Antioxidant activity and phenolic content of fresh and dry nuts with or without the seed coat
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1. Introduction

Since antioxidants have shown protective effects in different diseases including cardiovascular disease, cancer, aging, and cataracts, extensive studies have been conducted concerning their relationship to food (Dashpande et al., 1996). Among the antioxidants, phenolic compounds have attracted a particular interest since foods containing varying amounts and forms of these compounds show different antioxidant potentials. Recently, studies related to the antioxidant potential of nuts such as hazelnuts, walnuts and pistachios showed that these foods are good sources of antioxidant phenolic compounds (Fukuda et al., 2003; Kornsteiner et al., 2006; Tokuşoğlu et al., 2005; Yurttas et al., 2000; Anderson et al., 2001; Gunduc and El, 2003). The clinical studies suggested that the supplementation of human diet with hazelnuts, walnuts or pistachios is beneficial for the amelioration of blood plasma antioxidant potential and lipid profiles that are closely related to cardiovascular risks (Edwards et al., 1999; Durak et al., 1999; Tapsell et al., 2004; Kocyigit et al., 2006; Lavedrine et al., 1999). The consumption of the oils of the indicated nuts also has beneficial effects on improvement of blood lipid profiles and/or reduction of lipid peroxide levels (Lavedrine et al., 1999; Balkan et al., 2003; Zibaeezehad et al., 2003; Hatipoğlu et al., 2004). Recently, some of the health-related phenolic compounds in nuts have been identified. For example, it was reported that walnuts are good sources of ellagic acid and ellagittannins, cancer chemopreventive polyphenolic compounds found only in limited numbers of fruits and nut species (Fukuda et al., 2003; Daniel et al., 1989; Clifford and Scalbert, 2000; Colaric et al., 2005). Tokuşoğlu et al. (2005) reported that pistachios contain resveratrol, a cardioprotective and chemopreventive polyphenolic compound (Pervaiz, 2004; Kris-Etherton et al., 2002).

In the literature there are various studies related to the phenolic content and/or antioxidant activity of dry nuts (Fukuda et al., 2003; Kornsteiner et al., 2006; Yurttas et al., 2000; Gunduc and El, 2003; Colaric et al., 2005; Moure et al., 2001). However, it is difficult to compare the results obtained in these studies since they employed different extraction methods, reported phenolic content and antioxidant activities as equivalents of different compounds and/or used different antioxidant activity determination methods (Yurttas et al., 2000; Gunduc and El, 2003; Moure et al., 2001). Studies related to contribution of seed coat on antioxidant activity of nuts and antioxidant potential of fresh nuts are also scarce. Following harvest, most of the nuts are dried and stored until they are used in consumption or processing. A considerable number of nuts are also harvested at less ripe stages
and consumed or processed fresh during the season. Drying prevents the microbial spoilage of nuts, but it can also cause some enzymatic or nonenzymatic oxidative changes that modify the phenolic compounds.

In this study, the phenolic content and free radical scavenging-based antioxidant activities of selected fresh and dry nuts were compared with each other and with those of different types of tea, which are the most popular sources of antioxidants, using the same extraction and assay conditions. The importance of the seed coat on the antioxidant activity of nuts has also been investigated by using fresh samples. The results of this work help better understand the antioxidant activity of fresh and dry nuts with or without their seed coat.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

The fresh and dry hazelnuts and walnuts (both fresh samples were with shells), dry pistachios and commercial tea samples (all in 2 g bags) were obtained from market places and supermarkets in Izmir (Turkey). The fresh pistachios with shells were obtained from a marketplace in Nicosia (Cyprus). The certified organically grown dry hazelnuts and walnuts were from İşık Organik Gıda A.Ş Izmir (Turkey). The dry nuts (all unroasted) were used without removing their seed coat, while fresh samples were used with or without removing seed coats. The seed coats of samples were removed manually by hand just before extraction. ABTS (2,2'-azino-bis-(3-ethylbenz-thiazoline-6-sulfonic acid)) was purchased from Sigma Chem. Co. (St. Louis, Mo., USA), Trolox (6-hydroxy-2,5,7,8-tetramethylchroman-2-carboxylic acid) was purchased from Fluka (Switzerland).

2.2. Determination of moisture content of nuts

The moisture content of samples was determined by oven drying method (oven temperature = 103 ± 2 °C) of ISO 665-2000 for oilseeds given in UNECE standard No. DF-04 (2000).

2.3. Extraction of antioxidant compounds in nuts

The extraction of antioxidant compounds was conducted by homogenization of a 20 g sample (fresh nuts with or without seed coat, dry nuts with seed coat) with 100 mL of cold water (4 °C) in a Waring blender for 2 min. The homogenate was transferred to a glass cylinder and the residues left in the blender jar were collected and added to the main homogenate by washing with 20 mL of additional cold water. The slurry obtained was then further homogenized in a disperser-homogenizer (IKA, DI 18, Basic, Brasil) at 18,000 rpm for 2 min and centrifuged at 15,000 × g at 4 °C for 15 min. The fatty layer at the top of the supernatant was discarded and the supernatant and pellet were collected. The collected supernatant (“aqueous extract”) was filtered and kept in an ice-water bath until it was assayed for antioxidant activity and phenolic content. Meanwhile the pellet was suspended in 120 mL of ethanol (96%) and homogenized in the disperser–homogenizer at 18,000 rpm for 4 min. The extract was then clarified by centrifugation at 15,000 × g at 4 °C for 15 min. This extract (“ethanolic extract”) was kept in an ice-water bath until it was assayed for antioxidant activity and phenolic content.

2.4. Antioxidant activity of nuts

The antioxidant activity of aqueous or ethanolic extracts of nuts was determined spectrophotometrically (Shimadzu, Model 2450, Japan) according to the method of Re et al. (1999) as trolox equivalents by monitoring ABTS free radical cation decolorization caused by test samples at 734 nm. The reaction mixture was formed by mixing 2 mL potassium persulfate oxidized ABTS free radical solution in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) at pH 7.4 and 5, 10 and 15 μL of extract (or 20 μL of trolox (0.01–0.03 μmol in reaction mixture) used to prepare the standard curve). The decrease in absorbance was monitored for 10 min. The results were calculated as area under the curve (AUC) values and expressed as μmol trolox equivalents per 100 g fresh or dry weight of samples, or μmol trolox equivalents per serving portion of samples. One suggested serving portion of nuts is 42 g (or 1.5 ounce) according to the “Diary Approaches to Stop Hypertension (DASH)” eating plan reported by USDHHS (2005). In this study the serving portion was based on fresh weight of samples containing seed coat. To calculate the AUC, the percent inhibition/concentration values for the extracts and trolox were plotted separately against test periods (1, 3, 6, 10 min). The division of the areas of curves for each extract to that of trolox was used to calculate the AUC value. All sample extracts were tested three times at three different volumes.

2.5. Phenolic content of nuts

The phenolic content was determined according to the method of Singleton and Rossi (1965) by using Folin-Ciocalteu as reactive reagent. A 1 mL of appropriately diluted sample was mixed with 5 mL of 1/10 diluted Folin-Ciocalteu solution. After 3 min incubation, 4 mL 7.5% Na2CO3 was added to the mixture and the absorbance of the sample was determined by a spectrophotometer at 765 nm after it was incubated for 2 h at room temperature. Deionized water and ethanol (diluted as sample) were used as control. The average of triplicate measurements was used to calculate the phenolic content as mg gallic acid equivalents per 100 g fresh or dry weight of samples or mg gallic acid equivalents per serving portion (defined in Section 2.4) of samples. Gallic acid was used for the preparation of standard curve.

2.6. Antioxidant activity and phenolic content of tea samples

A 1-serving portion of commercial green, black and Earl Grey tea was prepared by slightly modifying the method described by Lee et al. (2003). Commercial tea bags containing 2 g tea were immersed into 200 mL hot water at 95 °C for 3 min. The samples were cooled, centrifuged at 12,000 g at 4 °C for 5 min and assayed for their antioxidant activity and phenolic content as described in Sections 2.4 and 2.5, respectively. The results were expressed as μmol trolox or mg gallic acid equivalents per serving portion.

2.7. Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis was conducted by analyzing data for the analysis of variance. Values are significantly different at P < 0.05 as determined by Fisher’s protected least significant difference.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Antioxidant activity of fresh nuts with or without seed coat

To avoid possible changes in phenolic compounds of dry nuts, the contribution of seed coat in phenolic content and antioxidant activity of nuts was determined by using fresh samples (Figs. 1 and 2). As seen in Table 1, the moisture content of fresh nuts was ≥29%, whereas all other dry nuts contain ≤5% moisture. In fresh hazelnuts, the presence of seed coat did not affect the phenolic content of aqueous extracts significantly (P > 0.05), but a slight increase in antioxidant activity occurred when the seed coat was
not removed from the nuts. In contrast, the phenolic content and antioxidant activity of aqueous extracts from fresh walnuts increased almost 3.5- and 8.4-fold, respectively, when these nut samples were extracted without the seed coat having been removed. This result showed the significant amounts of antioxidant phenolic compounds in the seed coat of fresh walnuts. 

Fukuda et al. (2003) reported the major antioxidant phenolic compounds in walnuts as ellagitanins. According to Colaric et al. (2005), the concentrations of abundant phenolic compounds, juglone, syringic and ellagic acid, in walnut seed coat (pellicle) are over 20-fold higher than the concentrations of these compounds in the seed. In fresh pistachios with the seed coat, the antioxidant activity of aqueous extract was 1.8-fold higher than that of fresh pistachios without the seed coat. However, the phenolic content in aqueous extracts reduced significantly ($P < 0.05$) when pistachios were extracted without the seed coat having been removed. This result suggested the low content but high reactivity of aqueous seed coat phenolics in pistachios.

In all nuts, the ethanolic extracts contained lower amounts of phenolic compounds than the aqueous extracts obtained before ethanol extraction. In fresh hazelnuts and pistachios, the phenolic content and antioxidant activity of ethanolic extracts reduced almost 2- and 4-fold when seed coat was removed from the nuts, respectively. The fresh walnuts with seed coat contained the highest amount of ethanol soluble phenolic compounds. It is clear that the antioxidant phenolics are concentrated in the seed coat of walnuts, since the removal of seed coat of these nuts caused an almost 15-fold reduction in antioxidant activity of ethanolic extracts. The total antioxidant activities (sum of average antioxidant activities in aqueous and ethanolic extracts) better reflected the importance of seed coat in antioxidant activity of different nuts. In fresh hazelnuts, walnuts and pistachios the removal of seed coat reduced the total antioxidant activity obtained from these nuts almost 36, 90 and 55%, respectively. The removal of the seed coat reduced the total phenolic content of fresh walnuts by almost 75%, while total amounts of phenolic compounds in fresh hazelnuts and pistachios were significantly (1.2–1.5-fold) lower than those of fresh walnuts and pistachios ($P < 0.05$). The ethanolic extracts of dry walnuts also had lower phenolic content and antioxidant activity than those of fresh walnuts. In contrast, ethanolic extracts of dry pistachios contained 1.3–1.4-fold higher phenolic content and antioxidant activities in different extracts of fresh nuts with or without the seed coat (the data for aqueous and ethanolic extracts are reported as mean ± standard deviation; total values are sum of average measurements for aqueous and ethanolic extracts).

![Fig. 1.](image1.png) Phenolic content in different extracts of fresh nuts with or without the seed coat (the data for aqueous and ethanolic extracts are reported as mean ± standard deviation; total values are sum of average measurements for aqueous and ethanolic extracts).

![Fig. 2.](image2.png) Antioxidant activities in different extracts of fresh nuts with or without the seed coat (the data for aqueous and ethanolic extracts are reported as mean ± standard deviation; total values are sum of average measurements for aqueous and ethanolic extracts).

Table 1
Some characteristics of hazelnuts, walnuts and pistachios used in this study (all samples with intact seed coat).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Moisture content a (g/100 g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hazelnut</td>
<td>Fresh</td>
<td>44.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazelnut</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazelnut</td>
<td>Dry, organic</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walnut</td>
<td>Fresh</td>
<td>29.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walnut</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walnut</td>
<td>Dry, organic</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pistachio</td>
<td>Fresh</td>
<td>35.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pistachio</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a The moisture content was the average of two determinations on test samples.

Table 2
Phenolic content and antioxidant activities of fresh and dry hazelnuts, walnuts and pistachios containing the seed coat.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Phenolic content (mg gallic acid equivalents/100 g d.w.)</th>
<th>AUC value (μmol trolox equivalents/100 g d.w.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aqueous extract</td>
<td>Ethanol extract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresh hazelnut</td>
<td>207 ± 3 e</td>
<td>49 ± 2 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry hazelnut</td>
<td>386 ± 40 b</td>
<td>39 ± 0.5 e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry hazelnut (organic)</td>
<td>315 ± 4 c</td>
<td>56 ± 1 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresh walnut</td>
<td>515 ± 21 a</td>
<td>240 ± 13 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry walnut</td>
<td>414 ± 18 b</td>
<td>175 ± 17 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry walnut (organic)</td>
<td>348 ± 22 c</td>
<td>190 ± 4 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresh pistachio</td>
<td>390 ± 5 b</td>
<td>157 ± 3 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry pistachio</td>
<td>245 ± 4 d</td>
<td>216 ± 16 b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a The data for aqueous and ethanolic extracts were reported as mean ± standard deviation.

b Total values are sum of average measurements for aqueous and ethanolic extracts.

c The numbers in the parenthesis indicate the total phenolic content or AUC values per serving portions of nuts (42 g based on fresh weight).

d Values with different letters in the columns are significantly different ($P < 0.05$).
and antioxidant activity than those of fresh pistachios. The phenolic content and antioxidant activity of aqueous extracts of dry hazelnuts were also at least 1.5-fold higher than those of the fresh hazelnuts. The phenolic content in ethanolic extracts of dry hazelnuts and fresh hazelnuts were not considerably different. In fact, there is also no statistically significant difference between ethanol soluble phenolic content of fresh hazelnuts and dry organic hazelnuts ($P > 0.05$). However, antioxidant activity of ethanolic extracts of dry hazelnuts was 1.5–2.5-fold lower than that of fresh hazelnuts. The differences also exist between the total phenolic content of dry and fresh hazelnuts and walnuts. In contrast, although there are possible differences in cultivar, growth conditions and location as well as post-harvest handling of nuts used in this study, the differences between total antioxidant activities of dry and fresh nuts did not exceed 1.2-fold and varied within a narrow range. The differences between total antioxidant activities of conventional and organic nuts (walnuts and hazelnuts) used in this work were also negligible ($<1.1$-fold). In this study, the total antioxidant activity refers to the sum of antioxidant activity solubilized by water and ethanol. However, there are also insoluble phenolic antioxidants bound to plant cell wall carbohydrates (Beveridge et al., 2000). In fact, Serpen et al. (2007) recently developed a method for determination of insoluble antioxidant activity and emphasized the important contribution of insoluble antioxidants bound by dietary fiber components. Thus, further studies are needed to evaluate the insoluble antioxidant activity in different nuts which are rich sources of dietary fiber. The total phenolic content of dry pistachios and hazelnuts determined in this study is very close to the previously reported ranges of phenolic content in dry pistachios (492–1442 mg gallic acid equivalents/100 g f.w.) and dry hazelnuts (101–433 mg gallic acid equivalents/100 g f.w.) (Kornsteiner et al., 2006). The dry walnuts used in this study, on the other hand, had lower phenolic content than the dry walnuts (1020–2052 mg gallic acid equivalents/100 g f.w.) investigated by Kornsteiner et al. (2006) (values reported for dry nuts as dry weight in Table 2 are 3–5% lower if expressed as fresh weight).

### 3.3. Antioxidant activity of nuts as compared with those of tea samples

To better evaluate their contribution to human diet, total antioxidant activity (total AUC values) and total phenolic content of 1-serving portions (42 g) of nuts were compared with those of 1-serving portions (200 mL) of green, black and Earl Grey tea, the most popular sources of antioxidants. From different tea samples, green tea showed the highest antioxidant activity, while Earl Grey tea and black tea ranked second and third in antioxidant activity, respectively (Table 3). Green tea and Earl Grey tea had quite similar phenolic contents ($P > 0.05$), while black tea showed 1.6-fold lower phenolic content than those samples. The comparison of results for nut and tea samples indicated that the total antioxidant activity contained by a 1-serving portion of fresh or dry walnuts is equivalent to that in 1.5–1.7, and 1.2–1.3-serving portions of Earl Grey and green tea, respectively. A 1-serving portion of walnuts also contained antioxidant activity equivalent to that in almost 2-serving portions of black tea. The total amounts of phenolic compounds in 1-serving portion of walnuts were also 1.5–2.7-fold higher than those in 1-serving portion of different tea samples. A 1-serving portion of dry hazelnuts, and fresh or dry pistachios, on the other hand, contained phenolic content and antioxidant activities equivalent to those in 1.7–2.0 and 0.7–1.0-serving portions of black tea, respectively. However, 1-serving portion of fresh hazelnuts contained 1.4- and 2.4-fold lower phenolic content and antioxidant activity than did 1-serving portion of black tea.

### 3.4. Relationship between antioxidant activity and phenolic content of nuts

The plotting of results of antioxidant activity (AUC values) vs. phenolic content of aqueous and ethanolic extracts showed the positive correlation between these parameters ($r^2 = 0.70$) (Fig. 3). The correlation found in this study between antioxidant activity and phenolic content was lower than that between the same parameters in different tomato lines ($r^2 > 0.90$) (Hanson et al., 2004), phenolic-rich food including cocoa, black tea, green tea and red wine ($r^2 = 0.98$) (Lee et al., 2003) and olive oil samples ($r^2 = 0.86$) (Sánchez et al., 2007). Teow et al. (2007) reported a quite similar correlation between antioxidant activity and phenolic content ($r^2 = 0.69$) of different types of sweet potatoes, whereas Tawaha et al. (2007) reported slightly higher correlations for different extracts of selected plant species ($r^2 = 0.72$ and 0.79). All these studies were conducted by testing antioxidant activity and phenolic content with the ABTS and Folin–Ciocalteu methods, respectively. However, they used different materials and various extraction methods to obtain the phenolic compounds.

### 4. Conclusions

The results of this study clearly showed the importance of seed coat for antioxidant activity of nuts. The removal of seed coat considerably reduced the total antioxidant activity obtained from hazelnuts, walnuts and pistachios used in this study. Although there are possible differences in cultivars, growth conditions and location, and postharvest handling of nuts used in this study, the total antioxidant activities of fresh and dry nuts (organic or not) are not considerably different. However, amounts of phenolic compounds and their resulting antioxidant activity in hydrophilic and hydrophobic fractions show some variations. The antioxidant

---

**Table 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Phenolic content* (mg gallic acid equivalents/1-serving portion)</th>
<th>AUC value (μmol trolox equivalents/1-serving portion)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Black tea</td>
<td>87 ± 2 a</td>
<td>1754 ± 97 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earl Grey tea</td>
<td>137 ± 2 b</td>
<td>2264 ± 55 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green tea</td>
<td>140 ± 5 b</td>
<td>2789 ± 99 c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The data were reported as mean ± standard deviation.

b 200 mL (see methods section for preparation of tea samples).

c Values with different letters in the columns are significantly different ($P < 0.05$).
activities of nuts correlate well with phenolic content. The phenolic content and antioxidant activity in 1-serving portions of nuts are comparable to those in 1-serving portions of green, black or Earl Grey tea used in this study. This work clearly showed the good potential of using fresh or dry nuts to develop novel nut products having beneficial effects on human health.
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