Optical enhancement of phosphor-converted wLEDs using glass beads
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ABSTRACT

YAG:Ce³⁺ is a yellow-source compound commonly used in phosphor conversion layers for direct coating or remote phosphor configurations in LED illumination. This material, however, suffers from a high correlated color temperature, and low color-rendering index due to its deficiency in the red spectrum. In this study, glass beads (GB) with an average particle diameter of 10 μm were introduced to the conversion layer of a YAG:Ce³⁺ particulate-filled polydimethylsiloxane matrix composite structure and found to improve the optical features of the resulting composite.

© 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Phosphor-converted white LEDs [1–5] (pc-wLEDs) are widely used to produce white light of varying color quality and indispensable to the lighting industry because of their low energy consumption. According to the 2016 Solid-State Lighting Forecast Report of the U.S. Department of Energy [6], energy savings of 75% can be expected by 2035 if the Department of Energy goal of more extensive LED use for lighting is achieved. However, the use of phosphors, which contain rare-earth elements, in LED packages may pose significant challenges in terms of cost and raw material availability. Considering current limitations, any material that can improve the performance of phosphors may be of strong demand in the industry [7].

Approaches such as reducing phosphor content in pc-wLEDs [8,9] and finding phosphor-free conversion materials, including quantum dots [10] and perovskites [11], have been developed. However, attempts to produce significant materials should result in a high color rendering index (CRI), a low correlated color temperature (CCT), high efficacy, and a stable light output without fluctuations under different driving currents. Moreover, the structure of composite coatings, including its homogeneity [12,13] and internal microstructure [14,15], determines the blue light flux in the overall spectrum [16], i.e., determines the optical performance of coatings in terms of CCT, CRI, efficacy, luminous flux, and radiant flux. These requirements are the main concerns in producing a commercially viable light source.

CCT is crucial for indoor and outdoor lighting (white) applications. This characteristic is the equivalence of a blackbody radiation at particular temperature with the lighting source in charge in terms of the generated light. Thus, while a low CCT (warm white) is preferred for indoor use to promote relaxation, a high CCT (cool white) is desired for outdoor use, such as in mall centers or traffic lightings, to maintain a more energetic atmosphere. In general, cool white corresponds to CCT values > 5000 K, whereas warm light corresponds to CCT values between 2500 and 5000 K. Luminous efficacy (LEF) is a characteristic that defines the degree of matching between a spectrum and the sensitivity of the human eye [17]. It is defined as

\[ LEF = \frac{683 \text{ lm}}{W} \int \frac{v(\lambda)\phi(\lambda)d\lambda}{\phi(\lambda)d\lambda} \]  

(1)

where \( v(\lambda) \) is the luminosity function and \( \phi(\lambda) \) is the spectral power distribution per wavelength.

In blue LEDs with a yellow phosphor [1–3,18], blue light excites the phosphor particles to produce yellow light, which then combines with blue light to generate white light. Flexible polymer—phosphor composite films have been used with blue LEDs in the form of direct coatings or remote phosphors [4,19–21]. Studies on...
various polymer–phosphor composites for use in solid-state lighting have been reported in the literature [4,19,22]; these composites include CeF3: Tb3+, Dy3+, Eu3+ [23], YVO4: Eu3+ [24], YAG: (Ce3+, Gd3+) [25], YAG: (Ce3+, Tm3+, Er3+) [26], YAG:Ce3+ [4,27–29], Zn2SiO4: (Mn2+, Eu2+) [30], Ba4Ho5O13: (Yb3+, Tm3+, Er3+) [31] particles dispersed in poly(methyl methacrylate), Na2SO4 [32], BaAl2O4: (Eu2+, Dy3+) [33] in low-density polyethylene, YAG:Ce3+ in polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) [34,35], YBO3: Eu3+ [36] and YGG: (Tb3+) [37] in a polyvinylpyrrolidone matrix, YAG:Ce3+ mixed with melted polycarbonate [38].

In this study, PDMS is used as a binder; this material is inexpensive, hydrophobic, transparent, and flexible due to its Si–O bond [39] and has a very high bonding energy (452 kJ/mol) [40], which keeps it from degradation under illumination of the energetic forms of light, such as blue and UV light. Moreover, its viscoelastic behavior and cross-linkable nature make it suitable for application as a coating material for various processes [41]. This study aims to understand the optical effects of glass beads (GB) in a YAG:Ce3+ phosphor containing a composite polymer when used as an optical element in a LED light system.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials and methods

Phosphor powders of YAG:Ce3+ (HB-4155H, Zhuhai Hanbo Trading Co., Ltd., Guangdong, China) and GB with a refractive index n = 1.51 at the sodium D line (Spheriglass 5000, Potters Industries LLC, USA) were used as received. Sodium aluminosilicate spheres (Z-Cel, Potters Industries, LLC) with a refractive index n = 1.51 were also used in this study to enable comparison with GB. PDMS (SYLGARD 184 Kit, Dow Corning, Midland, MI, USA) was used as the polymer matrix, and hexane (>95%, Sigma–Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) was used to thin the PDMS powder solutions. An X-ray diffractometer (X’Pert Pro, Philips, Eindhoven, The Netherlands) was used to record the diffraction patterns of the phosphor powders, and a fluorescence spectrophotometer (Cary Eclipse, Agilent, Palo Alto, CA, USA) was used to collect photoluminescence (PL) spectra. Particle morphology was determined by scanning electron microscopy (SEM; Quanta 250, FEI, Hillsboro, OR, USA), and emission spectra, CCT, CRI, luminous flux, and radiant flux were determined by an integrating sphere (ISP-50-80-R, Ocean Optics Inc.) connected to a USB2000+: spectrometer (Ocean Optics Inc., Dunedin, FL, USA) via a premium fiber cable.

2.2. Preparation of the PDMS / (phosphor + glass bead) composite films

PDMS coatings were prepared on a diffuser polycarbonate (PC) substrate by spray-coating (Mac Allister PLD 3010, 500 W); this technique atomizes the given solution or dispersion via high air pressure.

In a typical coating, first PDMS oligomers and a curing agent were prepared at a 10:1 mass ratio in a vial. Then, 0.4 gr YAG:Ce3+ and proper amount of GB were added. The amount of inorganic material (GB + phosphor) used ranged from 50% to 55% with respect to the solid content (PDMS + GB + phosphor) in the composite. The amounts of GB in the coating materials are reported as GB contents/phosphor amount ratio in terms of mass and ranged between 0.2 and 1.5. For proper spraying, PDMS/(phosphor + GB) dispersions in the vial were thinned by hexane and then mixed by a magnetic stirrer. The dispersions were placed into the hopper of a spray gun with a glass vial (Scheme S1) and then sprayed onto a PC diffuser, which is a square having equal sides of 4 cm. Since phosphor particles are heavier than the GB particles, they sediment faster. To overcome this problem, hopper of the spray gun was shaken periodically during the spraying process. The resulting thicknesses of the YAG:Ce3+ composite coatings ranged from 60 μm to 100 μm depending on the solid content. Samples were left at room temperature overnight to allow complete evaporation of hexane, after which they were cured at 120 °C for 1 h in an oven.

2.3. Optical measurement

A remote measurement setup was employed for optical measurements [4,19–21,42]. A fixed distance (3 cm) was maintained between the film and a blue LED surface in a black chamber. A 455 nm monochromatic LED light source (Cree, Royal Blue 1W, USA) running at 0.35 mA input current was used in this configuration.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Characteristics of YAG:Ce3+ and the glass beads

Fig. 1a shows an SEM image of GB, which appears to present a spherical geometry. The mean particle size of the beads is 5 μm, as determined from electron micrographs using ImageJ [43]. The inset in the figure shows a magnified image of GB for better visualization of its spherical shape. An SEM image of the yellow phosphor YAG:Ce3+ particles is shown in Fig. 1b. The mean diameter of the phosphor particles is around 3 μm. Fig. 1c depicts the emission and excitation spectra of the YAG:Ce3+ powder. The emission spectrum shows two absorption bands centered at 340 and 460 nm (λem = 550 nm). These signals refer to the split 4f → 5d transition states of the dopant Ce3+ in the YAG matrix [44]. The excitation spectrum, where λex = 458 nm, shows a broad emission centered at 550 nm. In Fig. 1d, the X-ray diffraction spectrum of the YAG:Ce3+ powder matches the crystallographic data of the host material, YAG (Joint Committee on Powder Diffraction Standards Card No.: 01-079–1891). A single weak reflection that is not indexed with the crystallographic data is labeled as unidentifiable.

3.2. Effect of glass beads on the optical performance of the phosphor layer

Fig. 2a shows the PL spectra of PDMS coatings containing a constant amount of phosphor and various concentrations of GB. The reference spectrum is obtained from a coating prepared using PDMS and phosphor particles without beads; this coating presents an efficacy of 121 lm/W, luminous flux of 0.248 lm, and no valid CCT or CRI, which means it does not generate white light.

Unsurprisingly, PDMS coatings containing phosphor particles provide two signals. The first signal lies in the blue region and could be attributed to the photons transmitted through the coating, while the second signal lies in the yellow region and could be attributed to the emission of the YAG:Ce3+ phosphor. The quality of white light in terms of CCT is related to the ratio of these two signals. The phosphor concentration is the most significant parameter influencing the production of white light, and a threshold concentration of yellow phosphor particles should ideally be maintained to produce good-quality light (Fig. S1). A valid CCT for commercial applications appears only at a phosphor/(amount of inorganic material with respect to the solid content) ratio of 1.15.

In this study, we began with a ratio of 0.77 (Fig. S1), at which point no white light optical feature is found upon illumination of the coating with blue light. This result can be attributed to the lack of a yellow flux region in the coating. Addition of small amounts of GB to the PDMS + YAG:Ce3+ system, as shown in Fig. 2a, causes the shape of the spectrum to remain unchanged, i.e., two signals
appearing in the blue and yellow regions are still observed. However, the ratio of these signals varies such that the transmission of blue light from the PDMS coating decreases (7%) while its yellow emission is maintained. The presence of GB can lead to a blue-signal reduction of nearly 71% and a simultaneous increase in yellow light emission of 20% at a GB/phosphor ratio of 1.5. Fig. 2b shows the CIE 1931 color coordinates of these samples. Phosphor reference shows an explicit blue color while it changes towards warm white color with the addition of glass beads. In Fig. 3a, photograph of both phosphor reference, and phosphor + GB coating at (GB/phosphor) mass ratio at 1.5 is given. The difference is that phosphor + GB sample has slightly more intensive yellow appearance than the phosphor reference. The effect of addition of GB to the coating on the white light generated can be observed explicitly in Fig. 3b-c. In Fig. 3b, differences between the phosphor and phosphor + GB coatings are shown photographically; here, samples are illuminated with a blue LED under a driving current of 0.35 mA. A highly bluish light is observed from the phosphor-only coating; this light not as blue as the LED light, but it cannot be considered a useful bluish white because it presents no valid CCT or CRI. In the presence of GB at a ratio of 1.5, a warm white light is observed. The CCT and CRI of this coating change from “not valid” to 6300 K and 83, respectively (Fig. 3c). An efficacy of 197 lm/W, indicating a significant improvement of 63%, is also observed. In other words, introducing GB to the phosphor system provides a means to achieve a viable and controllable white light source. A comparison of the phosphor-only coating (Phosphor/amount of inorganic material with respect to the solid content) ratio, 1.15) shown in Fig. 5 with the phosphor + GB coating (GB/phosphor ratio, 1.5); the optical performance of these coatings is nearly identical but the CCT of the phosphor + GB coating is markedly improved. This finding indicates that phosphor consumption may be reduced by about 33% by introducing GB to the system.

To verify this unique effect of GB, PDMS composites with Z-Cel (Sodium aluminosilicate), which are spherical and opaque particles with an average particle diameter of 2–4 μm, were prepared. Z-Cel has a refractive index and density similar to those of GB, and its volume fraction and phosphor content in the composite were fixed as identical with the GB/phosphor ratio of 1.5. The main difference between them is, Z-Cel is having a crystalline structure while GB is amorphous. Fig. 4a shows the overall spectrum of the phosphor + Z-Cel coating. While the presence of Z-Cel can reduce the amount of blue light emitted, it cannot enhance the yellow flux region. The CCT values of the phosphor + Z-Cel and phosphor + GB coatings were 5100 and 6300 K, respectively. However, the CRI of the phosphor + Z-Cel coating was 78 in comparison with the 83 of the phosphor + GB coating. Reducing the blue region alone can lead to tuning of the CCT; however, since no enhancement in the yellow flux region is observed, the phosphor + Z-Cel coating may be expected to show no improvement in luminous flux.

Fig. 4b shows the radiant power and luminous flux of the coatings. The luminous flux of the phosphor + Z-Cel coating is decreased (verifying the statement above) while that of the phosphor + GB coating shows improvement compared with the phosphor reference. This result indicates that light quality becomes poorer in terms of human vision when Z-Cel is used. Moreover, the phosphor + Z-Cel coating traps blue light more by increasing the total path length of the blue light, which means that it takes more time for blue light to escape from the coating. This increase is evidenced by a decrease in the radiant powers given in Fig. 4b; it is the highest for phosphor + Z-Cel compared to both phosphor reference and phosphor + glass bead samples. While CCT was
reduced significantly in the presence of Z-Cel, other optical performance parameters for instance CRI, luminous flux, and radiant power are noticeably decreased.

Increasing the GB or any scatterer content in the coatings shortens the transport mean free path [45], which is the average distance the photons travel between two successive interactions between either phosphor and GB particles or GB and GB particles, and increases the multiple scattering of light within the coating volume. Blue light gets trapped more inside the coating volume since it scatters more extensively than yellow light [45,46]. Therefore, a decrease in the blue light flux region takes place. This result is typically observed in both GB and Z-Cel, and the effect of this trapping in terms of reduction in the blue light is shown for either cases in Figs. 2a and 4a. Reducing the transmitted blue light from the sample via increasing the multiple scattering of light within the coating therefore requires no any specialty: it may work for any scatterer particles. However, Fig. 2 experimentally demonstrates that increasing the GB content of the coatings uniquely enhances the yellow flux region (which is not observed in the composite containing Z-Cel). This enhancement can be related to the difference between Z-Cel and GB in terms of their interaction with light; Z-Cel shows very high scattering behavior because of its crystallinity while glass bead can behave similar to a lens. In this sense, in addition to scattering of blue light, GB can show focusing, secondary reflections, and also may cause extra total internal reflections. Therefore, while both samples show reduction of blue light due to multiple scattering of light, it is assumed that the above difference between Z-Cel (as ordinary scatterer) and GB in terms of their blue light interaction is responsible from the enhancement of the yellow flux region.

4. Conclusion

In this work, phosphor + GB coatings with varying GB contents were prepared, and the effect of GB on the optical features of the coatings was examined. Addition of GB as an optical element improved the CCT, CRI, and efficacy of the resulting coatings, and
the possible reasons behind these improvements were discussed. Phosphor consumption could be reduced by nearly 33% by addition of GB (in this study, it is obtained at the particular GB/phosphor mass ratio of 1.5). These findings could be extended to a number of optical systems, such as afterglow materials, solar cells, and other lighting applications.
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Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PDMS</td>
<td>Polydimethylsiloxane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCT</td>
<td>Correlated Color Temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRI</td>
<td>Color Rendering Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAG:Ce³⁺</td>
<td>Cerium doped Yttrium Aluminum Garnet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB</td>
<td>Glass Bead</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data related to this article can be found at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.optmat.2017.07.033.
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