies and structure of MCNs and esterase immobilized MCNs were examined by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (Philips XL-30S FEG, Eindhoven, The Netherlands).

2.6 | Data presentation and statistical analysis

All experiments were carried out in triplicate. Statistical errors of the data were determined by GraphPad Prism version 6.00 for Windows (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA) (www.graphpad.com).

3 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Support structure predominantly influences immobilization performance. Thus, particle size analysis of MCNs was performed under different conditions, showing the influence of temperature (room temperature, 50, 65, and 80°C), molar ratios of ferrous and ferric ions (2:1, 1:1, and 2:3), and cornstarch quantity (0.8, 1, and 1.2 g) by ZetaSizer. The analysis results showed that the smallest particle distribution was obtained at 65°C (Figure 2(A)). The smallest particle sizes were obtained at 2:3 molar ratio of iron ions where the size was less than 100 nm, and also at 1 g cornstarch as 90 nm (Figures 2(B) and 2(C)). The small size of MNPs resulted in higher surface area in enzyme immobilization.⁴⁵

SERS analysis of glutaraldehyde-modified MCNs was carried out using the SERS technique, determining the interactions among starch, magnetite, and glutaraldehyde. SERS analysis results showed that the characteristic raman peaks were determined at 478 and 2917 cm⁻¹ for cornstarch (Figure 3(A)), and 668 cm⁻¹ for magnetite




FIGURE 2 The effect of temperature (A), the molar ratio of magnetite components $\text{Fe}^{2+}/\text{Fe}^{3+}$ (B), and the quantity of cornstarch (C) on magnetite-cornstarch particle size distribution. Room temperature was abbreviated as RT

(Figure 3(B)). The peaks of MCNs were determined at the specific points of cornstarch and magnetite (Figure 3(C)). For glutaraldehyde-modified MCNs, the number of peaks was increased between 0 and 1000 cm^{-1} of raman shifts, indicating that glutaraldehyde was bound to the MCN (Figure 3(D)).

Free esterase was heterologously expressed in *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) and purified before its immobilization. The enzyme purity was displayed by SDS-PAGE (Figure (4)). The immobilization of the thermoalkalophilic recombinant esterase was performed on glutaraldehyde-modified MCNs. The results showed that IY at 55°C and IE were found as 74% and 82%, respectively. In a recent study, the same enzyme immobilized on chitosan/calcium/alginate-blended beads had 69.5% of IY and 80.4% of IE,⁴¹ which are slightly lower than that obtained from this study.

The characterization of the immobilized thermoalkalophilic esterase was performed investigating some parameters such as the influence of pH, temperature, various

chemicals, thermostability, and operational stability. The key features of the immobilized esterases in the literature were listed as seen in Table 1.

The temperature effect for free and immobilized esterase was studied in a broad range of temperature ($25\text{--}90^\circ\text{C}$). This analysis showed that both free and immobilized esterase in glutaraldehyde-modified MCNs exhibited maximum activity at 65°C (Figure 5(A)). Thus, immobilization of the esterase enzyme in glutaraldehyde-modified MCNs did not change the optimal reaction temperature giving the highest catalytic activity. In one previous work, it was found that the same esterase immobilized using entrapment technique in silicate-coated Ca-alginate beads had an optimum working temperature of 70°C , slightly higher than that of in the present study.²⁶ There have been several studies on various esterases immobilized by MNPs in the literature. Accordingly, a free esterase of *B. pumilus* exhibited maximum activity at 37°C for free enzyme and 45°C for its immobilized form on silane functionalized




FIGURE 3 SERS analysis of glutaraldehyde-modified MCNs and their components including cornstarch (A), magnetite (B), cornstarch-magnetite (C), and cornstarch-magnetite-glutaraldehyde (D)

superparamagnetic nanoparticles (SNPs).³⁵ Another free and immobilized *Zunongwangia* sp. esterase using Fe₃O₄-cellulose nanocomposite optimally worked at 30 and 35°C, respectively.³⁶ Also, a free *M. miehei* esterase and its immobilized form on core-shell magnetic beads through adsorption and covalent binding showed an optimum temperature at 40 and 50°C, respectively.³⁹ Similar to the present study, one report has shown that *P. putida* IFO12996 esterase immobilization by MNPs exhibited a similar optimal working temperature compared to its free form.³⁷ In line with this, having a similar optimum temperature of the immobilized and free esterase has been also shown in some reports using different support materials^{46,47} (Table 1).

The pH effect for free and immobilized esterase was investigated in the interval of pH 4 and 11. The analysis showed that both free and immobilized esterase in glutaraldehyde-modified MCNs showed the highest activity at pH 9, exhibiting a similar pH effect profile (Figure 5(B)). A previous study demonstrated that immobilization of the same esterase in silicate-coated Ca-alginate

beads reduced the optimal pH by one unit, exhibiting maximum activity at pH 8.²⁶ Most of the esterase immobilization works using MNPs did not alter the optimum pH points compared with the free esterases as in the present study.³⁵⁻³⁷ Only one study on *M. miehei* esterase immobilization using core-shell magnetic beads enhanced the optimal working pH as much as one unit, relative to the free esterase.³⁹

Thermostability of free and immobilized esterase was studied in a temperature range of 40–80°C for 1 h of incubation. The results showed that the immobilized esterase possessed a maximum residual activity at 65°C, higher than that in the free form of the enzyme. Also, the residual activity of free esterase dramatically reduced to 60% and 6% at 70 and 80°C, respectively. Nevertheless, the immobilized form of the esterase highly kept its residual activity, showing 90% at 70°C and 65% at 80°C after 1 h of incubation (Figure 6). In previous work, the same thermoalkalophilic esterase entrapped by silicate-coated Ca-alginate beads possessed approximately 60% of

TABLE 1 The key features of the immobilized esterases using different support materials

Support material	Optimum temperature (°C)	IE ^a (%)	IY ^a (%)	Operational stability ^b (%)	Reference
Magnetite-cornstarch nanoparticles (MCN)	65	82	74	75	This study
Silicate-coated Ca-alginate beads	70	98.1	71.27	~80	26
Silane functionalized superparamagnetic nanoparticles (SNPs)	45	~78	nd	~75	35
Fe ₃ O ₄ -cellulose nanocomposite	35	75	60	~85	36
Magnetic nanoparticles	57–67	80	63	nd	37
Chitosan/calcium/alginate-blended beads	80	80.4	69.5	72	41
Hydrophilic-modified solid support was	50	nd	nd	~90	46
Graphene oxide	40–50	nd	nd	nd	47
Epoxy resin	60	nd	nd	~95	48
Crosslinked enzyme aggregates (CLEAs)	nd	nd	nd	100	49
Magnetic cross-linked enzyme aggregates (CLEAs)	nd	nd	nd	75	50
Magnetite nanoparticle-supported cross-linked enzyme aggregates (MGNP-CLEAs)	30	nd	nd	100	51
Arg-crosslinked enzyme aggregates (CLEAs)	nd	~90	nd	~75	52

^aIE and IY abbreviations refer to immobilization efficiency and immobilization yield, respectively.

^bOperational stability values refer to the percent residual activity of the immobilized esterase after three sequential cycle.




FIGURE 4 SDS-PAGE display of the purified recombinant thermoalkalophilic esterase from *Geobacillus* sp. E and M refer to the purified esterase and the protein marker, respectively

residual activity at 80°C upon 1 h of incubation²⁶ showing slightly lower thermal stability compared with the present study. This situation could be associated with esterase position in the immobilization support material. The conformational change of esterase might be constricted by the immobilization matrix without temporarily affecting under denaturant conditions such as high temperatures. Similar to the present study results, three reports have shown that esterase immobilization on different MNP supports including core-shell magnetic beads³⁹ silane functionalized SNPs,³⁵ and Fe₃O₄-cellulose nanocomposite³⁶ improved thermal stability relative to their free esterases. Only one study has reported that the esterase immobilization process on MNPs did not change the enzyme thermostability.³⁷

The pH stability was investigated in a range of pH (4–12) upon 1 h of incubation for immobilized esterase in the present study. The results showed that the residual activity of the immobilized enzyme was mostly conserved at alkaline pH points (pH 8–12) after 1 h of incubation, whereas it reduced at acidic pH points (pH 4–6) (data not shown).

The influence of various chemicals on free and immobilized esterase was studied under different metal ion conditions (1 mM CaCl₂, 1 mM ZnCl₂, 1 mM MgCl₂, and 1 mM CuSO₄), as well as 1% SDS. This analysis demonstrated that ZnCl₂, to some extent, enhanced the immobilized esterase




FIGURE 5 Optimum working temperature (A) and pH (B) of free esterase (●) and the immobilized esterase (■)




FIGURE 6 Thermostability of both free and immobilized esterase in the interval of 40–80°C




FIGURE 7 The effect of 1 mM concentration of different metal ions and 1% SDS on the free and immobilized esterase

activity, while the other metal ions did not change, except CuSO₄ reducing its activity by 10% (Figure 7). In literature, there have been some reports about the effect of metal ions on esterase immobilized by different support materials. Regarding this, the activity of immobilized esterase (Lx-Est_{BAS}ΔSP) was slightly decreased by Zn²⁺ and increased by Mg²⁺.⁴⁸ Also, Cu²⁺ enhanced and Ca²⁺ sharply reduced another immobilized hNF-*Nm*SGNH1 esterase on hybrid nanoflowers.⁴⁹ The present study demonstrated that SDS inactivated the immobilized esterase activity (Figure 7). In literature, there have been several reports acquiring similar findings to the present study.^{36,48–50}

The operational stability of an immobilized biocatalyst is a significant factor for the enzyme utilization in large-scale processes as it declines the operation price. For this reason, the operational stability of the immobilized esterase




FIGURE 8 Operational stability of the immobilized esterase during seven sequential cycles




FIGURE 9 Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of (A) MCNs and esterase immobilized onto MCNs (B)

was analyzed up to seven biocatalyst reaction cycles in 0.1 M Tris-HCl buffer (pH 8.0) at 90 rpm and 55°C for 5 min using *p*NPA substrate. The specific activity was determined after each cycle during the biocatalyst reaction. The analysis demonstrated that the immobilized esterase kept its residual activity of 75% after three sequential cycles, suggesting that it possesses favorable operational stability (Figure 8). In one previous study, the same esterase in silicate-coated Ca-alginate beads kept above 72% of the esterase activity following three subsequent cycles.²⁶ Similar findings have been reported about immobilized esterases on various support materials including MNPs. They have possessed a residual activity of above 70% next three sequential cycles^{35,36,41,46,48–52} (Table 1). The decrease of activity during cycles might be caused by several reasons related to the method and support material as well including low mechanical strength, large pore size, and leakage of the enzyme from beads.⁵³ In the present study, the operational stability of immobilized esterase could be improved by performing different strategies such as coating the surface of nanoparticles with various molecules. Apparently, coating Ca-alginate beads with silicate of the immobilized thermoalkalophilic esterase has significantly enhanced enzyme operational stability, compared with noncoated Ca-alginate beads.²⁶ The low operational stability issue could also be overcome by alteration of interaction between the surface material and the enzyme. In a study, covalent binding of the immobilized esterase on core-shell magnetic beads has improved the esterase operational stability, compared with adsorption.³⁹

The surface morphology of MCNs and also esterase immobilized onto MCNs was monitored by SEM at magnitudes of 2500× and 40× (Figure 9). SEM micrographs showed that MCNs were spherical shape, uniform, and well dispersed. Esterase immobilized MCNs were

displayed similar morphology as free MCNs having compact structures.

4 | CONCLUSIONS

The enzyme immobilization may offer some assistance for industrial processes relative to free enzymes. Among support materials used in enzyme immobilization, MNPs possess the main advantage such as facilitating the enzyme recovery from the reaction mixture using external magnetic field. In the present study, we immobilized a thermoalkalophilic esterase from *Geobacillus* sp. as it is of special interest in different biotechnological processes. Considering this study, glutaraldehyde-modified MCNs were synthesized, the optimal size distribution was determined by Zetasizer, and the components of the MCNs were determined by SERS analysis. The thermoalkalophilic esterase was immobilized onto MNPs as glutaraldehyde-modified MCNs for the first time. The results revealed glutaraldehyde-modified MCNs resulted in 74% of IY at 55°C and at least 82% of IE. The immobilization of thermoalkalophilic esterase onto glutaraldehyde-modified MCNs markedly improved the thermal stability. Beside this, it permitted repetitive practices of the esterase with favorable operational stability in a continuous process.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank Biotechnology & Bioengineering Research Center at İzmir Institute of Technology for the facilities and technical support.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

ORCID

Gülşah Şanlı-Mohamed  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0282-4428>

REFERENCES

1. Bornscheuer UT . FEMS Microbiol Rev. 2002;26: 73–81.
2. Mozhaev VV, Khmel'nitsky YL, Sergeeva MV, Belova AB, Klyachko NL, Levashov AV, et al. . Eur J Biochem. 1989;184: 597–602.
3. Tischer W, Kasche V . Trends Biotechnol. 1999;17: 326–35.
4. Ozyilmaz G, Yagiz E . Food Chem. 2012;135(4), 2326–32.
5. Mahmood I, Ahmad I, Chen G, Huizhou L . Biochem Eng J. 2013;73: 72–79.
6. Wang J, Meng G, Tao K, Feng M, Zhao X, Li Z, et al. . PLoS One 2012;7(8), e43478.
7. Yu C-Y, Huang L-Y, Kuan I-C, Lee S-L . Int J Mol Sci. 2013;14: 24074–86.
8. Turková J . J Chromatogr Libr. 1993;55: 171–214.
9. Pich A, Bhattacharya S, Adler HJP, Wage T, Taubenberger A, Li Z, et al. . Macromol Biosci. 2006;6(4), 301–10.
10. Ding Y, Hu Y, Zhang L, Chen Y, Jiang X . Biomacromolecules, 2006;7(6), 1766–72.
11. Perdani MS, Juliansyah MD, Putri DN, Utami TS, Hudaya C, Yohda M, et al. . Int J Technol. 2020;11(4), 754–63.
12. Dyal A, Loos K, Noto M, Chang SW, Spagnoli C, Shafi KVPM, et al. . J Am Chem Soc. 2003;125: 1684–5.
13. Xie W, Ma N . Energy Fuels 2009;23(3), 1347–53.
14. Liao M-H, Chen D-H . Biotechnol Lett. 2001;23: 1723–7.
15. Konwarh R, Karak N, Rai SK, Mukherjee AK . Nanotechnology, 2009;20(22), 225107.
16. Sahoo PC, Jang YN, Lee SW . J Mol Catal B: Enzym. 2012;82: 37–45.
17. Lee HU, Song YS, Suh YJ, Park C, Kim SW . J Mol Catal B: Enzym. 2012;81: 31–36.
18. Prikryl P, Lenfeld J, Horak D, Ticha M, Kucerova Z . Appl Biochem Biotechnol. 2012;168(2), 295–305.
19. Zhou H, Li W, Shou Q, Gao H, Xu P, Deng F, et al. . Chin J Chem Eng. 2012;20(1), 146–51.
20. Wang B, Cheng F, Lu Y, Ge W, Zhang M, Yue B . J Mol Catal B: Enzym. 2013;97: 137–43.
21. Panda T, Gowrishankar BS . Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 2005;67: 160–9.
22. Khanna S, Sekhon KK, Prakash NT . Biotechnology, 2009;8: 235–41.
23. Kim SB, Lee W, Ryu YW . J Microbiol. 2008;46: 100–7.
24. Ewis HE, Abdelal AT, Lu CD . Gene 2004;329: 187–95.
25. Kademi A, Ait-Abdelkader N, Fakhreddine L, Baratti JC . Enzyme Microb Technol. 1999;24: 332–8.
26. Gülay S, Şanlı-Mohamed G . Int J Biol Macromol. 2012;50: 545–51.
27. Bilal M, Zhao Y, Rasheed T, Iqbal HMN . Int J Biol Macromol. 2018;120: 2530–44.
28. Ali Z, Tian L, Zhang B, Ali N, Khan M, Zhang Q . Enzyme Microb Technol. 2017;103: 42–52.
29. Li C, Jiang S, Zhao X, Liang H . Molecules 2017;22(1), 179.
30. Li K, Fan Y, He Y, Zeng L, Han X, Yan Y . Sci Rep. 2017;7(1), 1–17.
31. Thangaraj B, Jia Z, Dai L, Liu D, Du W . Arab J Chem. 2019;12(8), 4694–706.
32. Cui J, Cui L, Jia S, Su Z, Zhang S . J Agric Food Chem. 2016;64(38), 7179–87.
33. Mahto TK, Chowdhuri AR, Sahoo B, Sahu SK . Polym Compos. 2016;37(4), 1152–60.
34. Alex D, Mathew A, Sukumaran RK . Bioresour Technol. 2014;167: 547–50.
35. Sharma A, Sharma T, Meena KR, Kumar A, Kanwar SS . Process Biochem. 2018;71: 109–17.
36. Rahman MA, Culsum U, Kumar A, Gao H, Hu N . Int J Biol Macromol. 2016;87: 488–97.
37. Shaw SY, Chen YJ, Ou JJ, Ho L . Enzyme Microb Technol. 2006;39: 1089–95.
38. Jeong J, Ha TH, Chung BH . Anal Chim Acta. 2006;569(1–2), 203–9.
39. Bayramoglu G, Yakup Arica M . Fibers Polym 2014;15(10), 2051–60.
40. Tekedar HC, Şanlı-Mohamed G . Extremophiles 2011;15: 203–11.
41. Tercan Ç, Sürmeli Y, Şanlı-Mohamed G . J Chem Technol Biotechnol. 2021, <https://doi.org/10.1002/jctb.6750>
42. Kyung Kim D, Mikhaylova M, Zhang Y, Muhammed M . Chem Mater. 2003;15(8), 1617–27.
43. Laemmli UK . Nature 1970;227(5259):680–5.
44. Bradford MM . Anal Biochem. 1976;72(1–2), 248–54.
45. Liu JF, Liu H, Tan B, Chen YH, Yang RJ . J Mol Catal B: Enzym. 2012;82: 64–70.
46. Ren G, Yu H . Biochem Eng J. 2011;53(3), 286–91.
47. Lee H, Jeong HK, Han J, Chung HS, Jang SH, Lee CW . Bioresour Technol. 2013;148: 620–3.
48. Dong F, Tang X, Yang X, Lin L, He D, Wei W, et al. . Catalysts 2019;9(7), 620.
49. Yoo W, Le LTHL, Lee JH, Kim KK, Kim TD . Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Cell Biol Lipids 2019;1864(10), 1438–48.
50. Kwon S, Yoo W, Kim YO, Kim KK, Kim TD . Biomolecules 2019;9(12), 786.
51. Doraiswamy N, Sarathi M, Pennathur G . Prep Biochem Biotechnol. 2019;49(3), 270–8.
52. Le LTHL, Yoo W, Jeon S, Kim KK, Kim TD . Int J Mol Sci. 2020;21(1), 91.
53. Elnashar MM, Danial EN, Awad GE . Ind Eng Chem Res. 2009;48: 9781–5.

How to cite this article: Öz, Y., Sürmeli, Y., & Şanlı-Mohamed G. Enhanced thermostability of the Immobilized Thermoalkalophilic Esterase onto Magnetic-Cornstarch Nanoparticle. *Biotechnol Appl Biochem.* 2021;1–10.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/bab.2213>