Absence of superoxide dismutase activity causes nuclear DNA fragmentation during the aging process
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ABSTRACT

Superoxide dismutases (SOD) serve as an important antioxidant defense mechanism in aerobic organisms, and deletion of these genes shortens the replicative life span in the budding yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Even though involvement of superoxide dismutase enzymes in ROS scavenging and the aging process has been studied extensively in different organisms, analyses of DNA damages has not been performed for replicatively old superoxide dismutase deficient cells. In this study, we investigated the roles of SOD1, SOD2 and CCS1 genes in preserving genomic integrity in replicatively old yeast cells using the single cell comet assay. We observed that extent of DNA damage was not significantly different among the young cells of wild type, sod1Δ and sod2Δ strains. However, ccslA mutants showed a 60% higher amount of DNA damage in the young stage compared to that of the wild type cells. The aging process increased the DNA damage rates 3-fold in the wild type and more than 5-fold in sod1Δ, sod2Δ, and ccslA mutant cells. Furthermore, ROS levels of these strains showed a similar pattern to their DNA damage contents. Thus, our results confirm that cells accumulate DNA damages during the aging process and reveal that superoxide dismutase enzymes play a substantial role in preserving the genomic integrity in this process.

© 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Aging may be defined as a multi factorial phenomenon characterized by a time dependent decline in physiological function [1]. The mechanisms of aging, while not fully understood, is clearly associated with an increase in levels of molecular damage over the time, which contributes to increasing pathology and mortality at the organismal level [2,3].

The budding yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae is one of the most important model organisms used in aging-related research. Studies have demonstrated that replicative senescence of yeast cells involves a collapse of the antioxidant defense mechanisms and accumulation of oxidative damage to cellular components [4]. DNA integrity and stability of an organism is fundamental for survival, even under the best circumstances DNA is continuously damaged by endogenous or exogenous genotoxic agents [5,6].

It is estimated that about 1–2% of the total oxygen consumed by mitochondria is transformed into O2 [7]. SOD enzymes catalyze the dismutation of superoxide into oxygen and hydrogen peroxide and they form an important antioxidant defense in nearly all cells exposed to oxygen [8]. SOD enzymes generally present in two forms inside a eukaryotic cell, Cu/ZnSOD (Sod1) resides in the cytoplasm and the inner membrane space of mitochondria and MnSOD (Sod2) mainly present in the mitochondrial matrix [9]. Copper chaperone Ccs1 is involved in the oxidative stress protection and has been characterized in S. cerevisiae [10]. It functions as a copper transporter for Sod1 [11]. Although Ccs1 share a significant sequence homology with Sod1, it has no superoxide dismutase activity. However, the D200H point mutation converts Ccs1 into a superoxide dismutase [12].

In this study, we optimized the yeast comet assay to study the roles of superoxide dismutases in preserving genomic integrity during the aging process. We observed that young cells lacking SOD1 and SOD2 genes have normal levels of ROS and DNA damage; however, cells without CCS1 gene had a significant increase in both ROS production and DNA damage rates. Furthermore, the aging process is a significant factor that increases these rates, and absence of SOD1, SOD2 and CCS1 genes further exaggerate them.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Yeast strains, culture and sample preparation

Wild type (WT) strain BY4741 (MATa his3Δ1 leu2Δ1 met15Δ1 ura3Δ0) and its isogenic mutants (sod1Δ, sod2Δ, and ccslΔ) were used in the experiments. Cells were grown on either solid or liquid YPD medium (1% yeast extract, 2% peptone, and 2% glucose) at 30 °C. Yeast growth was monitored by optical density at 600 nm (OD600).
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2.2. Elutriation

Cells grown in overnight cultures were transferred to fresh media and kept growing for 24 h. Then they were directly subjected to the elutriation system (Beckman Coulter USA, Avanti J-26 XPi) in order to separate the young and the old cells. Cells with 7–10 μm range were considered as the young cells and 15 μm and greater were considered as the old cells.

2.3. Formation of spheroplasts by cell wall degradation

Pellets were suspended in ice cold S buffer (1 M sorbitol, 25 mM KH₂PO₄, pH 6.5) to a density of 1.2 × 10⁷ cells/ml and then centrifuged 2 min at 4000 rpm at 4 ºC. The cells were washed twice with the same volume of ice-cold deionized water and diluted in 1 ml ice cold S-buffer. Then cells were pelleted by centrifugation for 2 min at 4000 rpm at 4 ºC. Pellets were resuspended in lyticase buffer (3 mg/ml lyticase, 500 μl 2× S buffer, 300 μl deionized water and 50 mM β-mercaptoethanol) and incubated at 37 ºC in water bath for 30 min in order to get a good number of spheroplasts. At the end, spheroplasts were collected by centrifugation at 14,000 rpm for 2 min.

2.4. Comet assay

Many difficulties for yeast comet assay have been reported previously due to small nucleus and presence of the cell wall. We followed the procedures described by Azevedo et al. [13] with some modifications. A good number of spheroplast with degraded cell wall was obtained by applying 3 mg/ml lyticase at 37 ºC and quickly applied the mixture onto previously prepared 0.5% NMA coated slides and covered with cover slips. The cover-slips were then removed and the slides immersed in a freshly prepared lysis solution (30 mM NaOH, 1 M NaCl, 0.5% w/v laurylsarcosine, 50 mM EDTA, 10 mM Tris–HCl, pH 10–10.5) for a minimum of at least 1 h and a maximum of two, at 4 ºC. Then the slides were placed side by side on a horizontal gel box near one end, as close together as possible. The buffer reservoirs were filled with freshly made electrophoresis buffer (300 mM NaOH, 1 M NaCl, 0.5% w/v laurylsarcosine, 50 mM EDTA, 10 mM Tris–HCl, pH 10–10.5) for at least 30 min to allow DNA to unwind. Power supply was turn onto 20 V and 250 mA by raising or lowering the buffer level. The slides were then electrophoresed using agarose gel electrophoresis unit for 12 min. Then the slides were taken in the alkaline buffer for 3 × 20 min to allow DNA to unwind. Power supply was turn onto 20 V and 250 mA by raising or lowering the buffer level. The slides were then electrophoresed using agarose gel electrophoresis unit for 12 min. Then the slides were coated drop wise with Neutralization Buffer: (0.4 M Tris was added to ~800 ml dH₂O and pH was adjusted to 7.5 with concentrated (>10 M) HCl: q.s. to 1000 ml with dH₂O) for at least 5 min and repeated twice. The samples were fixed, first with 75% and then 100% ethanol. Subsequent dehybridization the slides were dried in the air at RT or in a laminar flow chamber. The samples were then stained by pipeting 100 μl of a 10 μg/ml stock solution of Ethidium bromide directly onto each slide and incubated for 20 min. The stained slides were then rinsed in 400 ml distilled water to remove excess stain and the cover slips were placed over the slides.

2.5. Confocal microscopy and evaluation of DNA damage

To visualize DNA damage, comets were observed and counted under a confocal fluorescence microscope (1000×) using 488 nm band-pass filter following the staining of DNA with the fluorescent dye ethidium bromide. Pictures were taken with a CCD camera (Andor technology). Three independent repetitions of the experiments were performed. In each experiment, three hundred
nucleoids were analyzed using visual classification based on the migration of DNA fragments of the nucleus.

2.6. ROS measurement by flow cytometry

Endogenous ROS levels of wild type and mutant cells (OD560 = 0.2) were measured by a flow cytometer (FACS-BD) using 5 μM 2′,7′- DCF-DA (Invitrogen) fluorescence dye (exitation/emission = 488/525) according to manufacturer’s protocol.

2.7. Statistical analysis

Data were presented as the frequency of damaged cells, class distribution and damage scores. Comets were grouped into four groups (0, 1, 2 and 3; representing no, little, medium and high damage respectively). Damage score was calculated as the sum of nucleoids in each group multiplied by the number of groups (0–3). Statistical analysis was performed using the 2 tailed paired T test.

3. Results and discussion

It has been shown that deletion of yeast SOD1, SOD2 and CCS1 genes dramatically decreases the replicative lifespan [14]. In Caenorhabditis elegans, deletion of SOD genes leads to unexpected results; absence of SOD2 gene causes an increase and simultaneous deletion of all five SOD genes causes no shortage in the lifespan [15]. It has been reported that Drosophila null mutations for either the cytoplasmic Cu/ZnSOD or the mitochondrial MnSOD greatly decreases viability and the life span [16], however in mice only the cytoplasmic Cu/ZnSOD or the mitochondrial MnSOD greatly decreases viability and the life span [16], however in mice only SOD1, SOD2 and CCS1 greatly decreases viability and the life span [16], however in mice only SOD1, SOD2 and CCS1 greatly decreases viability and the life span [16], however in mice only SOD1, SOD2 and CCS1 greatly decreases viability and the life span [16], however in mice only SOD1, SOD2 and CCS1 greatly decreases viability and the life span [16], however in mice only SOD1, SOD2 and CCS1 greatly decreases viability and the life span [16], however in mice only SOD1, SOD2 and CCS1 greatly decreases viability and the life span [16], however in mice only SOD1, SOD2 and CCS1 greatly decreases viability and the life span [16], however in mice only SOD1, SOD2 and CCS1 greatly decreases viability and the life span [16], however in mice only SOD1, SOD2 and CCS1 greatly decreases viability and the life span [16], however in mice only SOD1, SOD2 and CCS1 greatly decreases viability and the life span [16], however in mice only SOD1, SOD2 and CCS1 greatly decreases viability and the life span [16], however in mice only SOD1, SOD2 and CCS1 greatly decreases viability and the life span [16], however in mice only SOD1, SOD2 and CCS1 greatly decreases viability and the life span.

In order to understand the mechanisms how SOD genes affect the life span in yeast, we collected young and old cells lacking SOD1, SOD2 and CCS1 genes and analyzed their genomic integrity by the whole cell comet assay. We analyzed 300 nucleoids for each sample and evaluated the results by statistical methods as described in the Section 2.

Fig. 1A shows representative pictures for comet assays for wild type and mutant strains. As seen in left panel (Fig. 1A) young nucleoids had no tails and consisted of only head regions, but, old cells (right panel) displayed nucleoidal tails (white arrows) indicating the occurrence of DNA damage in these cells.

When we analyzed young cells for their DNA damage contents, indicated as DNA damage scores in Fig. 1B and Table 1, we did not observe any significant differences among the wild type, sod1Δ and sod2Δ mutants (p > 0.05). However, young ccs1Δ cells showed a 64% higher DNA damage score compared to that of the wild type cells indicating that, absence of CCS1 gene creates more profound phenotype than in the absence of either SOD1 or SOD2 genes. Using mitochondrion protein carbonylation levels as a measure of oxidative protection, O’Brien et al. (2004) showed that logistically growing sod1Δ and sod2Δ cells have similar protein carbonylation levels to that of the wild type cell [19]. We could consider logistically growing cells as young cells, since 97% of the cells in a given population is made of cells divided 4 times or less. Thus, their results also suggest that the absence of SOD1 and SOD2 does not make young cells vulnerable to oxidative damage.

Repliatively old cells harbored substantial amount of DNA damages (Fig. 1B, grey bars) when compared to their young counterparts (dark bars) (p < 0.05). Aging process caused more than 3-fold higher level of DNA damage in the wild type, and approximately 5-fold higher level of DNA damage in sod1Δ, sod2Δ and ccs1Δ mutants.

In order to test the idea that absence of SOD genes leads to ROS accumulation which damages DNA and ultimately causes aging, we analyzed the ROS levels of the young and the old cells by a flow cytometric approach after staining the cells with fluorescein (FITC) (Fig. 2). Interestingly, deletion of the SOD genes or CCS1 resulted in approximately 20% higher level of ROS accumulation in young cells when compared to that of the wild type (Fig. 2B, left panel). Nevertheless, young cells did not contain oxidative DNA damages that could be detected by the comet assay (Fig. 1A). However, old cells harbored significantly higher amount of ROS, and the extend of this increase was 67% for sod1Δ, 88% for sod2Δ, and 92% for ccs1Δ cells. The higher level of ROS was consistent with the higher level of DNA damage in old cells.

Our analyses showed that the ccs1Δ mutants harbor the highest amount of ROS and DNA damage among the mutants. Ccs1 is known as the sole copper provider for Sod1p in yeast [20], but absence of CCS1 gene clearly generates more damaging conditions than absence of SOD1. Consistent with this observation, ccs1Δ mutants were previously shown to have more oxidative damages than sod1Δ and sod2Δ mutants [21]. It is not clear why ccs1Δ cells are more vulnerable to oxidative damage. It could be possible that Ccs1 has other functions or interactions apart from being copper chaperone for Sod1 and thus deletion of CCS1 may cause a pleiotropic effect on the life span and ROS accumulation.

Our analyses suggest that SOD function is dismissible in young stage and support the idea that SODs are required for antioxidant protection during the aging process. Moreover, short lifespan of superoxide dismutase mutants in yeast and occurrence of extensive DNA damages during the aging process in these cells support the idea that oxidative stress is a modulator of the life span. Increased ROS production results in an increased rate of DNA damage and mutagenesis, thus causing a vicious cycle of increasing oxidative damage which eventually culminates in aging and death.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cells</th>
<th>Ages</th>
<th>Nucleoids analysed</th>
<th>Comets (%)</th>
<th>Comet classes</th>
<th>DNA damage score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0 (no damage)</td>
<td>1 (little)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>Young</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>7.0 ± 1.5</td>
<td>93.0 ± 1.5</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Old</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>23.5 ± 0</td>
<td>76.5 ± 0.7</td>
<td>9.1 ± 2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sod1Δ</td>
<td>Young</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>9.0 ± 0</td>
<td>91.0 ± 0.7</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Old</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>44.5 ± 1.4</td>
<td>55.5 ± 1.4</td>
<td>19 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sod2Δ</td>
<td>Young</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>11.5 ± 0</td>
<td>88.5 ± 0.7</td>
<td>5.0 ± 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Old</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>48.5 ± 2.0</td>
<td>51.5 ± 2.0</td>
<td>25.5 ± 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ccs1Δ</td>
<td>Young</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>13.0 ± 1.5</td>
<td>87.0 ± 1.5</td>
<td>5.5 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Old</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>60.5 ± 0</td>
<td>39.5 ± 0.7</td>
<td>21 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Flow cytometric analyses of cellular ROS contents. (A) Representative histograms showing the endogenous ROS in young and old stages of cells using 2′,7′-DCF-DA (5 μM) fluorescent dye (excitation/emission = 488/525) by a flow cytometer (FACS-BD). (B) Relative levels of endogenous ROS detected by flow cytometer. Error bars show the standard deviations of three repetitive experiments. Y stands for young cells and O stands for old cells.
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